Medicare Advantage Medical Policy # MA-024

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by the Health Plan, unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Health Plan considers electronic brachytherapy for the treatment of nonmelanoma skin cancer to be **investigational.***

Background/Overview

Nonmelanoma Skin Cancer

Squamous cell carcinoma and basal cell carcinoma are the most common types of nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC) in the United States, affecting between 1 million and 3 million people per year respectively, and increasing at a rate of 3% to 8% per year. Other types (eg, T-cell lymphoma, Merkel cell tumor, basosquamous carcinoma, Kaposi sarcoma) are much less common. Skin cancer can affect anyone, regardless of skin color; however, the incidence of skin cancer among non-Hispanic White individuals is approximately 30 times higher than that among non-Hispanic Black or Asian/Pacific Islander individuals. In individuals with darker skin tones, skin cancer is often diagnosed at a later stage when it is more difficult to treat. Additionally, these individuals are prone to skin cancer in areas not commonly exposed to the sun such as the palms of the hands, soles of the feet, the groin, and inside of the mouth.

The primary risk factor for NMSC is sun exposure, with additional risk factors such as toxic exposures, other ionizing radiation exposure, and immunosuppression playing smaller roles. Although these cancers are rarely fatal, they can impact quality of life, functional status, and physical appearance.

Treatment

In general, the most effective treatment for NMSC is surgical. If surgery is not feasible or preferred, cryosurgery, topical therapy, or radiotherapy can be considered, though the cure rate may be lower. When considering the most appropriate treatment strategy, recurrence rate, preservation of function, patient expectations, and potential adverse events should be considered.

Surgical

The choice of surgical procedure depends on the histologic type, size, and location of the lesion. Patient preferences can also play a factor in surgical decisions due to cosmetic reasons, as well as

the consideration of comorbidities and patient risk factors, such as anticoagulation. Local excisional procedures, such as electrodesiccation and curettage or cryotherapy, can be used for low-risk lesions,

Medical Policy # MA-024

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

while surgical excision is indicated for lesions that are not low risk. Mohs surgery is an excisional procedure that uses microscopic guidance to achieve greater precision and sparing of normal tissue. In patients who meet criteria for Mohs surgery, 5-year cure rates for basal cell cancer range from 98% to 99%, making Mohs surgery the preferred procedure for those who qualify.

Radiotherapy

Radiotherapy is indicated for certain NMSCs not amenable to surgery. In some cases, this is due to the location of the lesion on the eyelid, nose, or other structures that make surgery more difficult and which may be expected to have a less desirable cosmetic outcome. In other cases, surgery may be relatively contraindicated due to clinical factors, such as bleeding risk or advanced age. In elderly patients with a relatively large tumor that would require extensive excision, the benefit/risk ratio for radiotherapy may be considered favorable. The 5-year control rates for radiotherapy range from 80% to 92%, which is lower than that of surgical excision. A randomized controlled trial by Avril et al (1997) reported that radiotherapy for basal cell carcinoma resulted in greater numbers of persistent and recurrent lesions compared with surgical excision.

When radiotherapy is used for NMSC, the primary modality is external-beam radiotherapy. A number of different brachytherapy techniques have also been developed, including low-dose rate systems, iridium-based systems, and high-dose rate systems.

Electronic Brachytherapy

Electronic brachytherapy is a form of radiotherapy delivered locally, using a miniaturized electronic X-ray source rather than a radionuclide-based source. A pliable mold, constructed of silicone or polymethyl-methacrylate, is fitted to the tumor surface. This mold allows treatment to be delivered to nonflat surfaces such as the nose or ear. A radioactive source is then inserted into the mold to deliver a uniform radiation dosage directly to the lesion. Multiple treatment sessions within a short time period (typically within a month) are required.

This technique is feasible for well-circumscribed, superficial tumors because it focuses a uniform dose of X-ray source radiation on the lesion with the aid of a shielded surface application. Advantages of this treatment modality compared with standard radiotherapy include a shorter treatment schedule, avoidance of a surgical procedure and hospital stay, less severe side effects because the focused radiation spares healthy tissue and organs, and the avoidance of radioisotopes.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Electronic brachytherapy systems for the treatment of NMSCs are designed to deliver high-dose rate brachytherapy to treat skin surface lesions. This technique focuses a uniform dose of X-ray source radiation to the lesion with the aid of a shielded surface application. The Superficial X-Ray Radiation Therapy SRT-100 Vision $^{\text{TM}^+_2}$ System (Sensus Healthcare), Esteya $^{\text{®}^+_2}$ Electronic Brachytherapy

Medical Policy # MA-024

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

System (Nucletron BV), and the Xoft^{®‡} Axxent^{®‡} Electronic Brachytherapy System (iCAD) are systems that have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration through the 510(k) process.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration product code: JAD.

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Description

Electronic brachytherapy is a form of radiotherapy designed to deliver high-dose rate radiation to treat nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC). This technique focuses a uniform dose of X-ray source radiation to the lesion with the aid of a shielded surface application.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC) who receive electronic brachytherapy, the evidence includes 2 systematic reviews, 2 prospective cohort studies, and case series. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and treatmentrelated morbidity. No controlled trials were identified that have compared electronic brachytherapy with alternative treatment options. A 2016 systematic review of case series found local control rates ranging from 83% to 100% and recurrence rates ranging from 0% to 17%. In most studies, the recurrence rate was less than 5%. A 2019 meta-analysis reported brachytherapy cosmesis grades and 5-year local control rates that were comparable to both MMS and conventional excision. Preliminary results from a prospective matched pair cohort study reported no statistically significant difference in outcomes for the use of electronic brachytherapy compared to Mohs micorgraphic surgery (MMS) in NMSC, and a more recent single-arm prospective cohort study reported short-term improvements in some measures of quality of life, but confidence in these findings is low due to study design and conduct limitations. In the absence of randomized controlled studies, conclusions cannot be drawn about the efficacy and safety of electronic brachytherapy compared with other treatments for NMSC. Controlled trials are needed in defined populations that compare electronic brachytherapy with alternatives, specifically other forms of radiotherapy or surgical approaches. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US

Medical Policy # MA-024

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Academy of Dermatology

In 2018, the American Academy of Dermatology published guidelines on the management of basal cell carcinoma and the management of squamous cell carcinoma. Electronic brachytherapy was rated as a C recommendation, with a level of evidence of II and III. By comparison, surgery, cryosurgery, topical therapies, and photodynamic therapies are rated as A and B recommendations.

American Brachytherapy Society

The American Brachytherapy Society issued a consensus statement on electronic brachytherapy following a literature review focused on trials, prospective studies, multi-institutional series, and single institution reports addressing clinical outcomes and toxicities. Due to a lack of comparative data to traditional treatments and limited long-term follow-up, prospective studies with a larger number of patients undergoing electronic brachytherapy for nonmelanoma skin cancer are recommended. At this time, the statement recommends that treatment with electronic brachytherapy in this patient population should be performed in the context of a clinical registry or trial. This recommendation was reaffirmed in a 2020 American Brachytherapy Society consensus statement on skin brachytherapy.

American Society for Radiation Oncology

The American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) issued clinical practice guidelines regarding definitive and postoperative radiation therapy for basal and squamous cell cancers of the skin. Key questions were addressed by a systematic literature review and recommendations were developed via consensus with a modified Delphi approach. Consensus recommendations for specific dose-fractionation schemes are detailed for the definitive and post-operative settings. The guideline also states that appropriate use of any of the 4 major radiation modalities, including electronically-generated low energy sources such as electronic brachytherapy, result in similar local control and cosmetic outcomes. Therefore, "the decision of which modality and fractionation scheme to use should be based on both tumor characteristics (eg, shape, contour, depth, and location) and normal tissue considerations."

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines on basal cell carcinoma (v.3.2024) and squamous cell skin cancer (v.1.2024) both contain the following statement on brachytherapy: "There is insufficient long-term efficacy and safety data to support the routine use of electronic surface brachytherapy."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medical Policy # MA-024

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Key Ongoing Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT02131805	A Multicenter Pilot Study of Electronic Skin Surface Brachytherapy for Cutaneous Basal Cell and Squamous Cell Carcinoma	36	May 2024
Unpublished			
NCT01016899 ^a	Xoft Electronic Brachytherapy Clinical Protocol for the Primary Treatment of Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer	187 (actual)	Aug 2013 (completed)

NCT: national clinical trial.

References

- 1. Bhatnagar A. Nonmelanoma skin cancer treated with electronic brachytherapy: results at 1 year. Brachytherapy. 2013; 12(2): 134-40. PMID 23312675
- 2. Madan V, Lear JT, Szeimies RM. Non-melanoma skin cancer. Lancet. Feb 20 2010; 375(9715): 673-85. PMID 20171403
- 3. American Academy of Dermatology Association. Skin cancer. Updated April 22, 2022. https://www.aad.org/media/stats-skin-cancer.
- 4. Kim JYS, Kozlow JH, Mittal B, et al. Guidelines of care for the management of basal cell carcinoma. J Am Acad Dermatol. Mar 2018; 78(3): 540-559. PMID 29331385
- 5. Alam M, Nanda S, Mittal BB, et al. The use of brachytherapy in the treatment of nonmelanoma skin cancer: a review. J Am Acad Dermatol. Aug 2011; 65(2): 377-388. PMID 21496952
- 6. Avril MF, Auperin A, Margulis A, et al. Basal cell carcinoma of the face: surgery or radiotherapy? Results of a randomized study. Br J Cancer. 1997; 76(1): 100-6. PMID 9218740
- 7. Lee CT, Lehrer EJ, Aphale A, et al. Surgical excision, Mohs micrographic surgery, external-beam radiotherapy, or brachytherapy for indolent skin cancer: An international meta-analysis of 58 studies with 21,000 patients. Cancer. Oct 15 2019; 125(20): 3582-3594. PMID 31355928

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

Medical Policy # MA-024

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

- 8. Delishaj D, Rembielak A, Manfredi B, et al. Non-melanoma skin cancer treated with high-doserate brachytherapy: a review of literature. J Contemp Brachytherapy. Dec 2016; 8(6): 533-540. PMID 28115960
- 9. Patel R, Strimling R, Doggett S, et al. Comparison of electronic brachytherapy and Mohs micrographic surgery for the treatment of early-stage non-melanoma skin cancer: a matched pair cohort study. J Contemp Brachytherapy. Aug 2017; 9(4): 338-344. PMID 28951753
- 10. Kuo AM, Lee EH, Rossi AM, et al. A Multicenter Prospective Trial of Electronic Skin Surface Brachytherapy for Keratinocyte Carcinoma: Early Cosmesis, Quality of Life, and Adverse Events. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. Jul 01 2023; 116(3): 544-550. PMID 36586493
- 11. Cox JD, Stetz J, Pajak TF. Toxicity criteria of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) and the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. Mar 30 1995; 31(5): 1341-6. PMID 7713792
- 12. Doggett SW, Willoughby M, Miller KA, et al. Long-term clinical outcomes of non-melanoma skin cancer patients treated with electronic brachytherapy. J Contemp Brachytherapy. Feb 2023; 15(1): 9-14. PMID 36970438
- 13. Pellizzon ACA, Fogaroli R, Chen MJ, et al. High-dose-rate brachytherapy using Leipzig applicators for non-melanoma localized skin cancer. J Contemp Brachytherapy. Oct 2020; 12(5): 435-440. PMID 33299432
- 14. Paravati AJ, Hawkins PG, Martin AN, et al. Clinical and cosmetic outcomes in patients treated with high-dose-rate electronic brachytherapy for nonmelanoma skin cancer. Pract Radiat Oncol. 2015; 5(6): e659-64. PMID 26432680
- 15. Delishaj D, Laliscia C, Manfredi B, et al. Non-melanoma skin cancer treated with high-dose-rate brachytherapy and Valencia applicator in elderly patients: a retrospective case series. J Contemp Brachytherapy. Dec 2015; 7(6): 437-44. PMID 26816500
- 16. Tormo A, Celada F, Rodriguez S, et al. Non-melanoma skin cancer treated with HDR Valencia applicator: clinical outcomes. J Contemp Brachytherapy. Jun 2014; 6(2): 167-72. PMID 25097557
- 17. Bhatnagar A, Loper A. The initial experience of electronic brachytherapy for the treatment of non-melanoma skin cancer. Radiat Oncol. Sep 28 2010; 5: 87. PMID 20875139
- 18. Gauden R, Pracy M, Avery AM, et al. HDR brachytherapy for superficial non-melanoma skin cancers. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. Apr 2013; 57(2): 212-7. PMID 23551783
- 19. Guix B, Finestres F, Tello J, et al. Treatment of skin carcinomas of the face by high-dose-rate brachytherapy and custom-made surface molds. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. Apr 01 2000; 47(1): 95-102. PMID 10758310
- 20. Kim JYS, Kozlow JH, Mittal B, et al. Guidelines of care for the management of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. J Am Acad Dermatol. Mar 2018; 78(3): 560-578. PMID 29331386
- 21. Tom MC, Hepel JT, Patel R, et al. The American Brachytherapy Society consensus statement for electronic brachytherapy. Brachytherapy. 2019; 18(3): 292-298. PMID 30497939
- 22. Shah C, Ouhib Z, Kamrava M, et al. The American Brachytherapy society consensus statement for skin brachytherapy. Brachytherapy. 2020; 19(4): 415-426. PMID 32409128

Medical Policy # MA-024

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

- 23. Likhacheva A, Awan M, Barker CA, et al. Definitive and Postoperative Radiation Therapy for Basal and Squamous Cell Cancers of the Skin: Executive Summary of an American Society for Radiation Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. Pract Radiat Oncol. 2020; 10(1): 8-20. PMID 31831330
- 24. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Basal Cell Skin Cancer. Version 3.2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nmsc.pdf.
- 25. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Squamous Cell Skin Cancer. Version 1.2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/squamous.pdf.

Policy History

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

06/18/2024 Utilization Management Committee review/approval. New policy. 04/15/2025 Utilization Management Committee review/approval. Coding update.

Next Scheduled Review Date: 04/2026

Coding

The five character codes included in the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2025 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with the Health Plan and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Medical Policy # MA-024

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to)

the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	0394T
HCPCS	No codes
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
 - 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
 - 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
 - 3. Reference to federal regulations.

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the Health Plan's Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Health Plan recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.

Medicare Advantage Members

Established coverage criteria for Medicare Advantage members can be found in Medicare coverage guidelines in statutes, regulations, National Coverage Determinations (NCD)s, and Local Coverage

Medical Policy # MA-024

Original Effective Date: 06/18/2024 Current Effective Date: 05/01/2025

Determinations (LCD)s. To determine if a National or Local Coverage Determination addresses coverage for a specific service, refer to the Medicare Coverage Database at the following link: https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx. You may wish to review the Guide to the MCD Search here: https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/help/mcd-benehelp.aspx.

When coverage criteria are not fully established in applicable Medicare statutes, regulations, NCDs or LCDs, internal coverage criteria may be developed. This policy is to serve as the summary of evidence, a list of resources and an explanation of the rationale that supports the adoption of this internal coverage criteria.

InterQual®

Interqual® is utilized as a source of medical evidence to support medical necessity and level of care decisions. InterQual® criteria are intended to be used in connection with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider. InterQual® criteria are clinically based on best practice, clinical data, and medical literature. The criteria are updated continually and released annually. InterQual® criteria are a first-level screening tool to assist in determining if the proposed services are clinically indicated and provided in the appropriate level or whether further evaluation is required. The utilization review staff does the first-level screening. If the criteria are met, the case is approved; if the criteria are not met, the case is referred to the medical director.