

Baroreflex Stimulation Devices

Medicare Advantage Medical Policy No.: MNG-006

The Health Plan reserves the right to amend this policy and procedure at any time. Exceptions to this policy and procedure will be made on a case-by-case basis at the total discretion of the Health Plan.

Effective Date: February 20, 2024

Instructions for use

This policy serves to provide guidance in determining coverage based on medical necessity. It also gives a list of resources used to create these guidelines. Medical necessity determinations will be made in accordance with generally accepted standards of medical practice, taking into account credible scientific evidence published in peer reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, physician specialty society recommendations, and the views of the physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas, and other relevant factors, as they relate to the member's clinical circumstances.

Medicare Advantage Members

Coverage criteria for Medicare Advantage members can be found in Medicare coverage guidelines in statutes, regulations, National Coverage Determinations (NCD)s, and Local Coverage Determinations (LCD)s. To determine if a National or Local Coverage Determination addresses coverage for a specific service, refer to the Medicare Coverage Database at the following link: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>. You may wish to review the Guide to the MCD Search here: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/help/mcd-bene-help.aspx>.

When coverage criteria are not fully established in applicable Medicare statutes, regulations, NCDs or LCDs, internal coverage criteria will be developed. This policy is to serve as the summary of evidence, a list of resources and an explanation of the rationale that supports the adoption of the coverage criteria and is to be used by all plans and lines of business unless Federal or State law, contract language, including member or provider contracts, take precedence over the policy.

InterQual[®] is utilized as a source of medical evidence to support medical necessity and level of care decisions. InterQual[®] criteria are intended to be used in connection with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider. InterQual[®] criteria are clinically based on best practice, clinical data, and medical literature. The criteria are updated continually and released annually. InterQual[®] criteria are a first-level screening tool to assist in determining if the proposed services are clinically indicated and provided in the appropriate level or whether further evaluation is required. The utilization review nurse does the first-level screening. If the criteria are met, the case is approved; if the criteria are not met, the case is referred to the medical director.

Basic Requirements for Clinical Appropriateness:

1. Before diagnostic or therapeutic intervention, a clinician must confirm the diagnosis or establish the likelihood based on a history and physical exam and, when appropriate, a review of laboratory studies, previous diagnostic testing and response to any prior interventions, specifically relevant to the clinical situation.
2. An alternative treatment or other appropriate intervention should not offer any greater benefit based on standards of medical practice and/or current literature.

3. The potential benefit to the patient should outweigh the risk of the diagnostic or therapeutic intervention.
4. A reasonable likelihood of the intervention changing management and/or leading to an improved outcome for the patient must exist, based on the clinical evaluation, current literature and standards of medical practice.

If these requirements are not apparent in the request for authorization, including the clinical documentation provided, the determination of appropriateness will most likely require a peer-to-peer conversation to understand the individual and unique facts that would supersede the requirements set forth above. During the peer-to-peer conversation, factors such as patient acuity and setting of service may also be taken into account.

Simultaneous ordering of multiple diagnostic or therapeutic interventions and/or repeated diagnostic or therapeutic interventions in the same anatomic area may be denied, unless individual circumstances support the medical necessity of performing interventions simultaneously or repeatedly. This should be apparent in the clinical documentation or in peer-to-peer conversations.

Baroreflex Stimulation Devices

Services are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices, or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Health Plan considers the use of baroreflex stimulation implanted devices in all situations including but not limited to treatment of hypertension and heart failure to be investigational.*

Background/Overview

Description

Baroreflex stimulation devices provide electrical stimulation of the baroreceptors in the carotid arteries using an implanted device. Activation of the baroreflex inhibits the sympathetic nervous system, resulting in various physiologic changes, including slowed heart rate and lower blood pressure.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have treatment-resistant hypertension who receive baroreflex stimulation therapy, the evidence includes a randomized controlled trial (RCT) and several small uncontrolled studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-resistant morbidity. The uncontrolled studies have reported short-term reductions in blood pressure in patients treated with baroreflex stimulation devices, as well as adverse events such as infection, hypoglossal nerve injury, and wound complications. The RCT comparing baroreflex stimulation with continued medical management met some efficacy endpoints but not others, as well as 2 of its 3 predefined safety endpoints. Additional RCTs are needed to permit conclusions on efficacy and safety. Baroreflex stimulation for treatment-resistant hypertension is accessible only through a Humanitarian Device Exemption for patients who previously participated in a pivotal trial. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have treatment-resistant heart failure who receive baroreflex stimulation therapy, the evidence includes 2 RCTs, a post hoc subgroup analysis of an RCT, and meta-analyses of these trials. Relevant outcomes are OS, functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-resistant morbidity. The expedited phase of a 2019 RCT was used by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to approve the Barostim Neo System. The trial demonstrated that the system is safe and effective for its intended use population in the short term; however, results of the extended trial are not published, and longer-term outcomes have not been determined. A 2018 RCT met all 3 efficacy endpoints but had methodologic limitations, incomplete blinding, a relatively small sample size for a common condition, and a short intervention period. Another larger RCT designed to assess the effects of the intervention on mortality, safety, function, and quality of life outcomes is underway. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

In 2014, the Barostim Neo™‡ Legacy System received a humanitarian device exemption from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for use in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension who received Rheos®‡ Carotid Sinus leads as part of the Rheos pivotal trial and were considered responders in that trial.

In 2019, Barostim Neo was granted premarket approval (PMA P180050) and is indicated for the improvement of symptoms of heart failure (ie, quality of life, six-minute hall walk, and functional status) for patients who remain symptomatic despite treatment with guideline-directed medical therapy, are New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class III or Class II (with a recent history of Class III), and have a left ventricular ejection fraction less than or equal to 35% and a N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) less than 1600 pg/ml, excluding patients indicated for Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy according to the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/European Society of Cardiology guidelines.

It was the first device to be granted approval via the Expedited Access Pathway. The Expedited Access Pathway hastens the approval of novel therapies that target life-threatening conditions.

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Description

Baroreflex stimulation devices provide electrical stimulation of the baroreceptors in the carotid arteries using an implanted device. Activation of the baroreflex inhibits the sympathetic nervous system, resulting in various physiologic changes, including slowed heart rate and lower blood pressure.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have treatment-resistant hypertension who receive baroreflex stimulation therapy, the evidence includes a randomized controlled trial (RCT) and several small uncontrolled studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-resistant morbidity. The uncontrolled studies have reported short-term reductions in blood pressure in patients treated with baroreflex stimulation devices, as well as adverse events such as infection, hypoglossal nerve injury, and wound complications. The RCT comparing baroreflex stimulation with continued medical management met some efficacy endpoints but not others, as well as 2 of its 3 predefined safety endpoints. Additional RCTs are needed to permit conclusions on efficacy and safety. Baroreflex stimulation for treatment resistant hypertension is accessible only through a Humanitarian Device Exemption for patients who previously participated in a pivotal trial. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have treatment-resistant heart failure who receive baroreflex stimulation therapy, the evidence includes 2 RCTs, a post hoc subgroup analysis of an RCT, and meta-analyses of these trials. Relevant outcomes are OS, functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-resistant morbidity. The expedited phase of a 2019 RCT was used by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to approve the Barostim Neo System. The trial demonstrated that the system is safe and effective for its intended use population in the short term; however, results of the extended trial are not published, and longer-term outcomes have not been determined. A 2018 RCT met all 3 efficacy endpoints but had methodologic limitations, incomplete blinding, a relatively small sample size for a common condition, and a short intervention period. Another larger RCT designed to assess the effects of the intervention on mortality, safety, function, and quality of life outcomes is underway. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Heart Association

In 2017, the American Heart Association issued a joint guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults with the American College of Cardiology and multiple other organizations.¹⁷ This guideline notes that studies have not provided sufficient evidence to support the use of baroreceptor pacing for managing resistant hypertension.

In 2022, the American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, and multiple other organizations published a guideline on management of heart failure.¹⁸ The guideline states that baroreceptor stimulation has produced mixed results, and data regarding mortality and hospitalization are lacking.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2015, the NICE issued guidance that stated: "Current evidence on the safety and efficacy of implanting a baroreceptor stimulation device for resistant hypertension is inadequate. Therefore, this procedure should only be used in the context of research."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Key Ongoing and Unpublished Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
<i>Ongoing</i>			
NCT02627196 ^a	Barostim Neo [®] ‡ -Baroreflex Activation Therapy [®] ‡ for Heart Failure (BeAT-HF)	1200	Dec 2023
NCT01679132 ^a	CVRx Barostim NEO Hypertension Pivotal Trial	10	Mar 2026 (suspended; company resources only allows adequate oversight for 1 pivotal trial at a time); last update posted Dec 2021
NCT04502316 ^a	Real-World Experience -- Barostim [™] ‡ Advancing the Level of Clinical Evidence (REBALANCE Registry) A Post-Market Registry With the Barostim [™] ‡ System	5000	June 2028
NCT02876042 ^a	BAROSTIM THERAPY [™] ‡ in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A PostMarket Registry With the CE-Marked BAROSTIM NEO [™] ‡ System	70	Jul 2024
NCT02880618 ^a	BAROSTIM THERAPY [™] ‡ in Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Post-Market Registry With the CE-Marked BAROSTIM NEO [™] System	500	Jul 2024

NCT02880631 ^a	BAROSTIM THERAPY™‡ In Resistant Hypertension: A Post-Market Registry With the CE-Marked BAROSTIM NEO™‡ System	500	Jul 2024
NCT01471834 ^a	Neo Non-Randomized Hypertension Study	40	Aug 2026

NCT: national clinical trial.

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

References

1. Food and Drug Administration. Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE): Barostim Neo Legacy System. 2014; <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfhde/hde.cfm?id=h130007>.
2. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data (SSED). 16 Aug 2019; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf18/P180050b.pdf.
3. Zile MR, Abraham WT, Lindenfeld J, et al. First granted example of novel FDA trial design under Expedited Access Pathway for premarket approval: BeAT-HF. Am Heart J. Oct 2018; 204: 139-150. PMID 30118942
4. Bisognano JD, Bakris G, Nadim MK, et al. Baroreflex activation therapy lowers blood pressure in patients with resistant hypertension: results from the double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled rheos pivotal trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. Aug 09 2011; 58(7): 765-73. PMID 21816315
5. Bakris GL, Nadim MK, Haller H, et al. Baroreflex activation therapy provides durable benefit in patients with resistant hypertension: results of long-term follow-up in the Rheos Pivotal Trial. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2012; 6(2): 152-8. PMID 22341199
6. Heusser K, Tank J, Engeli S, et al. Carotid baroreceptor stimulation, sympathetic activity, baroreflex function, and blood pressure in hypertensive patients. Hypertension. Mar 2010; 55(3): 619-26. PMID 20101001
7. Hoppe UC, Brandt MC, Wachter R, et al. Minimally invasive system for baroreflex activation therapy chronically lowers blood pressure with pacemaker-like safety profile: results from the Barostim neo trial. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2012; 6(4): 270-6. PMID 22694986
8. Scheffers IJ, Kroon AA, Schmidli J, et al. Novel baroreflex activation therapy in resistant hypertension: results of a European multi-center feasibility study. J Am Coll Cardiol. Oct 05 2010; 56(15): 1254-8. PMID 20883933
9. Wallbach M, Lehnig LY, Schroer C, et al. Effects of Baroreflex Activation Therapy on Ambulatory Blood Pressure in Patients With Resistant Hypertension. Hypertension. Apr 2016; 67(4): 701-9. PMID 26902491
10. Cai G, Guo K, Zhang D, et al. The efficacy of baroreflex activation therapy for heart failure: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicine (Baltimore). Nov 06 2020; 99(45): e22951. PMID 33157936
11. Coats AJS, Abraham WT, Zile MR, et al. Baroreflex activation therapy with the Barostim™ device in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a patient level meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Heart Fail. Sep 2022; 24(9): 1665-1673. PMID 35713888

12. Zile MR, Lindenfeld J, Weaver FA, et al. Baroreflex Activation Therapy in Patients With Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol. Jul 07 2020; 76(1): 1-13. PMID 32616150
13. GlobeNewswire. CVRx Reports Preliminary Results of the BeAT-HF Post-Market Randomized Clinical Trial. <https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2023/02/21/2611936/0/en/CVRxReports-Preliminary-Results-of-the-BeAT-HF-Post-Market-Randomized-Clinical-Trial.html>. Published February 21, 2023.
14. Abraham WT, Zile MR, Weaver FA, et al. Baroreflex Activation Therapy for the Treatment of Heart Failure With a Reduced Ejection Fraction. JACC Heart Fail. Jun 2015; 3(6): 487-496. PMID 25982108
15. Weaver FA, Abraham WT, Little WC, et al. Surgical Experience and Long-term Results of Baroreflex Activation Therapy for Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. Summer 2016; 28(2): 320-328. PMID 28043438
16. Halbach M, Abraham WT, Butter C, et al. Baroreflex activation therapy for the treatment of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction in patients with and without coronary artery disease. Int J Cardiol. Sep 01 2018; 266: 187-192. PMID 29705650
17. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Hypertension. Jun 2018; 71(6): e13-e115. PMID 29133356
18. Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. May 03 2022; 79(17): e263-e421. PMID 35379503
19. National Institute for Clinical and Care Excellence (NICE). Implanting a baroreceptor stimulation device for resistant hypertension [IPG533]. 2015; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg533>.

Coding

The five character codes included in this medical policy are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2024 by the American Medical Association (AMA).

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	0266T, 0267T, 0268T, 0269T, 0270T, 0271T, 0272T, 0273T
HCPCS	C1825
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
 - 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
 - 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
 - 3. Reference to federal regulations.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.