

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and
- *Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.*

Spinal Cord Stimulation (including burst, high frequency, and traditional stimulation methods)

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider stimulator trial to be **eligible for coverage**** when **all** of the following patient selection criteria are met:

- The patient has chronic intractable neuropathic pain of the trunk and/or limbs associated with at least ONE of the following conditions:
 - o Lumbosacral arachnoiditis as documented by high levels of protein in the cerebrospinal fluid and/or imaging (MRI or myelography); OR
 - Nerve root injuries that are post-surgical after a spine surgery (e.g., failed back surgery syndrome [FBSS]); OR
 - Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS), type I or type II (formerly known as reflex sympathetic dystrophy or causalgia) which meets diagnostic criteria for CRPS (as per Budapest criteria, see Policy Guidelines) as outlined in Regional Sympathetic Nerve Blocks outlined in Policy Guideline Section; AND
 - o Painful diabetic neuropathy (PDN) when ALL of the following criteria are met:
 - Evidence of painful PDN of at least 12 months; AND
 - Lower limb pain intensity of \geq 5 on the VAS scale; AND
 - Objective evidence for presence of neuropathy and severity: moderate-severe neuropathy on EMG/NCS (electromyography/nerve conduction studies);
 AND
 - Confirmation of PDN diagnosis by at least one other specialist (e.g., neurologist); AND
 - BMI < 35; AND
 - $HbA1c \le 10\%$; AND
 - Daily morphine equivalents of 120 mg or less; AND
 - Documented medical clearance as candidate for the procedure; AND
 - Other causes of neuropathy have been ruled out; AND

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

- Optimization of medical management (i.e., diabetes, inflammatory/infectious, vitamin/nutritional deficiencies, renal failure, possible Rx drug/iatrogenic, exposure to toxins); AND
- Failed trial (or documented intolerance) to multiple pharmacologic agents in at least 2 categories (i.e., antidepressants like duloxetine [Cymbalta], anticonvulsants like gabapentin/pregabalin, topicals like capsaicin, etc.);
 AND
- Absence of upper limb pain intensity of ≥ 3 on a VAS scale
- Severe pain and disability with documented pathology or an objective basis for the pain;
 AND
- Dorsal column stimulation is being used as a late or last resort after documented failure of at least 6 consecutive months of physician-supervised multimodal conservative management (See Policy Guideline Section); AND
- Failed trial of regional sympathetic blocks in the case of CRPS; AND
- There is no evidence of existing untreated drug addiction; AND
- The patient has been evaluated by a pain management specialist prior to implantation; AND
- All the facilities, equipment, and professional and support personnel required for the proper diagnosis, treatment training, and follow-up of the patient must be available; AND
- At least one surgical opinion has been obtained to ensure that the patient does not have a surgically correctable lesion (excludes CRPS and PDN); AND
- Documentation of an evaluation by a licensed mental health provider within 6 months of a stimulator trial request (e.g., a face-to-face assessment with or without psychological questionnaires and/or psychological testing) that confirms no evidence of an inadequately controlled mental health problem (e.g., alcohol or drug dependence, depression, psychosis) that would negatively impact the success of a spinal cord stimulator or contraindicate its placement.

Note:

Spinal cord stimulator trial must be performed with percutaneously placed leads except under certain situations (e.g., a prior fusion or narrow spinal canal complicates a percutaneous lead placement).

Stimulator Implantation (Permanent)

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider permanent stimulator implantation to be **eligible for coverage**** when ALL of the following criteria are met:

- The patient meets all of the criteria for a stimulator trial; AND
- A stimulator trial of at least three days duration has been performed; AND
- Documented pain reduction and functional improvement following the stimulator trial with at least a 50% reduction of target pain or analgesic medication use, and specific evidence of improved function.

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

Stimulator Revision or Removal

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider stimulator revision or removal to be **eligible for coverage**** when ANY of the following criteria are met:

- Stimulator hardware complication including:
 - Lead migration
 - o Infection
 - Painful generator site
- Stimulator response complications including:
 - Loss of effectiveness
 - o Patient intolerance
 - Development of new neurologic deficits
- Planned procedure where stimulators may be contraindicated including:
 - Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) when other indicated tests have been shown to be inconclusive (such as a CT-myelogram, EMG/NCS, plain x-rays with multiple views)
 - o Automatic implantable cardioverter defibrillator (AICD)

Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulation

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider dorsal root ganglion (DRG) stimulation as an alternative to dorsal column stimulation in patients with moderate to severe chronic intractable pain of the lower limbs from complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) types I or II and who otherwise meet above criteria for spinal cord stimulator trial or implantation to be **eligible for coverage.****

When Services Are Considered Not Medically Necessary

Based on review of available data, the Company considers all other indications, including but not limited to the following, to be **not medically necessary:****

- Use of spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of critical limb ischemia to forestall amputation, refractory angina pectoris, heart failure, and cancer-related pain.
- Dorsal root ganglion neurostimulation for any non-CRPS lower extremity indication
- Dorsal root ganglion neurostimulation in patients with CRPS lower extremity who currently
 have a functioning spinal cord stimulator or who have previously failed spinal cord
 stimulation therapy
- Simultaneous placement of a dorsal column and dorsal root ganglion stimulator
- Replacement of a conventional spinal cord stimulator (SCS) with a burst, high frequency, closed-loop system (e.g., EvokeTM SCS system)‡, or DRG stimulator in the absence of an indication for stimulator removal or when the current permanent SCS failed to provide adequate pain relief.
- Chronic, nonsurgical low back pain with or without a neuropathic component in patients with no history of prior lumbar spine surgery

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

Based on review of available data, the Company considers a repeat trial of spinal cord stimulation to be **not medically necessary**** in the following situations:

- If the initial trial was successful; OR
- If the initial trial failed, unless failure was due to inability to guide the percutaneous stimulator lead to the appropriate level secondary to anatomical abnormalities. In such cases a surgically placed paddle lead may be appropriate.

Policy Guidelines

Documentation supporting medical necessity should be submitted at the time of the request and must include the following components:

Conservative management should include a combination of strategies to reduce inflammation, alleviate pain, and correct underlying dysfunction, including physical therapy AND at least one complementary conservative treatment-strategy.

- Physical therapy requirement includes ANY of the following:
 - o Physical therapy rendered by a qualified provider of physical therapy services; or
 - Supervised home treatment program that includes all of the following:
 Participation in a patient specific or tailored program
 - Initial active instruction by MD/DO/PT with redemonstration of patient ability to perform exercises
 - Compliance (documented or by clinician attestation on follow-up evaluation)
 - Exception to the physical therapy requirement in unusual circumstances (for instance, intractable pain so severe that physical therapy is not possible) when clearly documented in the medical record
- Complementary conservative treatment requirement includes ANY of the following:
 - Anti-inflammatory medications and analgesics (in the absence of contraindications)
 - Adjunctive medications such as nerve membrane stabilizers or muscle relaxants (in the absence of contraindications)
 - Alternative therapies such as acupuncture, chiropractic manipulation, massage therapy, activity modification, and/or a trial period of rest (e.g., from the aggravating/contributing factors) where applicable
- Interventional modalities
 - Minimally invasive interventional pain procedures such as epidural injections, facet joint procedures, and sympathetic blocks as appropriate

Clinical reevaluation. In most cases, reevaluation should include a physical examination. Direct contact by other methods, such as telephone communication or electronic messaging, may substitute for in-person evaluation when circumstances preclude an office visit.

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

Failure of conservative management requires **ALL** of the following:

- Patient has completed a full course of conservative management (as defined above) for the current episode of care; and
- Worsening of or no significant improvement in signs and/or symptoms upon clinical reevaluation; and
- More invasive forms of therapy are being considered.

Documentation of compliance with a plan of therapy that includes elements from these areas is required where conservative management is appropriate.

Reporting of symptom severity – Severity of pain and its associated impact on activities of daily living (ADLs) and instrumental ADLs (IADLs) are key factors in determining the need for intervention. For purposes of this guideline, significant pain and functional impairment refer to pain that is at least 3 out of 10 in intensity and is associated with inability to perform at least two (2) ADLs and/or IADLs.

Imaging studies -- All imaging must be performed and read by an independent radiologist. If discrepancies should arise in the interpretation of the imaging, the radiology report will supersede. The results of all imaging studies should correlate with the clinical findings in support of the requested procedure.

The Budapest Criteria for complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) include:

- Continuing pain that is disproportionate to any inciting event
- At least ONE symptom reported in at least THREE (3) of the following categories:
 - Sensory: Hyperesthesia or allodynia
 - o Vasomotor: Temperature asymmetry, skin color changes, skin color asymmetry
 - o Sudomotor/edema: Edema, sweating changes, or sweating asymmetry
 - o Motor/trophic: Decreased range of motion, motor dysfunction (e.g., weakness, tremor, dystonia), ortrophic changes (e.g., hair, nail, skin)
- At least ONE sign at time of evaluation in at least TWO (2) of the following categories:
 - Sensory: Evidence of hyperalgesia (to pinprick), allodynia (to light touch, temperature sensation, deepsomatic pressure, or joint movement)
 - Vasomotor: Evidence of temperature asymmetry (>1°C), skin color changes or asymmetry
 - Sudomotor/edema: Evidence of edema, sweating changes, or sweating asymmetry
 - Motor/trophic: Evidence of decreased range of motion, motor dysfunction (e.g., weakness, tremor, dystonia), or trophic changes (e.g., hair, nail, skin)
 - No other diagnosis better explaining the signs and symptoms

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

Background/Overview

Chronic Pain

Spinal cord stimulation has been used in a wide variety of chronic refractory pain conditions, including pain associated with cancer, failed back pain syndromes, arachnoiditis, and complex regional pain syndrome (CPRS; ie, chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy). There has also been interest in spinal cord stimulation as a treatment of critical limb ischemia, primarily in patients who are poor candidates for revascularization and in patients with refractory chest pain.

Spinal Cord Stimulation

Spinal cord stimulation (also called dorsal column stimulation) involves the use of low-level epidural electrical stimulation of the spinal cord dorsal columns. The neurophysiology of pain relief after spinal cord stimulation is uncertain, but may be related to either activation of an inhibitory system or blockage of facilitative circuits.

Spinal cord stimulation devices consist of several components: (1) the lead that delivers the electrical stimulation to the spinal cord; (2) an extension wire that conducts the electrical stimulation from the power source to the lead; and (3) a power source that generates the electricity. The lead may incorporate from 4 to 8 electrodes, with 8 electrodes more commonly used for complex pain patterns. There are 2 basic types of power source: 1 type, the power source (battery), can be surgically implanted or worn externally with an antenna over the receiver; the other, a radiofrequency receiver, is implanted. Totally implantable systems are most commonly used.

The patient's pain distribution pattern dictates at what level of the spinal cord the stimulation lead is placed. The pain pattern may influence the type of device used. For example, a lead with 8 electrodes may be selected for those with complex pain patterns or bilateral pain. Implantation of the spinal cord stimulator is typically a 2-step process. Initially, the electrode is temporarily implanted in the epidural space, allowing a trial period of stimulation. Once treatment effectiveness is confirmed (defined as at least 50% reduction in pain), the electrodes and radio-receiver/transducer are permanently implanted. Successful spinal cord stimulation may require extensive programming of the neurostimulators to identify the optimal electrode combinations and stimulation channels.

Traditional spinal cord stimulation devices use electrical stimulation with a frequency of 100 to 1000 Hz. High frequency devices use electrical stimulation with a frequency of 10,000 Hz. In 2016, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved a clinician programmer application that allows a spinal cord stimulation device to provide stimulation in bursts rather than at a constant rate. Burst stimulation is proposed to relieve pain with fewer paresthesias. The burst stimulation device works in conjunction with standard spinal cord stimulation devices. With the newly approved app, stimulation is provided in five, 500-Hz burst spikes at a rate of 40 Hz, with a pulse width of 1 ms. Other neurostimulators target the dorsal root ganglion.

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

A large number of neurostimulator devices have been approved by the FDA through the premarket approval process under FDA product code: LGW (stimulator, spinal-cord, totally implanted for pain relief), PMP (Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulator for Pain Relief), and GZB (Stimulator, Spinal-Cord, Implanted [Pain Relief]) (Table 1). In October 2016, the FDA approved BurstDR $^{\text{TM}^{\dagger}_{+}}$ stimulation (St. Jude Medical), a clinician programmer application that provides intermittent "burst" stimulation for patients with certain St. Jude spinal cord stimulation devices.

Table 1. Premarket Approval Information for Spinal Cord and Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulator Devices

Device	Manufacturer	Produc t code	Original clearance/approva l date	Original 510(k) or PMA number	Indication
Algovita SCS System	Nuvectra Corporation	LGW	Nov 2015	P130028	Chronic intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbs, including unilateral or bilateral pain associated with failed back surgery syndrome, intractable low back pain, and leg pain.
Axium (1st generation) and Proclaim DRG (2nd generation) Neurostimulator System	Abbott Medical	PMP	Feb 2016	P150004	Moderate to severe chronic intractable pain of the lower limbs in adult patients with Types I and II CRPS
Cordis Programmable	Cordis Corporation	LGW	Apr 1981 ^a	P800040	Stimulator, Spinal-Cord,

Neural Stimulator Models 900a					Totally Implanted For Pain Relief
Freedom SCS	Stimwave Technologies	GZB	Aug 2016	K18098 1	Chronic, intractable pain of the trunk and/or lower limbs, including unilateral or bilateral pain
Genesis And Eon Family Neurostimulatio n (Ipg) System; Eterna Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS) System;Prodigy, Proclaim, and Proclaim XR Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS) Systems	St. Jude Medical/ Abbott Medical	LGW; QRB	Nov 2001	P010032	Chronic, intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbs, including unilateral or bilateral pain associated with the following: failed back surgery syndrome, intractable low back and leg pain, and diabetic peripheral neuropathy of the lower extremities.
Restore, Itrel, Synergy, Intellis, And Vanta Spinal Cord Stimulation Systems	Medtronic Neuromodulatio n	LGW	Nov 1984	P840001	Chronic, intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbsincluding unilateral or bilateral pain associated with the following conditions:

					FBS or low back syndrome or failed back Radicular pain syndrome or radiculopathies resulting in pain secondary to FBS or herniated disk Postlaminectom y pain Multiple back operations Unsuccessful disk surgery Refractory DDD/herniated disk pain Peripheral causalgia Epidural fibrosis Arachnoiditis or lumbar adhesive arachnoiditis CRPS, RSD, or causalgia Diabetic peripheral neuropathy of the lower extremities
Precision SCS Systems	Boston Scientific Corporation	LGW	Apr 2004	P030017	Chronic intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbs, including unilateral or

					bilateral pain associated with failed back surgery syndrome, Types 1 and 2 CRPS, intractable low back pain and leg pain
Evoke SCS System	Saluda Medical Pty Ltd	LGW	Feb 2022	P190002	Chronic intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbs including unilateral or bilateral pain associated with the following: failed back surgery syndrome, intractable low back pain and leg pain.
Senza SCS Systems	Nevro Corporation	LGW	May 2015	P130022	Chronic intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbs, including unilateral or bilateral pain associated with the following: failed back surgery syndrome, intractable low back pain, and leg pain

					When programmed to include a frequency of 10 kHz: Chronic intractable pain of the lower limbs, including unilateral or bilateral pain, associated with diabetic neuropathy; non-surgical refractory back pain (intractable back pain without prior surgery and not a candidate for back surgery)
Nalu Neurostimulatio n System	Nalu Medical, Inc	GZB	Mar 2019	K18304 7	Chronic, intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbs, including unilateral or bilateral pain
Prospera Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS) System	Biotronik NRO, Inc	LGW	Mar 2023	P210037	Chronic, intractable pain in the trunk and/or limbs, which may include unilateral or bilateral pain, resulting from any of the following: 1) FBS or low back

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

	1		1	
				syndrome or
				failed back; 2)
				Radicular pain
				syndrome or
				radiculopathies
				resulting in pain
				secondary to
				FBS or; 3)
				Herniated disk;
				4)
				Postlaminectom
				y pain; 5)
				Multiple back
				operations; 6)
				Unsuccessful
				disk surgery; 7)
				DDD/herniated
				disk pain
				refractory to
				conservative and
				surgical
				interventions; 8)
				Peripheral
				causalgia; 9)
				Epidural
				fibrosis;10)
				Arachnoiditis or
				lumbar adhesive
				arachnoiditis;
				and11) CRPS,
				RSD, or
				causalgia.
DDC C 1	. 1 . 1	DDD 1	11 1 11	EDC C 1 1 1 1

CRPS: Complex regional pain syndrome; DDD: degenerative disk disease; FBS: failed back syndrome; PMA: premarket approval; RSD, reflex sympathetic dystrophy; SCS: spinal cord stimulation.

In September 2020, the FDA released a letter to healthcare providers reminding them to conduct a trial stimulation period before implanting a spinal cord stimulator as the agency continues to receive reports of serious adverse effects associated with these devices. Between July 27, 2016 and July 27, 2020, the FDA received 107,728 medical device reports related to spinal cord simulators intended

^a Withdrawn in 2016

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

for pain including 497 associated with patient death, 77,937 with patient injury, and 29,924 with device malfunction. The most frequently reported patient problem codes were inadequate pain relief (28.1%), pain (15.2%), unexpected therapeutic effects (10.9%), infection (7.5%), and discomfort (5.9%). Additionally, the most frequently reported device problem codes were charging problems (11.2%), impedance (10.6%), migration (7.2%), battery problem (6.4%), and premature discharge of battery (4.2%). The FDA made the following recommendations for clinicians to consider:

- Conduct a trial stimulation as described in the device labeling to identify and confirm satisfactory pain relief before permanent implantation.
- Permanent spinal cord stimulation should only be implanted in patients who have undergone and passed a stimulation trial.
- Providers typically perform a stimulation trial on a patient for 3 to 7 days, and success is usually defined by a 50% reduction in pain symptoms. Inform patients about the risks of serious side effects and what to expect during the trial stimulation.
- Before implantation of any spinal cord stimulation, discuss the benefits and risks of the different types of implants and other treatment options, including magnetic resonance imaging compatibility of the devices.
- Before implantation, provide patients with the manufacturer's patient labeling and any other education materials for the device that will be implanted.
- Develop an individualized programming, treatment, and follow-up plan for spinal cord stimulation therapy delivery with each patient.
- Provide each patient with the name of the device manufacturer, model, and the unique device identifier of the implant received.

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)

According to Medicare policy, the implantation of central nervous system stimulators may be covered as therapies for the relief of chronic intractable pain, subject to the following conditions:

- "The implantation of the stimulator is used only as a late resort (if not a last resort) for patients with chronic intractable pain;
- With respect to item a, other treatment modalities (pharmacological, surgical, physical, or psychological therapies) have been tried and did not prove satisfactory, or are judged to be unsuitable or contraindicated for the given patient;
- Patients have undergone careful screening, evaluation, and diagnosis by a multidisciplinary team prior to implantation. (Such screening must include psychological, as well as physical evaluation);
- All the facilities, equipment, and professional and support personnel required for the proper diagnosis, treatment training, and follow-up of the patient (including that required to satisfy item c) must be available; and
- Demonstration of pain relief with a temporarily implanted electrode precedes permanent implantation."

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Spinal cord stimulation delivers low-voltage electrical stimulation to the dorsal columns of the spinal cord to block the sensation of pain; this is achieved through a surgically implanted spinal cord stimulation device, which comes equipped with a radiofrequency receiver. The neurostimulator device is also issued with a standard power source (battery) that can be implanted or worn externally. Other neurostimulators target the dorsal root ganglion.

Summary of Evidence

Critical Limb Ischemia

For individuals who have critical limb ischemia who receive spinal cord stimulation, the evidence includes systematic reviews of several small RCTs. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, morbid events, hospitalizations, and treatment-related morbidity. In pooled analyses, spinal cord stimulation was associated with a lower risk of amputation versus control, but results were not consistently statistically significant due to differences in methodologies. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Treatment-Refractory Angina Pectoris

For individuals who have treatment-refractory angina pectoris who receive spinal cord stimulation, the evidence includes systematic reviews and RCTs. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, morbid events, hospitalizations, and treatment-related morbidity. Numerous small RCTs have evaluated spinal cord stimulation as a treatment for refractory angina. While some have reported benefits, most have not. In 2 recent RCTs, there was no significant benefit in the primary outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Heart Failure

For individuals who have heart failure who receive spinal cord stimulation, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, morbid events, hospitalizations, and treatment-related morbidity. An RCT (N=66) comparing spinal cord stimulation using active stimulation with sham-control in patients who had New York Heart Association functional class III heart failure and a left ventricular ejection fraction of 35% or less did not find significant differences between groups, but might have been underpowered to do so. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcomes.

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

Cancer-Related Pain

For individuals who have cancer-related pain who receive spinal cord stimulation, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. No RCTs evaluating spinal cord stimulation in this population were identified. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcomes.

References

- 1. Carelon Medical Benefits Management. Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines, <u>Interventional Pain Management</u>, "Spinal Cord and Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulators", June 15, 2025.
- 2. Al-Kaisy A, Palmisani S, Pang D, Sanderson K, Wesley S, Tan Y, McCammon S, Trescott A. Prospective, Randomized, Sham-Control, Double Blind, Crossover Trial of Subthreshold Spinal Cord Stimulation at Various Kilohertz Frequencies in Subjects Suffering From Failed Back Surgery Syndrome (SCS Frequency Study). Neuromodulation. 2018;21(5):457-65.
- 3. Al-Kaisy A, Van Buyten JP, Smet I, Palmisani S, Pang D, Smith T. Sustained effectiveness of 10 kHz high-frequency spinal cord stimulation for patients with chronic, low back pain: 24-month results of a prospective multicenter study. Pain Medicine. 2014;15(3):347-54.
- 4. Amirdelfan K, Yu C, Doust MW, Gliner BE, Morgan DM, Kapural L, Vallejo R, Sitzman BT, Yearwood TL, Bundschu R, Yang T, Benyamin R, Burgher AH, Brooks ES, Powell AA, Subbaroyan J. Long-term quality of life improvement for chronic intractable back and leg pain patients using spinal cord stimulation: 12-month results from the SENZA-RCT. Qual Life Res. 2018;27(8):2035-44.
- 5. Bicket MC, Dunn RY, Ahmed SU. High-Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation for Chronic Pain: Pre-Clinical Overview and Systematic Review of Controlled Trials. Pain Medicine. 2016;17(12):2326-36.
- 6. Bolash R, Creamer M, Rauck R, Vahedifar P, Calodney A, Fox I, Ozaktay C, Panchal S, Vanquathem N, Yasin M. Wireless High-Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation (10 kHz) Compared with Multiwaveform Low-Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation in the Management of Chronic Pain in Failed Back Surgery Syndrome Subjects: Preliminary Results of a Multicenter, Prospective Randomized Controlled Study. Pain Med. 2019;25:25.
- 7. Brazilian Medical A, Brazilian Society of N, Cukiert A, Reis AMD, Almeida AS, Simoes RDS, Buzzini RF, Bernardo WM. Chronic pain treatment with spinal cord neurostimulation. Rev Assoc Med Bras. 2018;64(4):299-306.
- 8. Canos-Verdecho A, Abejon D, Robledo R, Izquierdo R, Bermejo A, Gallach E, Argente P, Peraita-Costa I, Morales-Suarez-Varela M. Randomized Prospective Study in Patients With Complex Regional Pain Syndrome of the Upper Limb With High-Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation (10-kHz) and Low-Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation. Neuromodulation. 2021;18:18.
- 9. Chakravarthy K, Malayil R, Kirketeig T, Deer T. Burst Spinal Cord Stimulation: A Systematic Review and Pooled Analysis of Real-World Evidence and Outcomes Data. Pain Med. 2019;20(Supplement 1):S47-S57.

Policy # 00260

- 10. Chang Chien GC, Mekhail N. Alternate Intraspinal Targets for Spinal Cord Stimulation: A Systematic Review. Neuromodulation. 2017;20(7):629-41.
- 11. Chen JL, Hesseltine AW, Nashi SE, Sills SM, McJunkin TL, Patil S, Bharara M, Caraway DL, Brooks ES. A Real-World Analysis of High-Frequency 10 kHz Spinal Cord Stimulation for the Treatment of Painful Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy. J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2022;16(2):282-8.
- 12. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK, Rosenquist RW, Atlas SJ, Baisden J, Carragee EJ, Grabois M, Murphy DR, Resnick DK, Stanos SP, Shaffer WO, Wall EM. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. Spine. 2009;34(10):1066-77.
- 13. Conger A, Sperry BP, Cheney CW, Burnham TM, Mahan MA, Onofrei LV, Cushman DM, Wagner GE, Shipman H, Teramoto M, McCormick ZL. The Effectiveness of Spinal Cord Stimulation for the Treatment of Axial Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review with Narrative Synthesis. Pain Med. 2020;29:29.
- 14. COST B13 Working Group on Guidelines for Chronic Low Back Pain, Airaksinen O, Brox JI, Cedraschi C, Hildebrandt J, Klaber-Moffett J, Kovacs F, Mannion AF, Reis S, Staal JB, Ursin H, Zanoli G. Chapter 4. European guidelines for the management of chronic nonspecific low back pain. Eur Spine J. 2006;15 Suppl 2:S192-300.
- 15. De Andres J, Monsalve-Dolz V, Fabregat-Cid G, Villanueva-Perez V, Harutyunyan A, Asensio-Samper JM, Sanchis-Lopez N. Prospective, Randomized Blind Effect-on-Outcome Study of Conventional vs High-Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation in Patients with Pain and Disability Due to Failed Back Surgery Syndrome. Pain Med. 2017;18(12):2401-21.
- 16. De M, Mohan VK, Bhoi D, Talawar P, Kumar A, Garg B, Trikha A, Dehran M, Kashyap L, Shende DR. Transforaminal Epidural Injection of Local Anesthetic and Dorsal Root Ganglion Pulsed Radiofrequency Treatment in Lumbar Radicular Pain: A Randomized, Triple-Blind, Active-Control Trial. Pain pract. 2020;20(2):154-67.
- 17. De Ridder D, Plazier M, Kamerling N, Menovsky T, Vanneste S. Burst spinal cord stimulation for limb and back pain. World Neurosurg. 2013;80(5):642-9.e1.
- 18. de Vos CC, Meier K, Zaalberg PB, Nijhuis HJ, Duyvendak W, Vesper J, Enggaard TP, Lenders MW. Spinal cord stimulation in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy: a multicentre randomized clinical trial. Pain. 2014;155(11):2426-31.
- 19. Deer T, Pope J, Hunter C, Falowski S, Kapural L, Kramer J, Levy R. Safety Analysis of Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulation in the Treatment of Chronic Pain. Neuromodulation. 2019;12:12.
- 20. Deer T, Slavin KV, Amirdelfan K, North RB, Burton AW, Yearwood TL, Tavel E, Staats P, Falowski S, Pope J, Justiz R, Fabi AY, Taghva A, Paicius R, Houden T, Wilson D. Success Using Neuromodulation With BURST (SUNBURST) Study: Results From a Prospective, Randomized Controlled Trial Using a Novel Burst Waveform. Neuromodulation. 2018;21(1):56-66.
- 21. Deer TR, Grider JS, Lamer TJ, Pope JE, Falowski S, Hunter CW, Provenzano DA, Slavin KV, Russo M, Carayannopoulos A, Shah JM, Harned ME, Hagedorn JM, Bolash RB, Arle JE, Kapural L, Amirdelfan K, Jain S, Liem L, Carlson JD, Malinowski MN, Bendel M, Yang A, Aiyer R, Valimahomed A, Antony A, Craig J, Fishman MA, Al-Kaisy AA, Christelis N,

Policy # 00260

- Rosenquist RW, Levy RM, Mekhail N. A Systematic Literature Review of Spine Neurostimulation Therapies for the Treatment of Pain. Pain Med. 2020;21(7):1421-32.
- 22. Deer TR, Hunter CW, Mehta P, Sayed D, Grider JS, Lamer TJ, Pope JE, Falowski S, Provenzano DA, Esposito MF, Slavin KV, Baranidharan G, Russo M, Jassal NS, Mogilner AY, Kapural L, Verrills P, Amirdelfan K, McRoberts WP, Harned ME, Chapman KB, Liem L, Carlson JD, Yang A, Aiyer R, Antony A, Fishman MA, Al-Kaisy AA, Christelis N, Levy RM, Mekhail N. A Systematic Literature Review of Dorsal Root Ganglion Neurostimulation for the Treatment of Pain. Pain Med. 2020;21(8):1581-9.
- 23. Deer TR, Levy RM, Kramer J, Poree L, Amirdelfan K, Grigsby E, Staats P, Burton AW, Burgher AH, Obray J, Scowcroft J, Golovac S, Kapural L, Paicius R, Kim C, Pope J, Yearwood T, Samuel S, McRoberts WP, Cassim H, Netherton M, Miller N, Schaufele M, Tavel E, Davis T, Davis K, Johnson L, Mekhail N. Dorsal root ganglion stimulation yielded higher treatment success rate for complex regional pain syndrome and causalgia at 3 and 12 months: a randomized comparative trial. Pain. 2017;158(4):669-81.
- 24. Deer TR, Pope JE, Lamer TJ, Grider JS, Provenzano D, Lubenow TR, FitzGerald JJ, Hunter C, Falowski S, Sayed D, Baranidharan G, Patel NK, Davis T, Green A, Pajuelo A, Epstein LJ, Harned M, Liem L, Christo PJ, Chakravarthy K, Gilmore C, Huygen F, Lee E, Metha P, Nijhuis H, Patterson DG, Petersen E, Pilitsis JG, Rowe JJ, Rupert MP, Skaribas I, Sweet J, Verrills P, Wilson D, Levy RM, Mekhail N. The Neuromodulation Appropriateness Consensus Committee on Best Practices for Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulation. Neuromodulation. 2019;22(1):1-35.
- 25. D'Souza RS, Barman R, Joseph A, Abd-Elsayed A. Evidence-Based Treatment of Painful Diabetic Neuropathy: a Systematic Review. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2022;26(8):583-94.
- 26. D'Souza RS, Langford B, Dombovy-Johnson M, Abd-Elsayed A. Neuromodulation Interventions for the Treatment of Painful Diabetic Neuropathy: a Systematic Review. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2022;26(5):365-77.
- 27. Duarte RV, Nevitt S, McNicol E, Taylor RS, Buchser E, North RB, Eldabe S. Systematic review and meta-analysis of placebo/sham controlled randomised trials of spinal cord stimulation for neuropathic pain. Pain. 2020;161(1):24-35.
- 28. Duong S, Bravo D, Todd KJ, Finlayson RJ, Tran Q. Treatment of complex regional pain syndrome: an updated systematic review and narrative synthesis. Can J Anaesth. 2018;65(6):658-84.
- 29. Duse G, Reverberi C, Dario A. Effects of Multiple Waveforms on Patient Preferences and Clinical Outcomes in Patients Treated With Spinal Cord Stimulation for Leg and/or Back Pain. Neuromodulation. 2019;22(2):200-7.
- 30. Eldabe S, Duarte R, Gulve A, Williams H, Garner F, Brookes M, Madzinga G, Buchser E, Batterham AM. Analgesic Efficacy of "Burst" and Tonic (500 Hz) Spinal Cord Stimulation Patterns: A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Crossover Study. Neuromodulation. 2020;29:29.
- 31. Galafassi GZ, Simm Pires de Aguiar PH, Simm RF, Franceschini PR, Filho MP, Pagura JR, Pires de Aguiar PH. Neuromodulation for Medically Refractory Neuropathic Pain: Spinal Cord Stimulation, Deep Brain Stimulation, Motor Cortex Stimulation, and Posterior Insula Stimulation. World Neurosurg. 2021;146:246-60.

Policy # 00260

- 32. Head J, Jacob M, Sabourin V, Turpin J, Hoelscher C, Wu C, Sharan A. Waves of Pain Relief: A Systematic Review of Clinical Trials in Spinal Cord Stimulation Waveforms for the Treatment of Chronic Neuropathic Low-Back and Leg Pain. World Neurosurg. 2019;29:29.
- 33. Hofmeister M, Memedovich A, Brown S, Saini M, Dowsett LE, Lorenzetti DL, McCarron TL, MacKean G, Clement F. Effectiveness of Neurostimulation Technologies for the Management of Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review. Neuromodulation. 2019;16:16.
- 34. Kapural L, Yu C, Doust MW, Gliner BE, Vallejo R, Sitzman BT, Amirdelfan K, Morgan DM, Brown LL, Yearwood TL, Bundschu R, Burton AW, Yang T, Benyamin R, Burgher AH. Novel 10-kHz High-frequency Therapy (HF10 Therapy) Is Superior to Traditional Low-frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation for the Treatment of Chronic Back and Leg Pain: The SENZA-RCT Randomized Controlled Trial. Anesthesiology. 2015;123(4):851-60.
- 35. Kapural L, Yu C, Doust MW, Gliner BE, Vallejo R, Sitzman BT, Amirdelfan K, Morgan DM, Yearwood TL, Bundschu R, Yang T, Benyamin R, Burgher AH. Comparison of 10-kHz High-Frequency and Traditional Low-Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation for the Treatment of Chronic Back and Leg Pain: 24-Month Results From a Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Pivotal Trial. Neurosurgery. 2016;79(5):667-77.
- 36. Karri J, Joshi M, Polson G, Tang T, Lee M, Orhurhu V, Deer T, Abd-Elsayed A. Spinal Cord Stimulation for Chronic Pain Syndromes: A Review of Considerations in Practice Management. Pain Physician. 2020;23(6):599-616.
- 37. Karri J, Orhurhu V, Wahezi S, Tang T, Deer T, Abd-Elsayed A. Comparison of Spinal Cord Stimulation Waveforms for Treating Chronic Low Back Pain: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Pain Physician. 2020;23(5):451-60.
- 38. Koh W, Choi SS, Karm MH, Suh JH, Leem JG, Lee JD, Kim YK, Shin J. Treatment of chronic lumbosacral radicular pain using adjuvant pulsed radiofrequency: a randomized controlled study. Pain Med. 2015;16(3):432-41.
- 39. Kriek N, Groeneweg JG, Stronks DL, de Ridder D, Huygen FJ. Preferred frequencies and waveforms for spinal cord stimulation in patients with complex regional pain syndrome: A multicentre, double-blind, randomized and placebo-controlled crossover trial. Eur J Pain. 2017;21(3):507-19.
- 40. Kwak SG, Lee DG, Chang MC. Effectiveness of pulsed radiofrequency treatment on cervical radicular pain: A meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97(31):e11761.
- 41. Lamer TJ, Moeschler SM, Gazelka HM, Hooten WM, Bendel MA, Murad MH. Spinal Stimulation for the Treatment of Intractable Spine and Limb Pain: A Systematic Review of RCTs and Meta-Analysis. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;94(8):1475-87.
- 42. Levy RM, Mekhail N, Kramer J, Poree L, Amirdelfan K, Grigsby E, Staats P, Burton AW, Burgher AH, Scowcroft J, Golovac S, Kapural L, Paicius R, Pope J, Samuel S, McRoberts WP, Schaufele M, Kent AR, Raza A, Deer TR. Therapy Habituation at 12 Months: Spinal Cord Stimulation Versus Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulation for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome Type I and II. J Pain. 2020;21(3-4):399-408.
- 43. Lewis RA, Williams NH, Sutton AJ, Burton K, Din NU, Matar HE, Hendry M, Phillips CJ, Nafees S, Fitzsimmons D, Rickard I, Wilkinson C. Comparative clinical effectiveness of

Policy # 00260

- management strategies for sciatica: systematic review and network meta-analyses. Spine J. 2015;15(6):1461-77.
- 44. Liem L, Russo M, Huygen FJ, Van Buyten JP, Smet I, Verrills P, Cousins M, Brooker C, Levy R, Deer T, Kramer J. A multicenter, prospective trial to assess the safety and performance of the spinal modulation dorsal root ganglion neurostimulator system in the treatment of chronic pain. Neuromodulation. 2013;16(5):471-82; discussion 82.
- 45. Liem L, Russo M, Huygen FJ, Van Buyten JP, Smet I, Verrills P, Cousins M, Brooker C, Levy R, Deer T, Kramer J. One-year outcomes of spinal cord stimulation of the dorsal root ganglion in the treatment of chronic neuropathic pain. Neuromodulation. 2015;18(1):41-8; discussion 8-9.
- 46. Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, Benyamin RM, Boswell MV, Buenaventura RM, Bryce DA, Burks PA, Caraway DL, Calodney AK, Cash KA, Christo PJ, Cohen SP, Colson J, Conn A, Cordner H, Coubarous S, Datta S, Deer TR, Diwan S, Falco FJ, Fellows B, Geffert S, Grider JS, Gupta S, Hameed H, Hameed M, Hansen H, Helm S, 2nd, Janata JW, Justiz R, Kaye AD, Lee M, Manchikanti KN, McManus CD, Onyewu O, Parr AT, Patel VB, Racz GB, Sehgal N, Sharma ML, Simopoulos TT, Singh V, Smith HS, Snook LT, Swicegood JR, Vallejo R, Ward SP, Wargo BW, Zhu J, Hirsch JA. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part II: guidance and recommendations. Pain Physician. 2013;16(2 Suppl):S49-283.
- 47. Mekhail N, Visnjevac O, Azer G, Mehanny DS, Agrawal P, Foorsov V. Spinal Cord Stimulation 50 Years Later: Clinical Outcomes of Spinal Cord Stimulation Based on Randomized Clinical Trials-A Systematic Review. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2018;43(4):391-406.
- 48. Mol FMU, Roumen RM, Scheltinga MR. Comparing the efficacy of targeted spinal cord stimulation (SCS) of the dorsal root ganglion with conventional medical management (CMM) in patients with chronic post-surgical inguinal pain: the SMASHING trial. BMC Surg. 2018;18(1):18.
- 49. Muhammad S, Roeske S, Chaudhry SR, Kinfe TM. Burst or High-Frequency (10 kHz) Spinal Cord Stimulation in Failed Back Surgery Syndrome Patients With Predominant Back Pain: One Year Comparative Data. Neuromodulation. 2017;20(7):661-7.
- 50. Nagpal A, Clements N, Duszynski B, Boies B. The Effectiveness of Dorsal Root Ganglion Neurostimulation for the Treatment of Chronic Pelvic Pain and Chronic Neuropathic Pain of the Lower Extremity: A Comprehensive Review of the Published Data. Pain Med. 2021;22(1):49-59.
- 51. North J, Loudermilk E, Lee A, Sachdeva H, Kaiafas D, Washabaugh E, Sheth S, Scowcroft J, Mekhail N, Lampert B, Yearwood T, Shaw E, Atallah J, McLeod C, Han J, Yu C, Sedrak M, Lucas R, Trobridge A, Hegarty J, Miller N, Chen L, Jain R. Outcomes of a Multicenter, Prospective, Crossover, Randomized Controlled Trial Evaluating Subperception Spinal Cord Stimulation at <=1.2 kHz in Previously Implanted Subjects. Neuromodulation. 2019;02:02.
- 52. North JM, Hong KJ, Cho PY. Clinical Outcomes of 1 kHz Subperception Spinal Cord Stimulation in Implanted Patients With Failed Paresthesia-Based Stimulation: Results of a Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. Neuromodulation. 2016;19(7):731-7.

Policy # 00260

- 53. Palmer N, Guan Z, Chai NC. Spinal Cord Stimulation for Failed Back Surgery Syndrome -- Patient Selection Considerations. Transl Perioper Pain Med. 2019;6(3):81-90.
- 54. Petersen EA, Stauss TG, Scowcroft JA, Brooks ES, White JL, Sills SM, Amirdelfan K, Guirguis MN, Xu J, Yu C, Nairizi A, Patterson DG, Tsoulfas KC, Creamer MJ, Galan V, Bundschu RH, Mehta ND, Sayed D, Lad SP, DiBenedetto DJ, Sethi KA, Goree JH, Bennett MT, Harrison NJ, Israel AF, Chang P, Wu PW, Argoff CE, Nasr CE, Taylor RS, Caraway DL, Mekhail NA. High-Frequency 10-kHz Spinal Cord Stimulation Improves Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients With Refractory Painful Diabetic Neuropathy: 12-Month Results From a Randomized Controlled Trial. Mayo Clin Proc Innov Qual Outcomes. 2022;6(4):347-60.
- 55. Petersen EA, Stauss TG, Scowcroft JA, Brooks ES, White JL, Sills SM, Amirdelfan K, Guirguis MN, Xu J, Yu C, Nairizi A, Patterson DG, Tsoulfas KC, Creamer MJ, Galan V, Bundschu RH, Paul CA, Mehta ND, Choi H, Sayed D, Lad SP, DiBenedetto DJ, Sethi KA, Goree JH, Bennett MT, Harrison NJ, Israel AF, Chang P, Wu PW, Gekht G, Argoff CE, Nasr CE, Taylor RS, Subbaroyan J, Gliner BE, Caraway DL, Mekhail NA. Effect of High-frequency (10-kHz) Spinal Cord Stimulation in Patients With Painful Diabetic Neuropathy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Neurol. 2021;78(6):687-698.
- 56. Schu S, Slotty PJ, Bara G, von Knop M, Edgar D, Vesper J. A prospective, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to examine the effectiveness of burst spinal cord stimulation patterns for the treatment of failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation. 2014;17(5):443-50.
- 57. Slangen R, Schaper NC, Faber CG, Joosten EA, Dirksen CD, van Dongen RT, Kessels AG, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation and pain relief in painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a prospective two-center randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Care. 2014;37(11):3016-24.
- 58. Stelter B, Karri J, Marathe A, Abd-Elsayed A. Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulation for the Treatment of Non-Complex Regional Pain Syndrome Related Chronic Pain Syndromes: A Systematic Review. Neuromodulation. 2021;26:26.
- 59. Thomson SJ, Tavakkolizadeh M, Love-Jones S, Patel NK, Gu JW, Bains A, Doan Q, Moffitt M. Effects of Rate on Analgesia in Kilohertz Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation: Results of the PROCO Randomized Controlled Trial. Neuromodulation. 2018;21(1):67-76.
- 60. van Beek M, Geurts JW, Slangen R, Schaper NC, Faber CG, Joosten EA, Dirksen CD, van Dongen RT, van Kuijk SMJ, van Kleef M. Severity of Neuropathy Is Associated With Longterm Spinal Cord Stimulation Outcome in Painful Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy: Five-Year Follow-up of a Prospective Two-Center Clinical Trial. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(1):32-8.
- 61. Verrills P, Salmon J, Russo M, Gliner B, Barnard A, Caraway D. 10 kHz spinal cord stimulation for chronic upper limb and neck pain: Australian experience. Eur Spine J. 2020; 29(11):2786-2794.
- 62. Vigneri S, Sindaco G, Gallo G, Zanella M, Paci V, La Grua M, Ravaioli L, Pari G. Effectiveness of pulsed radiofrequency with multifunctional epidural electrode in chronic lumbosacral radicular pain with neuropathic features. Pain Physician. 2014;17(6):477-86.
- 63. Vuka I, Marcius T, Dosenovic S, Ferhatovic Hamzic L, Vucic K, Sapunar D, Puljak L. Neuromodulation with electrical field stimulation of dorsal root ganglion in various pain syndromes: a systematic review with focus on participant selection. J Pain Res. 2019;12:803-30.

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

- 64. Wylde V, Dennis J, Beswick AD, Bruce J, Eccleston C, Howells N, Peters TJ, Gooberman-Hill R. Systematic review of management of chronic pain after surgery. Br J Surg. 2017;104(10):1293-306.
- 65. Xu L, Sun Z, Casserly E, Nasr C, Cheng J, Xu J. Advances in Interventional Therapies for Painful Diabetic Neuropathy: A Systematic Review. Anesth Analg. 2022;134(6):1215-28.

08/18/2010

Policy History Original Effective Date:

_	giliai Effecti	
	rent Effectiv	
	05/2010	Medical Policy Committee review
	8/2010	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New Policy.
	04/2011	Medical Policy Committee review
08/1	7/2011	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval.
08/0	02/2012	Medical Policy Committee review
08/1	5/2012	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Two additional criteria
		bullets added. Criteria changed to state that all of the criteria must be met instead
		of any of the criteria.
	01/2013	Medical Policy Committee review
	21/2013	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
	07/2014	Medical Policy Committee review
08/2	20/2014	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added treatment of cancer-
		related pain as investigational.
	03/2015	Medical Policy Committee review
12/1	6/2015	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added heart failure to
		investigational statement.
	30/2016	Medical Policy Committee review
07/2	20/2016	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New INV statement added
		for high-frequency spinal cord stimulation.
	01/2017	Coding update: Removing ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes
	06/2017	Medical Policy Committee review
07/1	9/2017	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Removed wireless injectable
		dorsal from coverage statement. Rest of policy rewritten to track AIM.
	05/2018	Medical Policy Committee review
	1/2018	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
	07/2019	Medical Policy Committee review
03/2	20/2019	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Reporting of symptom
		severity: expanded to include IADLs as functional impairment. Added criteria for
		revision/removal of spinal cord stimulator. Separated criteria of trial stimulation
		and permanent stimulator implantation. Added exclusion of dorsal root ganglion
		stimulation.
03/0	05/2020	Medical Policy Committee review

Policy # 002 Original Effect Current Effect	
03/11/2020	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Severe pain and disability with documented pathology or an objective basis for the pain was removed as a bullet point from criteria.
05/07/2020	Medical Policy Committee review
05/13/2020	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added physical therapy or home therapy and one complementary modality to conservative management requirements, aligns with spine surgery guidelines. New indication for dorsal root ganglion stimulation. Clarified exclusions for spinal cord and dorsal root ganglion stimulation. Title changed.
05/06/2021	Medical Policy Committee review
05/12/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
12/02/2021	Medical Policy Committee review
12/08/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Waived surgical opinion requirement for patients with CRPS. Updated references. Allowed minimally invasive pain procedures to satisfy conservative management definition, specified timing of mental health evaluation, defined indications for repeat stimulator trial. Updated to track AIM guidelines.
12/01/2022	Medical Policy Committee review
12/07/2022	Coding update
12/14/2022	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. More rigorous definition of the supervised home PT requirement and removal of CBT as a conservative care modality. A repeat trial is not medically necessary if the initial trial failed, unless failure was due to mechanical causes, such as device failure or failure to guide the percutaneous stimulator lead to the appropriate level.
12/07/2023	Medical Policy Committee review
12/13/2023	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Addition of closed-loop
	system and clarifying edits made to both NMN statements.
03/07/2024	Medical Policy Committee review
03/13/2024	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Spinal cord/Dorsal root stimulators: expanded stimulator trial criteria for PDN; added clarifications. Added references. Title changed from "Spinal Cord and Nerve Root Stimulators" to "Spinal Cord and Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulators".
03/06/2025	Medical Policy Committee review
03/12/2025	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. "Chronic, nonsurgical low back pain with or without a neuropathic component in patients with no history of

Next Scheduled Review Date: 03/2026

coverage statement.

prior lumbar spine surgery" was added as Not Medically necessary. "Peripheral" was changed to "Painful" diabetic neuropathy (PDN) under the eligible for

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

Coding

The five character codes included in the Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT^{\circledast})[‡], copyright 2024 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Louisiana Blue and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	63650, 63655, 63663, 63664, 63685, 63688
HCPCS	C1767, C1820, C1822, C1826, L8679, L8680, L8682, L8683, L8685, L8686, L8687, L8688 Delete code effective 06/15/2025: C1827
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or

Policy # 00260

Original Effective Date: 08/18/2010 Current Effective Date: 06/15/2025

diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

- 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
- 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
- 3. Reference to federal regulations.

**Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity") - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.