



Lyme Disease

Policy Number: AHS – G2143 – Lyme Disease	Prior Policy Name and Number, as applicable:
Original Effective Date: 5/15/2022	
Current Effective Date: 1/01/2023	

POLICYDESCRIPTION|RELATEDPOLICIES|INDICATIONSAND/ORLIMITATIONSOFCOVERAGE|TABLEOFTERMINOLOGY|SCIENTIFICBACKGROUND |GUIDELINES AND RECOMMENDATIONS |STATE AND FEDERALREGULATIONS, ASAPPLICABLE |APPLICABLE CPT/HCPCS PROCEDURE CODES|EVIDENCE-BASED SCIENTIFIC REFERENCES |REVISION HISTORY

I. Policy Description

Lyme disease is a common multisystem inflammatory disease caused by spirochetes of the family *Borreliaceae* transmitted through the bite of an infected tick of the genus *Ixodes* (Barbour, 2021). Lyme disease affects the skin in its early, localized stage, and spreads to the joints, nervous system, and other organ systems in its later, disseminated stages (Hu, 2021).

II. Related Policies

Policy Number	Policy Title
AHS-G2158	Testing for Mosquito- or Tick-Related Infections

III. Indications and/or Limitations of Coverage

Application of coverage criteria is dependent upon an individual's benefit coverage at the time of the request. Specifications pertaining to Medicare and Medicaid can be found in Section VII of this policy document.

- 1) Serologic testing (2-tier testing strategy using a sensitive enzyme immunoassay (EIA) or immunofluorescence assay, followed by a western immunoblot assay or FDA-cleared second EIA assay) for all patients with a history of travel to a Lyme region (with or without a history of a tick bite) with compatible symptoms of Lyme disease **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 2) Serologic testing (2-tier testing strategy using a sensitive enzyme immunoassay (EIA) or immunofluorescence assay, followed by a western immunoblot assay or FDA-cleared second EIA assay) MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA for individuals with a history of travel to a Lyme region presenting with any of the following disorders:

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





- a) Acute myocarditis/pericarditis of unknown cause
- b) Meningitis, encephalitis, or myelitis
- c) Painful radiculoneuritis
- d) Mononeuropathy multiplex including confluent mononeuropathy multiplex
- e) Acute cranial neuropathy
- 3) Serologic testing **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA** in the following situations:
 - a) In patients with an erythema migrans (EM) rash. Patients with skin rashes consistent with EM who reside in or have recently traveled to an endemic area should be treated for Lyme disease.
 - b) For screening of asymptomatic patients living in endemic areas.
 - c) For patients with non-specific symptoms only (eg, fatigue, myalgias/arthralgias). The use of serologic testing in populations with a low pre-test probability of Lyme disease results in a greater likelihood of false positive test results than true positive test results.
 - d) In patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
 - e) In patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis
 - f) In patients with Parkinson's disease
 - g) In patients with dementia or cognitive decline, or new-onset seizures
 - h) In patients with psychiatric illness
- 4) PCR-based direct detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 5) Repeat serologic testing **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA** in individuals who have tested positive previously since positive results may not distinguish between past and possible current infection(s).

The following does not meet coverage criteria due to a lack of available published scientific literature confirming that the test(s) is/are required and beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of a patient's illness.

- 6) Repeat PCR-based direct detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA** in the following situations:
 - a) As a justification for continuation of IV antibiotics beyond one month in patients with persistent symptoms.
 - b) As a technique to follow a therapeutic response.
 - c) Via urine sample.

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





- 7) Other testing for *Borrelia burgdorferi* **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**, including but not limited to:
 - a) Genotyping and phenotyping
 - b) Determination of levels of the B lymphocyte chemoattractant CXCL₁₃
 - c) Urine assays, including urinary-based antigen capture assays
 - d) Panel immunoblot testing, such as Lyme ImmunoBlot IgM, Lyme ImmunoBlot IgG, and Lyme Dot Blot
- 8) Testing of the individual tick **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA** for the diagnosis of Lyme disease.

Term	Definition
AAN	The American Academy of Neurology
AAP	American Academy of Pediatrics
ACR	The American College of Rheumatology
CCDR	Canada Communicable Disease Report
CD57	Cluster designation 57 (type of lymphocyte)
CDC	The Centers for Disease Control
CLIA '88	Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988
CMS	Centres for Medicare and Medicaid
CNS	Central nervous system
CPS	Canadian Paediatric Society
CSF	Cerebrospinal fluid
EIA	Enzyme immunoassay
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EM	Erythema migrans
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
HDPCR	High definition PCR
IDEG	Infectious Disease Expert Group
IDSA	The Infectious Diseases Society of America
IFA	Immunofluorescence assay
IgG	Immunoglobulin G
IgM	Immunoglobulin M
LB	Lyme borreliosis
LD	Lyme disease
LDTs	Laboratory developed tests

IV. Table of Terminology

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.





LNB	Lyme neuroborreliosis
MTTT	Modified two-tiered testing
NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
PBMCs	Peripheral blood mononuclear cells
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PHAC	Public Health Agency of Canada
PNS	Peripheral nervous system
PPV	Positive predictive value
PTLDS	Post-Lyme disease Syndrome
RUO	Research use only
STTT	Standardized two-tier testing
TBP	Tick-borne pathogen
xVFA	Multiplexed vertical flow assay

V. Scientific Background

Lyme disease can be caused by several species in the spirochete family *Borreliaceae;* however, infection in North America is predominately caused by *B. burgdorferi*. Much less commonly, in the upper Midwest, cases have been associated with *B. mayonii* (Paul Mead & Schwartz, 2021; Pritt et al., 2016). The taxonomic classification system for this species is undergoing revision, and the genus name may be represented as either *Borrelia* or *Borreliella* (Adeolu & Gupta, 2014; Margos et al., 2017). *Borrelia burgdorferi* occurs naturally in reservoir hosts, including small mammals and birds (Hyde, 2017). *Ixodes scapularis* and *I. pacificus* become infected with *B. burgdorferi* while feeding on the blood of natural reservoir hosts. Transmission to humans results from the bite of an infected tick (Bacon, Kugeler, & Mead, 2008). Spirochete transmission times and virulence depend upon the tick and *Borrelia* species, and infection can never be excluded after a tick bite irrespective of the estimated duration of attachment time (Cook, 2015).

In the earliest stage of Lyme disease, *B. burgdorferi* disseminates from the site of the tick bite resulting in the colonization of dermal tissue and localized infection characterized by a painless bulls-eye rash called erythema migrans, experienced by approximately 70–80% of patients at the site of the tick bite. This is accompanied by non-specific flu-like symptoms, including headache, neck stiffness, malaise, fatigue, myalgia, and fever. During localized infection, the number of *B. burgdorferi* cells increases in the dermal tissue. If left untreated, *B. burgdorferi* can disseminate from the site of the tick bite through the bloodstream and/or lymphatic system to invade and colonize various tissues days to weeks after infection. This can affect the heart, joints, and nervous system. Months to years after exposure to *B. burgdorferi*, affected individuals can experience different manifestations, including neuroborreliosis, Lyme carditis, and/or arthritis (Hyde, 2017).

In 2018, 33,666 cases of confirmed and probable Lyme disease were reported in the United States, 21% less than in 2017 (CDC, 2018c). However, some studies suggest that closer to 300,000 people are diagnosed with Lyme disease each year in the United States. Lyme disease

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.





cases are concentrated in the Northeast and upper Midwest with 14 states accounting for over 96% of cases (CDC, 2018a).

Even following antibiotic treatment, a subset of patients continue to present with arthritic symptoms; this has been designated as postinfectious, antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis (Hyde, 2017). The term "post-Lyme disease syndrome" (PTLDS) is often used to describe the nonspecific symptoms (such as headache, fatigue, and arthralgias) that may persist for months after treatment of Lyme disease. For the majority of patients, these symptoms improve gradually over six months to one year (Hu, 2021). Weitzner et al. (2015) found that "PTLDS may persist for >10 years in some patients with culture-confirmed early Lyme disease. Such long-standing symptoms were not associated with functional impairment or a particular strain of *B*. *burgdorferi.*"

The diagnosis of Lyme disease is based on an individual's history of possible exposure to ticks, the presence of characteristic signs and symptoms, and blood test results (Hu, 2021). Direct detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* has limited applications (Marques, 2015). Thus, most laboratory confirmation of Lyme disease involves the detection of antibody responses against *B. burgdorferi* in serum (Schriefer, 2015). Serology testing is not recommended for patients who do not have symptoms typical of Lyme disease (Marques, 2015), as current assays do not distinguish between active and past infection, thus a positive result is more likely to be a false positive. The best indicator of early infection (erythema migrans) is presented in the majority of US cases and should prompt treatment without testing (Schriefer, 2015) as the lesion appears prior to development of a diagnostic, adaptive immune response (Hu, 2021).

Serological testing using the two tier algorithm, comprising a first screening enzymatic immunoassay (EIA), followed by a confirmatory Western blot test, is the gold standard for Lyme disease diagnoses(Bunikis & Barbour, 2002; Hu, 2021; John & Taege, 2019). Standardized 2-tier testing (STTT) is the recommended diagnostic technique for Lyme disease in clinical practice (CDC, 2019a). Although STTT detection of early, localized infection is poor, STTT detection of late disease is very good (Schriefer, 2015). Evidence of seronegative late Lyme disease is unconvincing (Halperin, 2015). A recent systematic review has shown that the sensitivity of serology for Lyme disease in early localized infection is 50%, but the algorithm performs well in late stages of the infection, where the sensitivity approaches 100% (Waddell et al., 2016).

On July 29, 2019, the FDA approved several Lyme disease serologic assays, including ZEUS ELISA, allowing for an EIA rather than Western blot as the second test in the two tier algorithm (CDC, 2019b). ZEUS ELISA is a Modified Two-Tiered Testing (MTTT) Algorithm that replaces the second-tier Western blot with a more sensitive and specific methodology, such as ELISA. According to ZEUS Scientific, MTTT reduces the number of missed clinically positive patient samples and improves lab efficiency (ZEUS_Scientific, 2019). Compared to the traditional STTT, the MTTT algorithms improve sensitivity to detect early infections and have equivalent sensitivity for detecting late-stage infections and comparable specificity. In addition, MTTT may have the benefit of improved sensitivity in identifying positive cases in patients infected with related strains of *Borrelia*. In a study by Davis, one case of infection with a European genospecies of *Borrelia* was detected by MTTT, which was missed by STTT (Davis et al., 2020). The Canada

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





Communicable Disease Report (CCDR) agrees with the FDA recommendation, advising that "Diagnostic improvements in sensitivity of [Lyme disease] testing without significant loss of specificity have been consistently reported when MTTT is compared with STTT in studies conducted in highly [Lyme disease] endemic regions" (CCDR, 2020).

PCR may be useful in the early stages of a Lyme disease infection before an immune response occurs and is also helpful when testing for reinfection (John & Taege, 2019). Other potential techniques for Lyme disease diagnostics include cell culture, ELISA, urine testing, and multiplex testing techniques.

Clinical Utility and Validity

Waddell et al. (2016) assessed the accuracy of the traditional diagnostic tests of Lyme disease. A total of 11 studies with 34 lines of data were evaluated for the overall accuracy. The overall sensitivity was found to be 82%, and the overall specificity was found to be 94.2%. Fifteen studies were examined for Stage 1 of Lyme disease, and the sensitivity was found to be 54%; however, the specificity was calculated to be 96.8%. Stage 2 (5 studies, 6 lines) had a sensitivity of 79.1% and specificity of 97.7%, and Stage 3 (9 studies, 20 lines) had a sensitivity of 94.7% and specificity of 96.1%. The CDC immunoblots (second tier, 2 studies, 4 lines) were estimated at 91% sensitivity and 99% specificity (Waddell et al., 2016).

Other diagnostic tests have been created but not widely validated (Hu, 2021). For instance, Wormser et al. (2013) evaluated a C6 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as a singlestep, serodiagnostic test that uses a reference standard of two-tier testing. This test provided increased sensitivity in early Lyme disease with comparable sensitivity in later manifestations of the disease. Four hundred and three samples were compared to the sensitivities of the traditional two-tier tests, and the C6 ELISA was measured to have a 66.5% sensitivity and a 35.2% sensitivity, both of which were more sensitive than the individual steps of the STTT approach. The specificity was evaluated with over 2200 blood donors, and the C6 ELISA was evaluated at 98.9% specificity (Wormser et al., 2013).

Urine testing for diagnosis of Lyme disease is also available from multiple laboratories. For example, Igenex (2017b) claims that the urine tests "are useful during the acute phase of infection before antibodies are present, in seronegative patients, in patients with vague symptoms of long duration, and previously-treated patients with recurring symptoms." However, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) states that urine tests for *B. burgdorferi* "have been found to be invalid on the basis of independent testing or to be too nonspecific to exclude false-positives (AAP, 2018)." The CDC also includes urine testing for Lyme disease within their list of laboratory tests that are not recommended (CDC, 2018b).

Igenex's proprietary Immunoblot has been used to detect IgM and IgG antibodies to diagnose Lyme disease. From the sample report, Igenex has stated that "Recombinant *B. burgdorferi* species antigens are sprayed at specific positions onto a nitrocellulose membrane and cut into strips. These strips are used to detect *B. burgdorferi* specific antibodies in patient serum (Igenex, 2017b)." Eight total species of *Borrelia* are detected by this test; based on 174 samples, the ImmunoBlot was found to have a sensitivity of 90.9% and specificities of 98% (IgM) and 98.7%

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





(IgG) (Igenex, 2017b). Igenex also has a PCR-based test for the detection of *B. burgdorferi*. Four hundred and two positive samples for *B. burgdorferi* were evaluated based on Igenex's proprietary PCR test and the CDC diagnostic criteria (the traditional two-tiered test). Out of the 402 samples, 236 were considered positive by the proprietary PCR test and 70 were considered positive per the CDC criteria (Igenex, 2017a).

Joung et al. (2019) note that while the CDC recommends serological methods for Lyme disease testing, it is expensive (>\$400/test) and can take longer than 24 hours to obtain results; therefore, a cost-effective and rapid assay was developed to address these challenges. This assay can detect early stage Lyme disease and "assays for antibodies specific to seven *Borrelia* antigens and a synthetic peptide in a paper-based multiplexed vertical flow assay (xVFA)"; the specificity of this test was identified at 87% and sensitivity at 90.5% (Joung et al., 2019).

A total of 379 whole blood samples were used to evaluate ChromaCode's Research Use Only (RUO) nine target High-Definition PCR (HDPCRTM) Tick-Borne Pathogen (TBP) panel (Shakir, Mansfield, Hays, Couturier, & Hillyard, 2019). Results were compared to clinically validated real-time PCR assays and laboratory developed tests. The final positive percent agreement and negative percent agreement "for the TBP panel was 97.7% (95% CI 95.2% - 99.0%) and 99.6% (95% CI 99.3% - 99.8%), respectively, with an overall agreement of 99.5% (95% CI 99.2% - 99.7%)" with the laboratory developed tests (Shakir et al., 2019)."

Nigrovic et al. (2019) evaluated the Lyme disease PCR test compared to the traditional two-tier assessment method (a positive or equivocal EIA and a positive immunoblot test). In total, 124 were tested and 54 had Lyme disease. However, only 23 of the Lyme disease patients had a positive PCR test, giving a sensitivity of 41.8% and specificity of 100% (Nigrovic et al., 2019). These results show that the Lyme disease PCR test has low sensitivity.

Davis et al. (2020) evaluated the effectiveness of the MTTT algorithm compared to the STTT algorithm. Modified two-tiered testing (MTTT) algorithm uses a second enzyme immunoassay (EIA) instead of the immunoblots for samples that test positive or equivocal on the first EIA. Retrospective chart reviews were performed on 10,253 specimens tested for Lyme disease (LD) serology. "Patients were classified as having Lyme disease if they had a positive STTT result, a negative STTT result but symptoms consistent with Lyme disease, or evidence of seroconversion on paired specimens (Davis et al., 2020)." Of the 10,253 specimens, 9,806 (95.6%) were negative for Lyme disease and 447 patients tested positive. Of the 447 patients, 227 were classified as patients with Lyme disease. "Of the 227 patients classified as having LD, 65 (28.6%) had early localized infections, 67 (29.5%) had early disseminated infections, 26 (11.5%) had late LD, 61 (26.9%) had evidence of old infections, and 8 (3.5%) had posttreatment LD syndrome. Of the remaining 63 patients with early localized disease, 16 (25.4%) were positive by MTTT but negative by STTT. The MTTT identified an additional four (6.6%) cases of early disseminated infection and one case (3.8%) in late LD (Davis et al., 2020)." Overall, MTTT identified additional cases in early localized and early disseminated infections and detected 25% more early infections with a specificity of 99.56% (99.41 to 99.68%) compared to the STTT.

Other studies focus on the comparison between available tests. van Gorkom et al. (2020) evaluated the utility of an in-house and a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





(ELISpot) assay for the diagnosis of Lyme neuroborreliosis (LNB). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from eighty-seven patients diagnosed with LNB at Diakonessenhuis Hospital, Utrecht, and the St Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands between March 2014 and November 2017. In-house Borrelia ELISpot assay and the commercial LymeSpot assay. However, it was found that both tests performed unsatisfactorily-the sensitivity for the Borrelia ELISpot yielded a sensitivity of 61.1% (95% CI: 38.9-77.8%) and a specificity of 66.7(42.0-81.2%), while the LymeSpot assay produced 66.7% (95% CI: 44.4-88.9%) and 59.4% (95% 44.9-72.5%), respectively. Moreover, low PPVs for ELISpot and LymeSpot were observed (30.6% vs. 29.7%, respectively), further corroborate their poor diagnostic performance. The researchers do acknowledge a few shortcomings in their study, namely that the isolation procedure for the PBMC deviated from that of the LymeSpot assay however, the deviations from protocol were allowed for the technician to minimize differences when comparing across assays to allow for fairer comparison of results. Though this was the case, they believe still that the deviations "from the recommended protocol are not critical", and as such they uphold "the conclusion stands that both ELISpot assays cannot help to diagnose active LNB" (van Gorkom et al., 2020).

VI. Guidelines and Recommendations

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC)

The CDC currently recommends a two-step process when testing blood for evidence of antibodies against the Lyme disease bacteria. Both steps can be done using the same blood sample.

- The first step uses a testing procedure called "EIA" (enzyme immunoassay) or rarely, an "IFA" (indirect immunofluorescence assay).
- If this first step is negative, no further testing of the specimen is recommended.
- If the first step is positive or indeterminate (sometimes called "equivocal"), the second step should be performed.
- The second step uses a test called an immunoblot test, commonly, a "Western blot" test.
- Results are considered positive only if the EIA/IFA and the immunoblot are both positive (CDC, 2021; P. Mead et al., 2019).

CDC Guidelines on Non-Recommended Lab Tests:

Some laboratories offer Lyme disease testing using assays whose accuracy and clinical usefulness have not been adequately established. Examples of unvalidated tests include:

- 1. Capture assays for antigens in urine
- 2. Culture, immunofluorescence staining, or cell sorting of cell wall-deficient or cystic forms of *B. burgdorferi*
- 3. Lymphocyte transformation tests
- 4. Quantitative CD57 lymphocyte assays

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.





- 5. "Reverse Western blots"
- 6. In-house criteria for interpretation of immunoblots
- 7. Measurements of antibodies in joint fluid (synovial fluid)
- 8. IgM or IgG tests without a previous ELISA/EIA/IFA (CDC, 2018b)

In the 2019 update concerning the CDC recommendations for serologic diagnosis of Lyme disease, they state, "When cleared by FDA for this purpose, serologic assays that utilize EIA rather than western immunoblot assay in a two-test format are acceptable alternatives for the laboratory diagnosis of Lyme disease. Based on the criteria established at the 1994 Second National Conference on Serologic Diagnosis of Lyme Disease, clinicians and laboratories should consider serologic tests cleared by FDA as CDC-recommended procedures for Lyme disease serodiagnosis (P. Mead et al., 2019)."

The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), The American Academy of Neurology (AAN), and The American College of Rheumatology (ACR)

The IDSA, AAN and ACR have published clinical practice guidelines for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of Lyme disease. The guidelines include the following statements:

- Following a tick bite, "We recommend submitting the removed tick for species identification. (good practice statement)
- We recommend against testing a removed *Ixodes* tick for *B. burgdorferi* (strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence). The presence or absence of *B. burgdorferi* in an Ixodes tick removed from a person does not reliably predict the likelihood of clinical infection.
- We recommend against testing asymptomatic patients for exposure to *B. burgdorferi* following an *Ixodes* spp. tick bite (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
- In patients with potential tick exposure in a Lyme disease endemic area who have 1 or more skin lesions compatible with erythema migrans, we recommend clinical diagnosis rather than laboratory testing (strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence).
- In patients with 1 or more skin lesions suggestive of, but atypical for erythema migrans, we suggest antibody testing performed on an acute-phase serum sample (followed by a convalescent-phase serum sample if the initial result is negative) rather than currently available direct detection methods such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or culture performed on blood or skin samples (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence). Comment: If needed, the convalescent-phase serum sample should be collected at least 2–3 weeks after collection of the acute-phase serum sample.
- When assessing patients for possible Lyme neuroborreliosis involving either the peripheral nervous system (PNS) or central nervous system (CNS), we recommend serum antibody

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





testing rather than PCR or culture of either cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or serum (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

- If CSF testing is performed in patients with suspected Lyme neuroborreliosis involving the CNS, we (a) recommend obtaining simultaneous samples of CSF and serum for determination of the CSF: serum antibody index, carried out by a laboratory using validated methodology, (b) recommend against CSF serology without measurement of the CSF: serum antibody index, and (c) recommend against routine PCR or culture of CSF or serum (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
- In patients presenting with 1 or more of the following acute disorders: meningitis, painful radiculoneuritis, mononeuropathy multiplex including confluent mononeuropathy multiplex, acute cranial neuropathies (particularly VII, VIII, less commonly III, V, VI, and others), or in patients with evidence of spinal cord (or rarely brain) inflammation, the former particularly in association with painful radiculitis involving related spinal cord segments, and with epidemiologically plausible exposure to ticks infected with *B. burgdorferi*, we recommend testing for Lyme disease (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
- In patients with typical amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, dementia or cognitive decline, or new-onset seizures, we recommend against routine testing for Lyme disease (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).
- In patients with neurological syndromes other than those listed... in the absence of a history of other clinical or epidemiologic support for the diagnosis of Lyme disease, we recommend against screening for Lyme disease (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence)
- In patients presenting with nonspecific magnetic resonance imaging white matter abnormalities confined to the brain in the absence of a history of other clinical or epidemiologic support for the diagnosis of Lyme disease, we suggest against testing for Lyme disease (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence).
- In patients with psychiatric illness, we recommend against routine testing for Lyme disease (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).
- In children presenting with developmental, behavioral, or psychiatric disorders, we suggest against routinely testing for Lyme disease (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence).
- In patients with acute myocarditis/pericarditis of unknown cause in an appropriate epidemiologic setting, we recommend testing for Lyme disease (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence)
- In patients with chronic cardiomyopathy of unknown cause, we suggest against routine testing for Lyme disease (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence)
- When assessing for possible Lyme arthritis, we recommend serum antibody testing over PCR or culture of blood or synovial fluid/tissue (strong recommendation, moderate quality of evidence)

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





• In seropositive patients for whom the diagnosis of Lyme arthritis is being considered but treatment decisions require more definitive information, we recommend PCR applied to synovial fluid or tissue rather than *Borrelia* culture of those samples (strong recommendation, moderate quality of evidence) (Lantos et al., 2021)."

The guideline also made several relevant comments on the above recommendations:

- The guideline commented that knowing tick characteristics (such as "species, life stage, and an assessment of the degree of blood engorgement") is helpful for early guidance, such as antibiotic management.
- "Serologic testing of asymptomatic patients following a tick bite does not help with treatment decisions."
- "Association of Lyme disease with meningitis, cranial neuritis, radiculoneuritis, and other forms of mononeuropathy multiplex is well established...The few systematic studies that have been performed have failed to identify consistent associations between Lyme disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease, or Parkinson's disease...These recommendations place a high value on avoiding false positive Lyme disease test results, which can delay appropriate medical evaluation and treatment of other disorders and lead to unnecessary antibiotic exposure and potential side effects."
- "The main disadvantage of this approach [the traditional 'two-tiered approach' is that seroreactivity after successfully treated Lyme borreliosis may persist for years, complicating test interpretation in patients with known previous exposure and/or in patients from highly endemic areas where background seroprevalence is substantial. In such patients, after seroreactivity has been demonstrated, synovial fluid or synovial tissue B. burgdorferi PCR may improve diagnostic specificity."

International Lyme and Associated Diseases Society

The International Lyme and Associated Diseases Society have published guidelines on the assessment and treatment of Lyme disease; no method of testing has been recommended over STTT.

The American College of Rheumatology

The ACR also recommends that "the musculoskeletal manifestations of Lyme disease include brief attacks of arthralgia or intermittent or persistent episodes of arthritis in one or a few large joints at a time, especially the knee. Lyme testing in the absence of these features increases the likelihood of false positive results and may lead to unnecessary follow-up and therapy. Diffuse arthralgias, myalgias or fibromyalgia alone are not criteria for musculoskeletal Lyme disease (ACR, 2013)."

Committee on Infectious Diseases, American Academy of Pediatrics, 31st Edition

The Committee on Infectious Diseases released joint guidelines with the American Academy of Pediatrics. They state that the standard testing method for Lyme disease is the two-tier testing

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.





algorithm. The initial test is an ELISA or EIA or an immunofluorescent antibody test (IFA) followed by a Western immunoblot. Only specimens that test positive or equivocal on the first test need to be tested with the immunoblot.

The Red Book states that no PCR tests for *B. burgdorferi* are FDA-approved and are not routinely recommended, although PCR testing of joint fluid from a patient with Lyme arthritis may establish a diagnosis. Other tests, such as urine tests for *B. burgdorferi*, the CD57 assay, novel culture techniques, and antibody panels are considered "invalid" as they are not accurate enough to exclude false positive results. The Red Book also notes that the specificity of the C6 EIA does not exceed the specificity of immunoblot (AAP, 2018).

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

NICE recommends diagnosis without laboratory testing in patients with erythema migrans. For patients without erythema migrans, NICE states to consider using an ELISA test. If this ELISA is positive or equivocal, then an immunoblot may be performed. If both tests are positive, then Lyme disease may be diagnosed (NICE, 2018).

NICE also published guidelines in 2019 with the following recommendations:

- "People presenting with erythema migrans are diagnosed and treated for Lyme disease based on clinical assessment, without laboratory testing.
- People with suspected Lyme disease without erythema migrans who have a negative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test carried out within 4 weeks of their symptoms starting may have the test repeated 4 to 6 weeks later if Lyme disease is still suspected (NICE, 2019)."

NICE also produced a diagnostic algorithm in November 2020 with the following recommendations:

- "If Lyme disease is still suspected in people with a negative ELISA who have had symptoms for 12 weeks or more, perform an immunoblot test.
- Carry out an immunoblot test, despite an initial negative ELISA, when there is clinical suspicion of Lyme disease. Diagnose Lyme disease in people with symptoms of Lyme disease and a positive immunoblot test.
- If the immunoblot test for Lyme disease is negative (regardless of the ELISA result) but symptoms persist, consider a discussion with or referral to a specialist, to: review whether further tests may be needed for suspected Lyme disease, for example, synovial fluid aspirate or biopsy, or lumbar puncture for cerebrospinal fluid analysis or consider alternative diagnoses (both infectious, including other tick-borne diseases, and non-infectious).
- Initial testing with a combination IgM and IgG ELISA for Lyme disease should be offered because the evidence generally showed better accuracy (both sensitivity and specificity) for combined tests compared to IgM-only and IgG-only tests. The evidence was best for

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





tests based on purified or recombinant antigens derived from the VlsE protein or its IR6 domain peptide (such as a C6)."

This diagnostic algorithm was primarily based off of NICE's 2018 guidelines (NICE, 2018, 2020).

Canadian Paediatric Society (CPS)

The CPS states that the diagnosis of Lyme disease is generally a clinical one, is based on erythema migrans, and may be supported by the fact that the patient was in an area where tick bites are common; however, since tick populations are expanding, the CPS suggests that all patients with erythema migrans "be diagnosed and treated without laboratory confirmation, because antibodies against *B. burgdorferi* are often not detectable by serodiagnostic testing within the first four weeks after infection (Onyett, 2020)."

Further, the CPS then states that "All other clinical manifestations of possible LD [Lyme disease] should be supported by laboratory confirmation. Two-tiered serological testing, including an ELISA screening test followed by a confirmatory Western blot test, is used to supplement clinical suspicion of extracutaneous LD. Two-tiered testing is necessary because the ELISA may yield false-positive results from antibodies directed against other spirochetes, viral infections or autoimmune diseases... Supplemental tests can detect Borrelia species that cause LD outside of North America. Therefore, travel history should be documented (Onyett, 2020)."

"Tests of joint fluid for antibody to *B burgdorferi* and urinary antigen detection have no role in diagnosis. In suspected Lyme meningitis, testing for intrathecal immunoglobulin M or immunoglobulin G antibodies may be helpful (Onyett, 2020)."

Public Health Agency of Canada

in 2020, the PHAC published guidelines regarding laboratory testing for Lyme disease. These guidelines state that "Laboratory testing should only be used to supplement clinical findings, not as a basis for diagnosis of early Lyme disease (PHAC, 2020)."

Further, when laboratory testing is used, a two-tiered serological testing method is validated and used in Canada. This "two-tiered serological testing approach is recommended when testing a patient's blood for antibodies against the bacterium causing Lyme disease" and includes the use of an EIA screening test and a confirmatory immunoblot test (if the EIA is positive or equivocal) (PHAC, 2020).

For patients with illness lasting over a month, only IgG testing should be performed (not IgM). A positive IgM test alone is not sufficient to diagnose current disease in these patients, as a positive test cannot distinguish between active and a past infection. PHAC advises that the EIA test has low specificity, may yield false positive test results if used as a stand-alone test, and may cross-react with antibodies to commensal or pathogenic spirochetes. In suspected Lyme meningitis, testing for intrathecal IgG or IgM antibodies may be helpful (PHAC, 2020).

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.





In an update, the Canadian government expounded upon these recommendations for the diagnosis of early localized Lyme disease. With reference to tick exposure with an erythema migrans rash, they recommend the following:

"A patient presenting with an erythema migrans rash may be clinically diagnosed with Lyme disease if they have a history of tick exposure. A history of tick exposure includes a recent tick bite, or living in or having recently visited a Lyme disease endemic area.

In this scenario, treatment is recommended without laboratory confirmation, as serologic tests are not sensitive at this stage."

In the case of ascertaining tick exposure without an erythema migrans rash, they propound that "If a patient has a history of tick exposure and presents with other non-specific symptoms, such as headache, fever, and muscle and joint pain, but does not present with an erythema migrans rash, the patient may still have Lyme disease." As such, "In this scenario, serological testing is recommended, and an acute and convalescent sample (2 to 4 weeks after the acute one) may be required to obtain laboratory confirmation of Lyme disease." The PHAC also acknowledges that some individuals who receive treatment during early localized Lyme disease may not seroconvert. That is, IgG antibodies may not be detected in serological tests performed on convalescent samples" (Canada, 2022).

Infectious Disease Expert Group (IDEG) of Nova Scotia

IDEG has published guidelines regarding diagnostic testing for Lyme disease. IDEG recommends that:

- "Physicians need to be aware that the diagnosis of early Lyme disease with localized EM in season (anytime temperature reaches > 4° C, with the greatest risk of transmission during summer months) is a clinical one. Serological tests have poor sensitivity during the first four weeks of infection and are not recommended for management decisions.
- Patients with an EM-like rash out of season (regardless of exposure area) should undergo serological testing using the two-tiered algorithm. If the test result is negative, serological testing should be repeated in 4-6 weeks.
- Patients presenting with a nonspecific febrile illness, but no EM-like rash, AND a recent, clear exposure in an area at moderate or higher risk for Lyme disease should be tested and monitored for other symptoms suggestive of Lyme disease. Repeat testing in 4-6 weeks is suggested if there are still concerns that the patient has Lyme disease.
- Patients presenting with only a nonspecific febrile illness and exposure in an area at lower risk for Lyme disease should NOT be tested.
- Patients with signs and symptoms suggestive of early disseminated and late Lyme disease should undergo serologic testing using the two-tiered algorithm. These presentations take time to manifest and may present out of season.

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





• Patients in whom there is a concern for neuroborreliosis should undergo a lumbar puncture to look for cerebrospinal fluid abnormalities, in addition to serological testing at the same time. Consultation with an infectious diseases physician or neurologist would be appropriate (IDEG, 2020)."

IDEG does not recommend testing in the absence of signs or symptoms of Lyme disease, testing in asymptomatic patients who have a blacklegged tick bite as antibodies to *B. burgdorferi* are not detected until a few weeks after infection, or repeat testing after treatment. IDEG does not recommend bypassing ELISA and using immunoblots alone. Immunoblots done in the absence of preceding ELISA testing have been associated with a reduction in specificity. Lastly, IDEG does not recommend the use of PCR on blood, serum, or plasma or the use of urinary antigen as these tests have not been validated (IDEG, 2020).

VII. State and Federal Regulations, as applicable

DISCLAIMER: If there is a conflict between this Policy and any relevant, applicable government policy for a particular member [e.g., Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) for Medicare and/or state coverage for Medicaid], then the government policy will be used to make the determination. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit the Medicare search

website: <u>http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/overview-and-quick-search.aspx</u>. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, visit the applicable state Medicaid website.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

A search for "Lyme disease" on the FDA website on January 21, 2022, yielded 16 results. Additionally, many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). As an LDT, the U. S. Food and Drug Administration has not approved or cleared this test; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

VIII. Applicable CPT/HCPCS Procedure Codes

СРТ	Code Description
	Antibody; Borrelia burgdorferi (Lyme disease) confirmatory test (eg, Western Blot
86617	or immunoblot)
86618	Antibody; Borrelia burgdorferi (Lyme disease)
	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); Borrelia burgdorferi,
87475	direct probe technique
	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); Borrelia burgdorferi,
87476	amplified probe technique

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





	Borrelia burgdorferi, antibody detection of 5 recombinant protein groups, by
	immunoblot, IgM
	Proprietary test: Lyme ImmunoBlot IgM
0041U	Lab/Manufacturer: IGeneX Inc
	Borrelia burgdorferi, antibody detection of 12 recombinant protein groups, by
	immunoblot, IgG
	Proprietary test: Lyme ImmunoBlots IgG
0042U	Lab/Manufacturer: IGeneX Inc
	Borrelia burgdorferi (Lyme disease), OspA protein evaluation, urine
	Proprietary test: Lyme Borrelia Nanotrap® Urine Antigen Test
0316U	Lab/Manufacturer: Galaxy Diagnostics Inc
Current Procedural Terminology@ American Medical Association All Rights reserved	

Current Procedural Terminology[©] American Medical Association. All Rights reserved.

Procedure codes appearing in Medical Policy documents are included only as a general reference tool for each policy. They may not be all-inclusive.

IX. Evidence-based Scientific References

- AAP. (2018). Lyme Disease. In D. Kimberlin, M. Brady, M. Jackson, & S. Long (Eds.), Red Book: 2018 Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases (pp. 515-523): American Academy of Pediatrics.
- ACR. (2013). ACRheum Testing for Lyme disease | Choosing Wisely. Retrieved from http://www.choosingwisely.org/clinician-lists/american-college-rheumatology-testingfor-lyme-disease/. http://www.choosingwisely.org/clinician-lists/american-collegerheumatology-testing-for-lyme-disease/
- Adeolu, M., & Gupta, R. S. (2014). A phylogenomic and molecular marker based proposal for the division of the genus Borrelia into two genera: the emended genus Borrelia containing only the members of the relapsing fever Borrelia, and the genus Borreliella gen. nov. containing the members of the Lyme disease Borrelia (Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato complex). *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek, 105*(6), 1049-1072. doi:10.1007/s10482-014-0164-x
- Bacon, R. M., Kugeler, K. J., & Mead, P. S. (2008). Surveillance for Lyme disease--United States, 1992-2006. *MMWR Surveill Summ*, 57(10), 1-9. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/ss5710a1.htm
- Barbour, A. (2021). Microbiology of Lyme disease UpToDate. In J. Mitty & A. C. Steere (Eds.), *UpToDate*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.uptodate.com/contents/microbiology-of-lyme-disease?source=see_link</u>
- Bunikis, J., & Barbour, A. G. (2002). Laboratory testing for suspected Lyme disease. *Med Clin North Am*, 86(2), 311-340. Retrieved from <u>http://dx.doi.org/</u>
- Cameron, D. J., Johnson, L. B., & Maloney, E. L. (2014). Evidence assessments and guideline recommendations in Lyme disease: the clinical management of known tick bites, erythema migrans rashes and persistent disease. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*, 12(9), 1103-1135. doi:10.1586/14787210.2014.940900

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





- Canada, G. o. (2022). Lyme disease: For health professionals Retrieved from <u>https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/lyme-disease/health-professionals-lyme-disease.html#a3</u>
- CCDR. (2020). Modified two-tiered testing algorithm for Lyme disease serology: the Canadian context. *Can Commun Dis Rep, 46*(5), 125-131. doi:10.14745/ccdr.v46i05a05
- CDC. (2018a). How many people get Lyme disease? Retrieved from <u>https://www.cdc.gov/lyme/stats/humancases.html#:~:text=Lyme%20disease%20cases%2</u> <u>0are%20concentrated,is%20shown%20by%20national%20surveillance</u>.
- CDC. (2018b, December 21, 2018). Laboratory tests that are not recommended. Retrieved from <u>https://www.cdc.gov/lyme/diagnosistesting/labtest/otherlab/index.html</u>
- CDC. (2018c). Lyme disease data tables / Lyme Disease / CDC. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/lyme/datasurveillance/recent-surveillance-data.html
- CDC. (2019a). Diagnosis and Testing | Lyme Disease | CDC. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/lyme/diagnosistesting/labtest/twostep/index.html
- CDC. (2019b). Updated CDC Recommendation for Serologic Diagnosis of Lyme Disease. 68(32). Retrieved from

https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/68/wr/mm6832a4.htm?s_cid=mm6832a4_w

- CDC. (2021, May 21, 2021). Diagnosis and Testing | Lyme Disease | CDC. Retrieved from <u>https://www.cdc.gov/lyme/diagnosistesting/index.html?CDC_AA_refVal=https%3A%2F</u> <u>%2Fwww.cdc.gov%2Flyme%2Fdiagnosistesting%2Flabtest%2Ftwostep%2Findex.html</u>
- Cook, M. J. (2015). Lyme borreliosis: a review of data on transmission time after tick attachment. *Int J Gen Med*, *8*, 1-8. doi:10.2147/ijgm.s73791
- Davis, I. R. C., McNeil, S. A., Allen, W., MacKinnon-Cameron, D., Lindsay, L. R., Bernat, K., .
 . Hatchette, T. F. (2020). Performance of a Modified Two-Tiered Testing Enzyme Immunoassay Algorithm for Serologic Diagnosis of Lyme Disease in Nova Scotia. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 58(7), e01841-01819. doi:10.1128/jcm.01841-19
- Halperin, J. J. (2015). Chronic Lyme disease: misconceptions and challenges for patient management. *Infect Drug Resist*, *8*, 119-128. doi:10.2147/idr.s66739
- Hu, L. (2021). Diagnosis of Lyme disease UpToDate. In J. Mitty (Ed.), *UpToDate*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.uptodate.com/contents/diagnosis-of-lyme-disease?search=lyme%20disease&source=search_result&selectedTitle=3~150&usage_ty_pe=default&display_rank=3</u>
- Hyde, J. A. (2017). Borrelia burgdorferi Keeps Moving and Carries on: A Review of Borrelial Dissemination and Invasion. *Front Immunol*, 8. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.00114
- IDEG. (2020). Guidance for Primary Care and Emergency Medicine Providers in the Management of Lyme

Disease in Nova Scotia. Retrieved from

https://novascotia.ca/dhw/cdpc/documents/statement_for_managing_ld.pdf

Igenex. (2017a). Development of a sensitive PCR-dot blot assay to supplement serological tests for diagnosing Lyme disease. Retrieved from <u>https://igenex.com/wpcontent/uploads/Publication Development_ofa_Sensitive_PCRdot_Blot_Assay_to_Supplement_Serological_Tests_for_Diagnosing_Lyme_Disease.png. pdf</u>

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





- Igenex. (2017b). Lyme ImmunoBlot. Retrieved from <u>https://igenex.com/wp-content/uploads/LymeImmunoBlot-DataSheet.pdf</u>
- John, T. M., & Taege, A. J. (2019). Appropriate laboratory testing in Lyme disease. *Cleve Clin J Med*, 86(11), 751-759. doi:10.3949/ccjm.86a.19029
- Joung, H. A., Ballard, Z. S., Wu, J., Tseng, D. K., Teshome, H., Zhang, L., . . . Ozcan, A. (2019). Point-of-Care Serodiagnostic Test for Early-Stage Lyme Disease Using a Multiplexed Paper-Based Immunoassay and Machine Learning. ACS Nano. doi:10.1021/acsnano.9b08151
- Lantos, P. M., Rumbaugh, J., Bockenstedt, L. K., Falck-Ytter, Y. T., Aguero-Rosenfeld, M. E., Auwaerter, P. G., . . . Zemel, L. S. (2021). Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), American Academy of Neurology (AAN), and American College of Rheumatology (ACR): 2020 Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of Lyme Disease. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1215
- Margos, G., Marosevic, D., Cutler, S., Derdakova, M., Diuk-Wasser, M., Emler, S., . . . Fingerle, V. (2017). There is inadequate evidence to support the division of the genus Borrelia. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*, 67(4), 1081-1084. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.001717
- Marques, A. R. (2015). Laboratory diagnosis of Lyme disease: advances and challenges. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*, 29(2), 295-307. doi:10.1016/j.idc.2015.02.005
- Mead, P., Petersen, J., & Hinckley, A. (2019). Updated CDC Recommendation for Serologic Diagnosis of Lyme Disease. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 68(32), 703. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6832a4
- Mead, P., & Schwartz, A. (2021). Epidemiology of Lyme disease In A. C. Steere & K. K. Hall (Eds.), *UpToDate*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.uptodate.com/contents/epidemiology-of-lyme-disease?source=see_link</u>
- NICE. (2018). Lyme disease. Retrieved from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng95/chapter/Recommendations
- NICE. (2019). National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE): Quality standard on Lyme disease. Retrieved from <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs186/chapter/Quality-statements</u>
- NICE. (2020). Diagnosing Lyme disease. Retrieved from file:///C:/Users/AHCS8330/Downloads/lyme-disease-diagnosing-lyme-disease.pdf
- Nigrovic, L. E., Lewander, D. P., Balamuth, F., Neville, D. N., Levas, M. N., Bennett, J. E., & Garro, A. (2019). The Lyme Disease Polymerase Chain Reaction Test Has Low Sensitivity. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* doi:10.1089/vbz.2019.2547
- Onyett, H. (2020). Lyme disease in Canada: Focus on children. Retrieved from https://www.cps.ca/en/documents/position/lyme-disease-children
- PHAC. (2020). For health professionals: Lyme disease. Retrieved from <u>https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/lyme-disease/health-professionals-lyme-disease.html#a3</u>
- Pritt, B. S., Mead, P. S., Johnson, D. K. H., Neitzel, D. F., Respicio-Kingry, L. B., Davis, J. P., . . Petersen, J. M. (2016). Identification of a novel pathogenic Borrelia species causing Lyme borreliosis with unusually high spirochaetaemia: a descriptive study. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 16(5), 556-564. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(15)00464-8

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.





- Schriefer, M. E. (2015). Lyme Disease Diagnosis: Serology. *Clin Lab Med*, 35(4), 797-814. doi:10.1016/j.cll.2015.08.001
- Shakir, S. M., Mansfield, C. R., Hays, E. D., Couturier, M. R., & Hillyard, D. R. (2019). Evaluation of a Novel High-Definition PCR Multiplex Assay for the Simultaneous Detection of Tick-Borne Pathogens in Human Clinical Specimens. *J Clin Microbiol*. doi:10.1128/jcm.01655-19
- van Gorkom, T., Voet, W., Sankatsing, S. U. C., Nijhuis, C. D. M., Ter Haak, E., Kremer, K., & Thijsen, S. F. T. (2020). Prospective comparison of two enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot assays for the diagnosis of Lyme neuroborreliosis. *Clin Exp Immunol*, 199(3), 337-356. doi:10.1111/cei.13393
- Waddell, L. A., Greig, J., Mascarenhas, M., Harding, S., Lindsay, R., & Ogden, N. (2016). The Accuracy of Diagnostic Tests for Lyme Disease in Humans, A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of North American Research. *PLoS One*, 11(12), e0168613. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168613
- Weitzner, E., McKenna, D., Nowakowski, J., Scavarda, C., Dornbush, R., Bittker, S., ...
 Wormser, G. P. (2015). Long-term Assessment of Post-Treatment Symptoms in Patients
 With Culture-Confirmed Early Lyme Disease. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61(12), 1800-1806. doi:10.1093/cid/civ735
- Wormser, G. P., Schriefer, M., Aguero-Rosenfeld, M. E., Levin, A., Steere, A. C., Nadelman, R. B., . . . Dumler, J. S. (2013). Single-tier testing with the C6 peptide ELISA kit compared with two-tier testing for Lyme disease. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*, 75(1), 9-15. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.09.003
- ZEUS_Scientific. (2019). ZEUS Borrelia MTTT[™]: A paradigm shift in testing for Lyme disease. Retrieved from <u>https://www.zeusscientific.com/what-is-mttt</u>

X. Review/Revision History

Effective Date	Summary
01/01/2023	Literature review did not necessitate modification to coverage criteria. CPT Changes: Added 0316U
05/15/2022	Initial Policy Implementation

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield.

Avalon is an independent company that serves as a laboratory insights advisor for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and HMO Louisiana, Inc.