

Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Amniotic Membrane and Amniotic Fluid is addressed separately in medical policy 00458.

Note: This MP is not applicable to injection laryngoplasty for the treatment of vocal fold paralysis or paresis.

When Services Are Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider breast reconstructive surgery using allogeneic acellular dermal matrix products^{*} (including each of the following: AlloDerm^{®‡}, AlloMend^{®‡}, Cortiva^{®‡} [AlloMax[™]][‡], DermACELL^{™‡}, DermaMatrix^{™‡}, FlexHD^{®‡}, FlexHD^{®‡}, Pliable^{™‡}, Graftjacket^{®‡}, SimpliDerm^{®‡}, to be **eligible for coverage.****

- When there is insufficient tissue expander or implant coverage by the pectoralis major muscle and additional coverage is required,
- When there is viable but compromised or thin postmastectomy skin flaps that are at risk of dehiscence or necrosis, or
- The inframammary fold and lateral mammary folds have been undermined during mastectomy and reestablishment of these landmarks is needed.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider treatment of chronic, noninfected, full-thickness diabetic foot ulcers, which have not adequately responded following a 1-month period of conventional ulcer therapy, using the following tissue-engineered skin substitutes to be **eligible for coverage****:

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- AlloPatch^{®‡} *- up to 6 weekly applications; if ulcer persists after initial applications and achieved greater than 50% wound closure, can approve up to 6 additional weekly applications
- Apligraf^{®‡} **- up to 5 applications over 5 weeks
- Dermagraft^{®‡} ** up to 8 applications over 12 weeks
- Integra^{®‡} Omnigraft Dermal Regeneration Matrix (also known as Omnigraft) and Integra Flowable Wound Matrix- up to 2 applications total
- PriMatrix^{™‡}- limited to one initial application and 2 additional weekly applications (up to a maximum of 3 applications total in 12 weeks) when evidence of wound healing is present (e.g., signs of epithelialization and reduction in ulcer size)
- mVASC^{®‡-} up to 6 weekly applications; if ulcer persists after initial applications and achieved greater than 50% wound closure, can approve up to 6 additional weekly applications
- TheraSkin^{®‡}- up to 6 weekly applications; if ulcer persists after initial applications and achieved greater than 50% wound closure, can approve up to 6 additional weekly applications

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider treatment of chronic, noninfected, partial- or full-thickness lower-extremity skin ulcers due to venous insufficiency, which have not adequately responded following a 1-month period of conventional ulcer therapy, using the following tissue-engineered skin substitutes to be **eligible for coverage.****

- Apligraf**- up to 5 applications over 5 weeks
- Oasis[™][‡] Wound Matrix^{***}- up to 8 applications over 12 weeks

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider treatment of dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa using the following tissue-engineered skin substitutes to be **eligible for coverage.****

• OrCel^{TM⁺} (for the treatment of mitten-hand deformity when standard wound therapy has failed and when provided in accordance with the humanitarian device exemption (HDE) specifications of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration [FDA])****

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider treatment of second- and third-degree burns using the following tissue-engineered skin substitutes to be **eligible for coverage.****

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- Epicel^{®‡} (for the treatment of deep dermal or full-thickness burns comprising a total body surface area ≥30% when provided in accordance with the HDE specifications of the FDA)****
- Integra Dermal Regeneration Template^{TM**}

* Banked human tissue.
** FDA premarket approval.
*** FDA 510(k) cleared.
**** FDA-approved under an HDE.

When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers all other uses of the bioengineered skin and soft tissue substitutes listed above, and when coverage criteria are not met, to be **investigational.***

Based on review of available data, the Company considers all other skin and soft tissue substitutes not listed above to be **investigational*** including but not limited to:

- ACell^{®‡} UBM Hydrated/Lyophilized Wound Dressing
- Ac5 Advanced Wound System (Ac5)
- AlloSkin[™][‡]
- AlloSkinTM RT
- Alloskin[™][‡] AC
- Apis^{®‡}
- Aongen^{™‡} Collagen Matrix
- Architect^{®‡} ECM, PX, FX
- Artacent^{®‡} Wound
- ArthroFlex^{TM^+_+} (Flex Graft)
- Atlas Wound Matrix
- Avagen Wound Dressing

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- Biobrane[®][‡]/Biobrane-L
- Bio-ConneKt^{®‡} Wound Matrix
- CollaCare^{®‡}
- CollaCare^{®‡} Dental
- Collagen Wound Dressing (Oasis Research)
- CollaGUARD^{®‡}
- CollaMend[™][‡]
- CollaWound[™][‡]
- Coll-e-derm
- Collexa^{®‡}
- Collieva^{®‡}
- Conexa[™][‡]
- Coreleader Colla-Pad
- CorMatrix^{®‡}
- Cymetra[™] (Micronized AlloDerm[™][‡]
- Cytal^{TM[‡]} (previously MatriStem[®])[‡]
- DeNovoSkin[™][‡]
- Dermadapt[™][‡] Wound Dressing
- Derma-gide
- DermaPure[™][‡]
- DermaSpan[™][‡]
- DressSkin
- Durepair Regeneration Matrix^{®‡}
- Endoform Dermal Template[™][‡]
- ENDURAGen^{™‡}
- Excellagen
- ExpressGraft[™][‡]
- $E-Z \text{ Derm}^{TM^{+}_{\ddagger}}$
- FlowerDermTM[‡]
- GammaGraft
- Geistlich Derma-Gide[™][‡]
- Gentrix^{^{™‡}} Surgical Matrix (previously MatriStem^{®‡} Surgical Matrix)

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- Graftjacket^{®‡} Xpress, injectable
- Helicoll[™][‡]
- Hyalomatrix^{®‡}
- Hyalomatrix^{®‡} PA
- hMatrix^{®‡}
- InnovaBurn^{®‡}
- InnovaMatrix fs^{®‡}
- InnovaMatrix^{®‡} XL
- InnovaMatrix^{®‡} PD
- Integra^{TM⁺} Bilayer Wound Matrix
- Integra^{®‡} Matrix Wound Dressing (previously Avagen)
- InteguPly[®][‡]
- Keramatrix[®][‡]
- Kerecis[™][‡] Omega 3
- Keroxx^{™‡}
- MatriDerm^{®‡}
- MatriStem^{®‡} micormatrix
- Matrix $HD^{\text{TM}\ddagger}$
- MicroMatrix[®][‡]
- Micromatrix flex
- Miroderm[®][‡]
- Miro3D
- Mirotract wound matrix sheet
- Mediskin^{®‡}
- MemoDerm[™][‡]
- Microderm^{®‡} biologic wound matrix
- Microlyte matrix^{®‡}
- Mirragen^{®‡}
- MyOwn skin
- NeoForm[™][‡]
- NeoMatriX^{®‡}
- NuCel

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- Novosorb[™]⁺ Biodegradable Temporizing Matrix (BMT)
- Oasis wound Matrix^{®‡}
- Oasis^{®‡} Burn Matrix
- OASIS^{®‡} Ultra
- Ologen[™][‡]Collagen Matrix
- Omega3 Wound (originally Merigen wound dressing)
- Omeza^{®‡} Collagen Matrix
- Pelvicol^{®‡}/PelviSoft^{®‡}
- Permacol[™][‡]
- PermeaDerm^{®‡} B
- PermeaDerm^{®‡} C
- PermeaDerm^{®‡} Glove
- Phoenix[™] ‡Wound Matrix
- PriMatrixTM[‡]
- PriMatrix[™][‡] Dermal Repair Scaffold
- Progenamatrix
- Puracol[®][‡] and Puracol[®][‡] Plus Collagen Wound Dressings
- PuraPlyTM Wound Matrix (previously FortaDermTM)[‡]
- PuraPly[™][‡] AM (Antimicrobial Wound Matrix)
- Puraply $XT^{\text{TM}\ddagger}$
- Puros^{®‡} Dermis
- ReCell
- RECELL System
- RegenePro[™][‡]
- Repliform^{®‡}
- Repriza^{™‡}
- Restrata^{®‡}
- Restrata MiniMatrix^{®‡}
- Resolve Matrix[™][‡]
- SkinTE^{™‡}
- StrataGraft^{®‡}

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- Strattice^{$TM_{+}^{TM_{+}^{T}}$} (xenograft)
- SUPRA SDRM^{®‡}
- Suprathel^{®‡}
- SurgiMend^{®‡}
- Symphony[™][‡]
- Talymed^{®‡}
- TenoGlide^{™‡}
- TenSIX^{TM_{\ddagger}} Acellular Dermal Matrix
- TissueMend
- TheraFormTM[‡] Standard/Sheet
- TheraGenesis^{®‡}
- TransCyte[™][‡]
- TruSkin^{™‡}
- Tutomesh[™][‡] Fenestrated Bovine Pericardium
- Veritas^{®‡} Collagen Matrix
- Xcellistem^{®‡}
- XCM Biologic^{®‡} Tissue Matrix
- XenMatrix $\overset{\text{TM}_{\ddagger}}{\to}$ AB.

Policy Guidelines

Clinical input has indicated that the various acellular dermal matrix products used in breast reconstruction have similar efficacy. The products listed are those that have been identified for use in breast reconstruction. Additional acellular dermal matrix products may become available for this indication.

Non-healing of diabetic wounds is defined as an ulcer that fails to demonstrate > 50% wound area reduction after a minimum of 4 weeks of standard wound therapy.

All ulcers subjected to sustained or frequent pressure and stress (ie, pressure-related heel ulcers or medial/lateral foot ulcers) or repetitive moderate pressure (plantar foot ulcers) benefit from pressure reduction, which is accomplished with mechanical offloading. Offloading devices include total contact casts, cast walkers, shoe modifications, and other devices to assist in ambulation.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

In published study, AlloPatch was applied weekly for up to 12 weeks. At 6 weeks 65% of the treated diabetic foot ulcers healed (compared with 5% that received standard of care alone). If the patient did not achieve greater than 50% wound closure at 6 weeks, trial participants were withdrawn from the study. At 12 weeks, the proportions of diabetic foot ulcers healed were 80% with AlloPatch and 20% with standard of care. Mean time to heal was 40 days for the AlloPatch group.

According to the manufacturer, the safety and the effectiveness of Apligraf have not been established for individuals receiving greater than 5 device applications.

Most studies of Dermagraft reported using up to 8 applications over 12 weeks.

Integra Omnigraft Dermal regeneration Matrix may need second application depending on the progress of wound, however 62% of individuals who received only a single Omnigraft application experienced healing of their wound.

Oasis Wound Matrix per study report had on average 8 applications with number needed to treat for complete wound closure 5 (95% CI ranged from 3-39).

Background/Overview

Skin and Soft Tissue Substitutes

Bioengineered skin and soft tissue substitutes may be either acellular or cellular. Acellular products (eg, dermis with cellular material removed) contain a matrix or scaffold composed of materials such as collagen, hyaluronic acid, and fibronectin. Acellular dermal matrix (ADM) products can differ in a number of ways, including as species source (human, bovine, porcine), tissue source (eg dermis, pericardium, intestinal mucosa), additives (eg antibiotics, surfactants), hydration (wet, freeze-dried), and required preparation (multiple rinses, rehydration).

Cellular products contain living cells such as fibroblasts and keratinocytes within a matrix. The cells contained within the matrix may be autologous, allogeneic, or derived from other species (eg, bovine, porcine). Skin substitutes may also be composed of dermal cells, epidermal cells, or a combination of dermal and epidermal cells, and may provide growth factors to stimulate healing. Bioengineered skin substitutes can be used as either temporary or permanent wound coverings.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

Applications

There are a large number of potential applications for artificial skin and soft tissue products. One large category is nonhealing wounds, which potentially encompasses diabetic neuropathic ulcers, vascular insufficiency ulcers, and pressure ulcers. A substantial minority of such wounds do not heal adequately with standard wound care, leading to prolonged morbidity and increased risk of mortality. For example, nonhealing lower-extremity wounds represent an ongoing risk for infection, sepsis, limb amputation, and death. Bioengineered skin and soft tissue substitutes have the potential to improve rates of healing and reduce secondary complications.

Other situations in which bioengineered skin products might substitute for living skin grafts include certain postsurgical states (eg, breast reconstruction) in which skin coverage is inadequate for the procedure performed, or for surgical wounds in individuals with compromised ability to heal. Second- and third-degree burns are another indication in which artificial skin products may substitute for auto- or allografts. Certain primary dermatologic conditions that involve large areas of skin breakdown (eg, bullous diseases) may also be conditions in which artificial skin products can be considered as substitutes for skin grafts. ADM products are also being evaluated in the repair of other soft tissues including rotator cuff repair, following oral and facial surgery, hernias, and other conditions.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) does not refer to any single product or class of products as "skin substitutes". Products in this review cover products that do not require FDA approval or clearance as well as a number of products cleared through the 510(k) pathway with a variety of FDA product codes. A large number of artificial skin and soft-tissue products are commercially available or in development. Commercial availability is not a reflection of a product's regulatory status. The following section summarizes a subset of commercially available skin and soft-tissue substitutes. This is not a complete list of all commercially available products. Information on additional products is available in a 2020 Technical Brief on skin substitutes for treating chronic wounds that was commissioned by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

Acellular Dermal Matrix Products

Allograft ADM products derived from donated cadaveric human skin tissue are supplied by tissue banks compliant with standards of the American Association of Tissue Banks and FDA guidelines. The processing removes the cellular components (ie, epidermis, all viable dermal cells) that can lead to rejection and infection. ADM products from human skin tissue are regarded as minimally processed and not significantly changed in structure from the natural material; FDA classifies ADM products as banked human tissue and, therefore, not requiring FDA approval for homologous use. In 2017, FDA published clarification of what is considered minimal manipulation and homologous use for human cells, tissues, and cellular and tissue-based products (HCT/Ps).

HCT/Ps are defined as human cells or tissues that are intended for implantation, transplantation, infusion, or transfer into a human recipient. If an HCT/P does not meet the criteria below and does not qualify for any of the stated exceptions, the HCT/P will be regulated as a drug, device, and/or biological product and applicable regulations and premarket review will be required.

An HCT/P is regulated solely under section 361 of the PHS Act and 21 CFR Part 1271 if it meets all of the following criteria:

- 1. "The HCT/P is minimally manipulated;
- 2. The HCT/P is intended for homologous use only, as reflected by the labeling, advertising, or other indications of the manufacturer's objective intent;
- 3. The manufacture of the HCT/P does not involve the combination of the cells or tissues with another article, except for water, crystalloids, or a sterilizing, preserving, or storage agent, provided that the addition of water, crystalloids, or the sterilizing, preserving, or storage agent does not raise new clinical safety concerns with respect to the HCT/P; and
- 4. Either:
 - i. The HCT/P does not have a systemic effect and is not dependent upon the metabolic activity of living cells for its primary function; or
 - ii. The HCT/P has a systemic effect or is dependent upon the metabolic activity of living cells for its primary function, and: a) Is for autologous use; b) Is for allogeneic use in a first-degree or second-degree blood relative; or c) Is for reproductive use."
- AlloDerm^{®‡} (LifeCell Corp.) is an ADM (allograft) tissue-replacement product created from native human skin and processed so that the basement membrane and cellular matrix remain intact. Originally, AlloDerm^{®‡} required refrigeration and rehydration before use. It

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

is currently available in a ready-to-use product stored at room temperature. An injectable micronized form of AlloDerm[®]‡ (Cymetra) is available.

- AlloPatch^{®‡} (Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation) is an acellular human dermis allograft derived from the reticular layer of the dermis and marketed for wound care. This product is also marketed as FlexHD^{®‡} for postmastectomy breast reconstruction.
- Cortiva^{®‡} (previously marketed as AlloMax[™] Surgical Graft and before that NeoForm[™])‡ is an acellular non-cross-linked human dermis allograft.
- FlexHD^{®‡} and the newer formulation FlexHD^{®‡} Pliable^{™‡} (Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation)[‡] are acellular hydrated reticular dermis allograft derived from donated human skin.
- DermACELL^{™‡} (LifeNet Health) is an allogeneic ADM processed with proprietary technologies MATRACELL[®] and PRESERVON^{®‡}.
- DermaMatrix^{™‡} (Synthes) is a freeze-dried ADM derived from donated human skin tissue. DermaMatrix Acellular Dermis is processed by the Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation.
- DermaPure^{™‡} (Tissue Regenix Wound Care) is a single-layer decellularized human dermal allograft for the treatment of acute and chronic wounds.
- GraftJacket^{®‡} Regenerative Tissue Matrix (also called GraftJacket Skin Substitute; KCI) is an acellular regenerative tissue matrix that has been processed from human skin supplied from U.S. tissue banks. The allograft is minimally processed to remove the epidermal and dermal cells while preserving dermal structure. GraftJacket Xpress^{®‡} is an injectable product.
- mVASC^{®‡} (MicroVascular Tissues, Inc.) is a microvascular tissue structural allograft made of small blood vessels and extracellular matrix, inherent non-viable cells, and associated biological signaling factors harvested from subcutaneous tissue of cadaveric human donors.
- TheraSkin^{®‡} (LifeNet Health) is a cryopreserved split-thickness human skin allograft composed of living fibroblasts and keratinocytes and an extracellular matrix in epidermal and dermal layers. TheraSkin^{®‡} is derived from human skin allograft supplied by tissue banks compliant with the American Association of Tissue Banks and FDA guidelines. It is considered a minimally processed human cell, tissue, and cellular- and tissue-based product by the FDA.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

• SimpliDerm^{®‡} is a pre-hydrated human acellular dermal matrix (ADM) with a sterility assurance level (SAL) of 10⁻⁶ and requires a minimal 2-minute sterile rinse for convenient intraoperative use.

Although frequently used by surgeons for breast reconstruction, FDA does not consider this homologous use and has not cleared or approved any surgical mesh device (synthetic, animal collagen-derived, or human collagen-derived) for use in breast surgery. The indication of surgical mesh for general use in "Plastic and reconstructive surgery" was cleared by the FDA before surgical mesh was described for breast reconstruction in 2005. FDA states that the specific use of surgical mesh in breast procedures represents a new intended use and that a substantial equivalence evaluation via 510(k) review is not appropriate and a pre-market approval evaluation is required. In March 2019, the FDA held an Advisory Committee meeting on breast implants, at which time the panel noted that while there is data about ADM for breast reconstruction. The panel recommended that patients are informed and also recommended studies to assess the benefit and risk of ADM use in breast reconstruction.

In March 2021, FDA issued a Safety Communication to inform patients, caregivers, and health care providers that certain ADM products used in implant-based breast reconstruction may have a higher chance for complications or problems. An FDA analysis of patient-level data from real-world use of ADMs for implant-based breast reconstruction suggested that 2 ADMs—FlexHD and Allomax—may have a higher risk profile than others.

In October 2021, an FDA advisory panel on general and plastic surgery voted against recommending FDA approval of the SurgiMend mesh for the specific indication of breast reconstruction. The advisory panel concluded that the benefits of using the device did not outweigh the risks.

FDA product codes: FTM, OXF.

Xenogeneic Products

 $Cytal^{\tilde{M}^{\ddagger}}$ (previously called MatriStem[®])[‡] Wound Matrix, Multilayer Wound Matrix, Pelvic Floor Matrix, MicroMatrix, and Burn Matrix (all manufactured by ACell) are composed of porcine-derived urinary bladder matrix.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

Helicoll (Encol) is an acellular collagen matrix derived from bovine dermis. In 2004, it was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for topical wound management that includes partial and full-thickness wounds, pressure ulcers, venous ulcers, chronic vascular ulcers, diabetic ulcers, trauma wounds (eg, abrasions, lacerations, second-degree bums, skin tears), and surgical wounds including donor sites/grafts.

Keramatrix^{®‡} (Keraplast Research) is an open-cell foam comprised of freeze-dried keratin that is derived from acellular animal protein. In 2009, it was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process under the name of Keratec. The wound dressings are indicated in the management of the following types of dry, light, and moderately exudating partial and full-thickness wounds: pressure (stage I to IV) and venous stasis ulcers, ulcers caused by mixed vascular etiologies, diabetic ulcers, donor sites, and grafts.

Kerecis[™][‡] Omega3 Wound (Kerecis) is an ADM derived from fish skin. It has a high content of omega 3 fatty acids and is intended for use in burn wounds, chronic wounds, and other applications.

Oasis^{™‡} Wound Matrix (Cook Biotech) is a collagen scaffold (extracellular matrix) derived from porcine small intestinal submucosa. In 2000, it was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for the management of partial- and full-thickness wounds, including pressure ulcers, venous ulcers, diabetic ulcers, chronic vascular ulcers, tunneled undermined wounds, surgical wounds, trauma wounds, and draining wounds.

Permacol^{™‡} (Covidien) is xenogeneic and composed of cross-linked porcine dermal collagen. Cross-linking improves tensile strength and long-term durability but decreases pliability.

PriMatrix^{™‡} (TEI Biosciences; a subsidiary of Integra Life Sciences) is a xenogeneic ADM processed from fetal bovine dermis. It was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for partial- and full-thickness wounds; diabetic, pressure, and venous stasis ulcers; surgical wounds; and tunneling, draining, and traumatic wounds.

SurgiMend^{®‡} PRS (TEI Biosciences, a subsidiary of Integra Life Sciences) is a xenogeneic ADM processed from fetal and neonatal bovine dermis.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

Strattice^{™‡} Reconstructive Tissue Matrix (LifeCell Corp.) is a xenogeneic non-cross-linked porcinederived ADM. There are pliable and firm versions, which are stored at room temperature and come fully hydrated.

FDA Product codes: KGN, FTL, FTM.

Living Cell Therapy

Apligraf[®][‡] (Organogenesis) is a bilayered living cell therapy composed of an epidermal layer of living human keratinocytes and a dermal layer of living human fibroblasts. Apligraf^{®‡} is supplied as needed, in 1 size, with a shelf-life of 10 days. In 1998, it was approved by the FDA for use in conjunction with compression therapy for the treatment of noninfected, partial- and full-thickness skin ulcers due to venous insufficiency and in 2001 for full-thickness neuropathic diabetic lower-extremity ulcers nonresponsive to standard wound therapy.

Dermagraft^{®‡} (Organogenesis) is composed of cryopreserved human-derived fibroblasts and collagen derived from newborn human foreskin and cultured on a bioabsorbable polyglactin mesh scaffold. Dermagraft has been approved by the FDA for repair of diabetic foot ulcers.

Epicel^{®‡} (Genzyme Biosurgery) is an epithelial autograft composed of a patient's own keratinocytes cultured ex vivo and is FDA-approved under a humanitarian device exemption for the treatment of deep dermal or full-thickness burns comprising a total body surface area of 30% or more. It may be used in conjunction with split-thickness autografts or alone in patients for whom split-thickness autografts may not be an option due to the severity and extent of their burns.

 $OrCel^{M^{\ddagger}}$ (Forticell Bioscience; formerly Composite Cultured Skin) is an absorbable allogeneic bilayered cellular matrix, made of bovine collagen, in which human dermal cells have been cultured. It was approved by FDA premarket approval for healing donor site wounds in burn victims and under a humanitarian device exemption for use in patients with recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa undergoing hand reconstruction surgery to close and heal wounds created by the surgery, including those at donor sites.

FDA product codes: FTM, PFC, OCE, ODS.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

Autologous Cell Harvesting Device

Recell^{®‡} (Avita Medical) was initially approved by the FDA in September 2018 under the premarket approval (PMA) process (PMA BP170122). It is an autologous cell harvesting device indicated for the treatment of acute partial-thickness thermal burn wound when used by an appropriately-licensed healthcare professional at the patient's point of care to prepare autologous RES Regenerative Epidermal Suspension. The initial indication was for use in patients 18 years of age and older in combination with meshed autografting. Subsequently, indications were expanded to include direct application to acute partial-thickness thermal burn wounds in patients 18 years of age and older or application in combination with meshed autografting for acute full-thickness thermal burn wounds in pediatric as well as adult patients and for and full-thickness skin defects after traumatic avulsion (e.g., degloving) or surgical excision (e.g., necrotizing tissue infection) or resection (e.g., skin cancer) in patients 15 years of age and older.

FDA product code: QCZ.

Biosynthetic Products

Biobrane^{®‡}/Biobrane-L (Smith & Nephew) is a biosynthetic wound dressing constructed of a silicon film with a nylon fabric partially embedded into the film. The fabric creates a complex 3-dimensional structure of trifilament thread, which chemically binds collagen. Blood/sera clot in the nylon matrix, adhering the dressing to the wound until epithelialization occurs.

Integra^{®‡} Dermal Regeneration Template (also marketed as Omnigraft Dermal Regeneration Matrix; Integra LifeSciences) is a bovine, collagen/glycosaminoglycan dermal replacement covered by a silicone temporary epidermal substitute. It was approved by the FDA for use in the post excisional treatment of life-threatening full-thickness or deep partial-thickness thermal injury where sufficient autograft is not available at the time of excision or not desirable because of the physiologic condition of the patient, and for certain diabetic foot ulcers. Integra^{®‡} Matrix Wound Dressing and Integra^{®‡} Meshed Bilayer Wound Matrix are substantially equivalent skin substitutes and were cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for other indications. Integra® Bilayer Matrix Wound Dressing (Integra LifeSciences) is designed to be used in conjunction with negative pressure wound therapy. The meshed bilayer provides a flexible wound covering and allows drainage of wound exudate.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

TransCyte^M[‡] (Advanced Tissue Sciences) consists of human dermal fibroblasts grown on nylon mesh, combined with a synthetic epidermal layer, and was approved by the FDA in 1997. TransCyte is intended as a temporary covering over burns until autografting is possible. It can also be used as a temporary covering for some burn wounds that heal without autografting.

FDA product codes: FRO, MDD, MGR.

Synthetic Products

Suprathel^{®‡} (PolyMedics Innovations) is a synthetic copolymer membrane fabricated from a tripolymer of polylactide, trimethylene carbonate, and s-caprolactone. It is used to provide temporary coverage of superficial dermal burns and wounds. Suprathel^{®‡} is covered with gauze and a dressing that is left in place until the wound has healed.

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Bioengineered skin and soft tissue substitutes may be derived from human tissue (autologous or allogeneic), nonhuman tissue (xenographic), synthetic materials, or a composite of these materials. Bioengineered skin and soft tissue substitutes are being evaluated for a variety of conditions, including breast reconstruction and healing lower-extremity ulcers and severe burns. Acellular dermal matrix (ADM) products are also being evaluated for soft tissue repair.

Summary of Evidence

Breast Reconstruction

For individuals who are undergoing breast reconstruction who receive allogeneic acellular dermal matrix (ADM) products, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life (QOL), and treatment-related morbidity. A systematic review found no difference in overall complication rates with ADM allograft compared with standard procedures for breast reconstruction.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

Reconstructions with ADM have been reported to have higher seroma, infection, and necrosis rates than reconstructions without ADM. However, capsular contracture and malposition of implants may be reduced. Thus, in cases where there is limited tissue coverage, the available evidence may inform patient decision making about reconstruction options. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Tendon Repair

For individuals who are undergoing tendon repair who receive GraftJacket, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, QOL, and treatmentrelated morbidity. The RCT identified found improved outcomes with the GraftJacket ADM allograft for rotator cuff repair. Although these results were positive, additional studies with a larger number of patients is needed to evaluate the consistency of the effect. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Surgical Repair of Hernias or Parastomal Reinforcement

For individuals who are undergoing surgical repair of hernias or parastomal reinforcement who receive acellular collagen-based scaffolds, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, QOL, and treatment-related morbidity. Several comparative studies including RCTs have shown no difference in outcomes between tissue-engineered skin substitutes and either standard synthetic mesh or no reinforcement. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Diabetic Lower-Extremity Ulcers

For individuals who have diabetic lower-extremity ulcers who receive AlloPatch, Apligraf, Dermagraft, Integra, mVASC, or TheraSkin, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and QOL. RCTs reporting complete wound healing outcomes with at least 12 weeks of follow-up have demonstrated the efficacy of AlloPatch (reticular ADM), Apligraf and Dermagraft (living cell therapy), Integra (biosynthetic), mVASC, and TheraSkin over the standard of care (SOC). The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have diabetic lower-extremity ulcers who receive ADM products other than AlloPatch, Apligraf, Dermagraft, Integra, mVASC, or TheraSkin, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and QOL. Results from

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

a multicenter RCT showed some benefit of DermACELL that was primarily for the subgroup of patients who only required a single application of the ADM. Studies are needed to further define the population who might benefit from this treatment. Additional study with a larger number of subjects is needed to evaluate the effect of GraftJacket, DermACELL, Cytal, PriMatrix, and Oasis Wound Matrix, compared with current SOC or other advanced wound therapies. An RCT of Omega3 Wound (Kerecis) has been published and 2 larger RCTs are registered and reported as completed but have not been published. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Lower-Extremity Ulcers due to Venous Insufficiency

For individuals who have lower-extremity ulcers due to venous insufficiency who receive Apligraf or Oasis Wound Matrix, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and QOL. RCTs have demonstrated the efficacy of Apligraf living cell therapy and xenogeneic Oasis Wound Matrix over the SOC. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have lower-extremity ulcers due to venous insufficiency who receive bioengineered skin substitutes other than Apligraf or Oasis Wound Matrix, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are disease-specific survival, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and QOL. In a moderately large RCT, Dermagraft was not shown to be more effective than controls for the primary or secondary endpoints in the entire population and was only slightly more effective than controls (an 8% to 15% increase in healing) in subgroups of patients with ulcer durations of 12 months or less or size of 10 cm or less. Additional studies with a larger number of subjects is needed to evaluate the effect of the xenogeneic PriMatrix skin substitute versus the current SOC. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Dystrophic Epidermolysis Bullosa

For individuals who have dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa who receive OrCel, the evidence includes a case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and QOL. OrCel was approved under a humanitarian drug exemption for use in patients with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa undergoing hand reconstruction surgery, to close and heal wounds created by the surgery, including those at donor sites. Outcomes have been reported in a small series

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

(eg, 5 patients). The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Deep Dermal Burns

For individuals who have deep dermal burns who receive bioengineered skin substitutes (ie, Epicel, Integra Dermal Regeneration Template), the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, QOL, and treatment-related morbidity. Overall, few skin substitutes have been approved, and the evidence is limited for each product. Epicel (living cell therapy) has received U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval under a humanitarian device exemption for the treatment of deep dermal or full-thickness burns comprising a total body surface area of 30% or more. Comparative studies have demonstrated improved outcomes for biosynthetic skin substitute Integra Dermal Regeneration Template for the treatment of burns. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2023, NICE updated its guidance on the prevention and management of diabetic foot problems. The Institute recommended that clinicians "consider dermal or skin substitutes as an adjunct to standard care when treating diabetic foot ulcers, only when healing has not progressed and on the advice of the multidisciplinary foot care service."

In 2019, NICE published guidance on the ReCell system for treating skin loss, scarring, and depigmentation after burn injury. The guidance recommended that additional research was needed to address the uncertainties regarding the potential benefits of ReCell.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) issued the following national coverage determination: porcine (pig) skin dressings are covered, if reasonable and necessary for the individual patient as an occlusive dressing for burns, donor sites of a homograft, and decubiti and other ulcers.

In 2019, CMS reported that it is finalizing the proposal to continue the policy established in calendar year (CY) 2018 to assign skin substitutes to the low cost or high-cost group. In addition, CMS presented several payment ideas to change how skin substitute products are paid and solicited comments on these ideas to be used for future rulemaking. In 2022, CMS proposed changing the terminology of skin substitutes to "wound care management products", and to treat and pay for these products as incident to supplies under the Physician Fee Schedule (PFS) beginning on January 1, 2024. However, in November 2022, CMS posted this update on the process: "After reviewing comments on the proposals, we understand that it would be beneficial to provide interested parties more opportunity to comment on the specific details of changes in coding and payment mechanisms prior to finalizing a specific date when the transition to more appropriate and consistent payment and coding for these products will be completed. We plan to conduct a Town Hall in early CY 2023 with interested parties to address commenters' concerns as well as discuss potential approaches to the methodology for payment of skin substitute products under the PFS. We will take into account the comments we received in response to CY 2023 rulemaking and feedback received in association with the Town Hall in order to strengthen proposed policies for skin substitutes in future rulemaking."

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			

Table 1. Summary of Key Trials

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
NCT05291169	A Randomized, Multicenter, Open Label Study Comparing Omeza Combination Therapy with Standard of Care to Standard of Care alone for Chronic Venous Leg Ulcers over the course of 4 weeks	110	Oct 2023
NCT05084183	An Adaptive, Randomized, Controlled Trial Evaluating the Effectiveness of PermeaDerm ^{®‡} (PD) as Compared to Mepilex Ag ^{®‡} Used as Standard of Care in the Treatment of Adult and Pediatric Partial Thickness Burns	68	Nov 2023
NCT05439746	Clinical Trial to Assess the Efficacy of Microlyte Matrix on the Healing of Surgically Created Partial Thickness Donor Site Wounds on Patients Requiring Split-thickness Skin Grafting	53	Jan 2024
NCT05506215	A Prospective, Multicenter, Open Label, Randomized, Controlled Clinical Study Evaluating the Effect of NovoSorb ^{®‡} SynPath ^{™‡} Dermal Matrix Compared to Standard of Care (SOC) In the Treatment of Nonresponsive, Chronic Diabetic Foot Ulcers.	138	Mar 2024
NCT05372809	Closure Obtained With Vascularized Epithelial Regeneration for DFUs With SkinTE ^{®‡}	100	Jun 2024
NCT02587403ª	A Randomized, Prospective Study Comparing Fortiva [™] [‡] Porcine Dermis vs. Strattice [™] [‡] Reconstructive Tissue Matrix in Patients Undergoing Complex Open Primary Ventral Hernia Repair	120	Feb 2024

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
NCT04927702	Assessment of Wound Closure Comparing Synthetic Hybrid-Scale Fiber Matrix (Restrata [®])‡ With Standard of Care in Treating Diabetic Foot Ulcers (DFU) and With Living Cellular Skin Substitute (Apligraf [®])‡ in Treating Venous Leg Ulcers (VLU)	170	Jul 2024
NCT06035536	A Multi-Center, Randomized Controlled Clinical Investigation Evaluating Wound Closure With Symphony ^{™‡} Versus Standard of Care in the Treatment of Non-Healing Diabetic Foot Ulcers	120	Dec 2024
NCT05517902	A Phase 3 Multicenter, Single-Arm, Open-Label Study Evaluating the Safety, Tolerability and Efficacy of StrataGraft ^{®‡} Construct in Pediatric Subjects With Deep Partial Thickness (DPT) Thermal Burns	50	Jun 2025
NCT04090424	A Pivotal Study to Assess the Safety and Effectiveness of NovoSorb ^{®‡} Biodegradable Temporizing Matrix (BTM) in the Treatment of Severe Burn Skin Injuries	150	Dec 2025
NCT03394612	A Phase II, Prospective, Intra-patient Randomized Controlled, Multicentre Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of an Autologous Bio- engineered Dermo-epidermal Skin Substitute (EHSG-KF; denovoSkin) for the Treatment of Full- Thickness Defects in Adults and Children in Comparison to Autologous Split-thickness Skin Grafts (STSG)	20	Dec 2026
Unpublished			

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
NCT02322554	The Registry of Cellular and Tissue Based Therapies for Chronic Wounds and Ulcers	50,000	Jan 2020
NCT03935386ª	A Prospective Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing Multi-layer Bandage Compression Therapy With and Without a Biologically Active Human Skin Allograft (Theraskin) for the Treatment of Chronic Venous Leg Ulcers	100	Dec 2020
NCT03589586ª	An Open-Label Trial to Assess the Clinical Effectiveness of DermACELL AWM in Subjects With Chronic Venous Leg Ulcers	100	Jan 2021
NCT03881254	A Multi-center, Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial Evaluating the Effects of $\text{SkinTE}^{\text{TM}\ddagger}$ in the Treatment of Wagner One Diabetic Foot Ulcers	100	Jul 2021
NCT04198441	A Randomized, Multicenter, Open Label Study Comparing the Omeza ^{®‡} Products Bundle to Standard of Care for Chronic Venous Leg Ulcers and Chronic Diabetic Foot Ulcers	78	Dec 2021
NCT04257370 ^a	An Open Label, Randomized Controlled Study to Compare Healing of Severe Diabetic Foot Ulcers and Forefoot Amputations in Diabetics With and Without Moderate Peripheral Arterial Disease Treated With Kerecis Omega3 Wound and SOC vs. SOC Alone	330	Oct 2022
NCT04537520ª	Interventional Multi-Center Post Market Randomized Controlled Open-Label Clinical Trial Comparing Kerecis Omega3 Wound Versus SOC in Hard to Heal Diabetic Foot Wounds	180	Dec 2022

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
NCT04918784	Assessment of Wound Closure Comparing Synthetic Hybrid-Scale Fiber Matrix (Restrata ^{®‡} Acera Surgical, Inc.) With Standard of Care in Treating Diabetic Foot Ulcer	46	Dec 2022
NCT05883098	Effectiveness of Supra SDRM ^{®‡} vs. Fibracol Plu Collagen in the Treatment of Diabetic Foot Ulce a Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial		Jun 2023
VCT:	national clinica	ıl	trial

a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

References

- Snyder DL, Sullivan N, Margolis DJ, Schoelles K. Skin substitutes for treating chronic wounds. Technology Assessment Program Project ID No. WNDT0818. (Prepared by the ECRI Institute-Penn Medicine Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. HHSA 290-2015-00005-I) Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. February 2020. Available at: https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/skin-substitute_0.pdf.
- 2. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Regulatory Considerations for Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products: Minimal Manipulation and Homologous Use. December 2017. https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/regulatory-considerations-human-cells-tissues-and-cellular-and-tissue-based-products-minimal.
- 3. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Executive Summary Breast Implant Special Topics. March 2019. https://wayback.archive-

it.org/7993/20201226003814/https://www.fda.gov/media/122956/download.

- 4. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. Acellular Dermal Matrix (ADM) Products Used in Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction Differ in Complication Rates: FDA Safety Communication. March 2021. https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/safety-communications/acellular-dermal-matrixadm-products-used-implant-based-breast-reconstruction-differ-complication.
- 5. Davila AA, Seth AK, Wang E, et al. Human Acellular Dermis versus Submuscular Tissue Expander Breast Reconstruction: A Multivariate Analysis of Short-Term Complications. Arch Plast Surg. Jan 2013; 40(1): 19-27. PMID 23362476

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- 6. Lee KT, Mun GH. Updated Evidence of Acellular Dermal Matrix Use for Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction: A Meta-analysis. Ann Surg Oncol. Feb 2016; 23(2): 600-10. PMID 26438439
- McCarthy CM, Lee CN, Halvorson EG, et al. The use of acellular dermal matrices in two-stage expander/implant reconstruction: a multicenter, blinded, randomized controlled trial. Plast Reconstr Surg. Nov 2012; 130(5 Suppl 2): 57S-66S. PMID 23096987
- Hinchcliff KM, Orbay H, Busse BK, et al. Comparison of two cadaveric acellular dermal matrices for immediate breast reconstruction: A prospective randomized trial. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. May 2017; 70(5): 568-576. PMID 28341592
- 9. Mendenhall SD, Anderson LA, Ying J, et al. The BREASTrial Stage II: ADM Breast Reconstruction Outcomes from Definitive Reconstruction to 3 Months Postoperative. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. Jan 2017; 5(1): e1209. PMID 28203509
- Mendenhall SD, Moss WD, Graham EM, et al. The BREASTrial Stage III: Acellular Dermal Matrix Breast Reconstruction Outcomes from 3 Months to 2 Years Postoperatively. Plast Reconstr Surg. Jan 01 2023; 151(1): 17-24. PMID 36194057
- 11. Dikmans RE, Negenborn VL, Bouman MB, et al. Two-stage implant-based breast reconstruction compared with immediate one-stage implant-based breast reconstruction augmented with an acellular dermal matrix: an open-label, phase 4, multicentre, randomized, controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. Feb 2017; 18(2): 251-258. PMID 28012977
- Barber FA, Burns JP, Deutsch A, et al. A prospective, randomized evaluation of acellular human dermal matrix augmentation for arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. Arthroscopy. Jan 2012; 28(1): 8-15. PMID 21978432
- 13. Rashid MS, Smith RDJ, Nagra N, et al. Rotator cuff repair with biological graft augmentation causes adverse tissue outcomes. Acta Orthop. Dec 2020; 91(6): 782-788. PMID 32691656
- 14. Bellows CF, Smith A, Malsbury J, et al. Repair of incisional hernias with biological prosthesis: a systematic review of current evidence. Am J Surg. Jan 2013; 205(1): 85-101. PMID 22867726
- Espinosa-de-los-Monteros A, de la Torre JI, Marrero I, et al. Utilization of human cadaveric acellular dermis for abdominal hernia reconstruction. Ann Plast Surg. Mar 2007; 58(3): 264-7. PMID 17471129
- Gupta A, Zahriya K, Mullens PL, et al. Ventral herniorrhaphy: experience with two different biosynthetic mesh materials, Surgisis and Alloderm. Hernia. Oct 2006; 10(5): 419-25. PMID 16924395
- 17. Bochicchio GV, De Castro GP, Bochicchio KM, et al. Comparison study of acellular dermal matrices in complicated hernia surgery. J Am Coll Surg. Oct 2013; 217(4): 606-13. PMID 23973102

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- Roth JS, Zachem A, Plymale MA, et al. Complex Ventral Hernia Repair with Acellular Dermal Matrices: Clinical and Quality of Life Outcomes. Am Surg. Feb 01 2017; 83(2): 141-147. PMID 28228200
- 19. Bellows CF, Shadduck P, Helton WS, et al. Early report of a randomized comparative clinical trial of Strattice[™] reconstructive tissue matrix to lightweight synthetic mesh in the repair of inguinal hernias. Hernia. Apr 2014; 18(2): 221-30. PMID 23543334
- 20. Fleshman JW, Beck DE, Hyman N, et al. A prospective, multicenter, randomized, controlled study of non-cross-linked porcine acellular dermal matrix fascial sublay for parastomal reinforcement in patients undergoing surgery for permanent abdominal wall ostomies. Dis Colon Rectum. May 2014; 57(5): 623-31. PMID 24819103
- 21. Kalaiselvan R, Carlson GL, Hayes S, et al. Recurrent intestinal fistulation after porcine acellular dermal matrix reinforcement in enteric fistula takedown and simultaneous abdominal wall reconstruction. Hernia. Jun 2020; 24(3): 537-543. PMID 31811593
- 22. Santema TB, Poyck PP, Ubbink DT. Skin grafting and tissue replacement for treating foot ulcers in people with diabetes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Feb 11 2016; 2(2): CD011255. PMID 26866804
- 23. Veves A, Falanga V, Armstrong DG, et al. Graftskin, a human skin equivalent, is effective in the management of noninfected neuropathic diabetic foot ulcers: a prospective randomized multicenter clinical trial. Diabetes Care. Feb 2001; 24(2): 290-5. PMID 11213881
- 24. Marston WA, Hanft J, Norwood P, et al. The efficacy and safety of Dermagraft in improving the healing of chronic diabetic foot ulcers: results of a prospective randomized trial. Diabetes Care. Jun 2003; 26(6): 1701-5. PMID 12766097
- 25. Frykberg RG, Marston WA, Cardinal M. The incidence of lower-extremity amputation and bone resection in diabetic foot ulcer patients treated with a human fibroblast-derived dermal substitute. Adv Skin Wound Care. Jan 2015; 28(1): 17-20. PMID 25407083
- 26. Zelen CM, Orgill DP, Serena T, et al. A prospective, randomized, controlled, multicentre clinical trial examining healing rates, safety and cost to closure of an acellular reticular allogenic human dermis versus standard of care in the treatment of chronic diabetic foot ulcers. Int Wound J. Apr 2017; 14(2): 307-315. PMID 27073000
- 27. Zelen CM, Orgill DP, Serena TE, et al. An aseptically processed, acellular, reticular, allogenic human dermis improves healing in diabetic foot ulcers: A prospective, randomized, controlled, multicentre follow-up trial. Int Wound J. Oct 2018; 15(5): 731-739. PMID 29682897
- 28. Driver VR, Lavery LA, Reyzelman AM, et al. A clinical trial of Integra Template for diabetic foot ulcer treatment. Wound Repair Regen. 2015; 23(6): 891-900. PMID 26297933

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- 29. Campitiello F, Mancone M, Della Corte A, et al. To evaluate the efficacy of an acellular Flowable matrix in comparison with a wet dressing for the treatment of patients with diabetic foot ulcers: a randomized clinical trial. Updates Surg. Dec 2017; 69(4): 523-529. PMID 28497218
- 30. Gould LJ, Orgill DP, Armstrong DG, et al. Improved healing of chronic diabetic foot wounds in a prospective randomized controlled multi-centre clinical trial with a microvascular tissue allograft. Int Wound J. May 2022; 19(4): 811-825. PMID 34469077
- 31. Armstrong DG, Galiano RD, Orgill DP, et al. Multi-centre prospective randomized controlled clinical trial to evaluate a bioactive split thickness skin allograft vs standard of care in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. Int Wound J. May 2022; 19(4): 932-944. PMID 35080127
- 32. Sanders L, Landsman AS, Landsman A, et al. A prospective, multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial comparing a bioengineered skin substitute to a human skin allograft. Ostomy Wound Manage. Sep 2014; 60(9): 26-38. PMID 25211605
- 33. DiDomenico L, Landsman AR, Emch KJ, et al. A prospective comparison of diabetic foot ulcers treated with either a cryopreserved skin allograft or a bioengineered skin substitute. Wounds. Jul 2011; 23(7): 184-9. PMID 25879172
- Brigido SA, Boc SF, Lopez RC. Effective management of major lower extremity wounds using an acellular regenerative tissue matrix: a pilot study. Orthopedics. Jan 2004; 27(1 Suppl): s145-9. PMID 14763548
- 35. Reyzelman A, Crews RT, Moore JC, et al. Clinical effectiveness of an acellular dermal regenerative tissue matrix compared to standard wound management in healing diabetic foot ulcers: a prospective, randomized, multicentre study. Int Wound J. Jun 2009; 6(3): 196-208. PMID 19368581
- 36. Reyzelman AM, Bazarov I. Human acellular dermal wound matrix for treatment of DFU: literature review and analysis. J Wound Care. Mar 2015; 24(3): 128; 129-34. PMID 25764957
- 37. Brigido SA. The use of an acellular dermal regenerative tissue matrix in the treatment of lower extremity wounds: a prospective 16-week pilot study. Int Wound J. Sep 2006; 3(3): 181-7. PMID 16984575
- 38. Walters J, Cazzell S, Pham H, et al. Healing Rates in a Multicenter Assessment of a Sterile, Room Temperature, Acellular Dermal Matrix Versus Conventional Care Wound Management and an Active Comparator in the Treatment of Full-Thickness Diabetic Foot Ulcers. Eplasty. 2016; 16: e10. PMID 26933467
- 39. Cazzell S, Vayser D, Pham H, et al. A randomized clinical trial of a human acellular dermal matrix demonstrated superior healing rates for chronic diabetic foot ulcers over conventional

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

care and an active acellular dermal matrix comparator. Wound Repair Regen. May 2017; 25(3): 483-497. PMID 28544150

- Frykberg RG, Cazzell SM, Arroyo-Rivera J, et al. Evaluation of tissue engineering products for the management of neuropathic diabetic foot ulcers: an interim analysis. J Wound Care. Jul 2016; 25 Suppl 7: S18-25. PMID 27410467
- Lantis JC, Snyder R, Reyzelman AM, et al. Fetal bovine acellular dermal matrix for the closure of diabetic foot ulcers: a prospective randomized controlled trial. J Wound Care. Jul 01 2021; 30(Sup7): S18-S27. PMID 34256588
- 42. Niezgoda JA, Van Gils CC, Frykberg RG, et al. Randomized clinical trial comparing OASIS Wound Matrix to Regranex Gel for diabetic ulcers. Adv Skin Wound Care. Jun 2005; 18(5 Pt 1): 258-66. PMID 15942317
- 43. Uccioli L, Giurato L, Ruotolo V, et al. Two-step autologous grafting using HYAFF scaffolds in treating difficult diabetic foot ulcers: results of a multicenter, randomized controlled clinical trial with long-term follow-up. Int J Low Extrem Wounds. Jun 2011; 10(2): 80-5. PMID 21693443
- 44. Lullove EJ, Liden B, Winters C, et al. A Multicenter, Blinded, Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial Evaluating the Effect of Omega-3-Rich Fish Skin in the Treatment of Chronic, Nonresponsive Diabetic Foot Ulcers. Wounds. Jul 2021; 33(7): 169-177. PMID 33872197
- 45. Lullove EJ, Liden B, McEneaney P, et al. Evaluating the effect of omega-3-rich fish skin in the treatment of chronic, nonresponsive diabetic foot ulcers: penultimate analysis of a multicenter, prospective, randomized controlled trial. Wounds. Apr 2022; 34(4): E34-E36. PMID 35797557
- 46. Lantis Ii JC, Lullove EJ, Liden B, et al. Final efficacy and cost analysis of a fish skin graft vs standard of care in the management of chronic diabetic foot ulcers: a prospective, multicenter, randomized controlled clinical trial. Wounds. Apr 2023; 35(4): 71-79. PMID 37023475
- 47. O'Meara S, Cullum N, Nelson EA, et al. Compression for venous leg ulcers. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Nov 14 2012; 11(11): CD000265. PMID 23152202
- 48. Falanga V, Margolis D, Alvarez O, et al. Rapid healing of venous ulcers and lack of clinical rejection with an allogeneic cultured human skin equivalent. Human Skin Equivalent Investigators Group. Arch Dermatol. Mar 1998; 134(3): 293-300. PMID 9521027
- 49. Mostow EN, Haraway GD, Dalsing M, et al. Effectiveness of an extracellular matrix graft (OASIS Wound Matrix) in the treatment of chronic leg ulcers: a randomized clinical trial. J Vasc Surg. May 2005; 41(5): 837-43. PMID 15886669
- Romanelli M, Dini V, Bertone M, et al. OASIS wound matrix versus Hyaloskin in the treatment of difficult-to-heal wounds of mixed arterial/venous aetiology. Int Wound J. Mar 2007; 4(1): 3-7. PMID 17425543

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- 51. Romanelli M, Dini V, Bertone MS. Randomized comparison of OASIS wound matrix versus moist wound dressing in the treatment of difficult-to-heal wounds of mixed arterial/venous etiology. Adv Skin Wound Care. Jan 2010; 23(1): 34-8. PMID 20101114
- 52. Harding K, Sumner M, Cardinal M. A prospective, multicentre, randomized controlled study of human fibroblast-derived dermal substitute (Dermagraft) in patients with venous leg ulcers. Int Wound J. Apr 2013; 10(2): 132-7. PMID 23506344
- 53. Cazzell S. A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing a Human Acellular Dermal Matrix Versus Conventional Care for the Treatment of Venous Leg Ulcers. Wounds. Mar 2019; 31(3): 68-74. PMID 30720443
- 54. Carsin H, Ainaud P, Le Bever H, et al. Cultured epithelial autografts in extensive burn coverage of severely traumatized patients: a five year single-center experience with 30 patients. Burns. Jun 2000; 26(4): 379-87. PMID 10751706
- 55. Lagus H, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Böhling T, et al. Prospective study on burns treated with Integra®, a cellulose sponge and split thickness skin graft: comparative clinical and histological study-randomized controlled trial. Burns. Dec 2013; 39(8): 1577-87. PMID 23880091
- 56. Branski LK, Herndon DN, Pereira C, et al. Longitudinal assessment of Integra in primary burn management: a randomized pediatric clinical trial. Crit Care Med. Nov 2007; 35(11): 2615-23. PMID 17828040
- 57. Heimbach DM, Warden GD, Luterman A, et al. Multicenter post approval clinical trial of Integra dermal regeneration template for burn treatment. J Burn Care Rehabil. 2003; 24(1): 42-8. PMID 12543990
- 58. Hicks KE, Huynh MN, Jeschke M, et al. Dermal regenerative matrix use in burn patients: A systematic review. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. Nov 2019; 72(11): 1741-1751. PMID 31492583
- Gonzalez SR, Wolter KG, Yuen JC. Infectious Complications Associated with the Use of Integra: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. Jul 2020; 8(7): e2869. PMID 32802634
- 60. Luze H, Nischwitz SP, Smolle C, et al. The Use of Acellular Fish Skin Grafts in Burn Wound Management-A Systematic Review. Medicina (Kaunas). Jul 09 2022; 58(7). PMID 35888631
- 61. Holmes JH, Molnar JA, Shupp JW, et al. Demonstration of the safety and effectiveness of the RECELL ® System combined with split-thickness meshed autografts for the reduction of donor skin to treat mixed-depth burn injuries. Burns. Jun 2019; 45(4): 772-782. PMID 30578048

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

- 62. Holmes Iv JH, Molnar JA, Carter JE, et al. A Comparative Study of the ReCell® Device and Autologous Spit-Thickness Meshed Skin Graft in the Treatment of Acute Burn Injuries. J Burn Care Res. Aug 17 2018; 39(5): 694-702. PMID 29800234
- 63. Fivenson DP, Scherschun L, Cohen LV. Apligraf in the treatment of severe mitten deformity associated with recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. Plast Reconstr Surg. Aug 2003; 112(2): 584-8. PMID 12900618
- 64. Baldursson BT, Kjartansson H, Konrádsdóttir F, et al. Healing rate and autoimmune safety of full-thickness wounds treated with fish skin acellular dermal matrix versus porcine small-intestine submucosa: a noninferiority study. Int J Low Extrem Wounds. Mar 2015; 14(1): 37-43. PMID 25759413
- 65. Still J, Glat P, Silverstein P, et al. The use of a collagen sponge/living cell composite material to treat donor sites in burn patients. Burns. Dec 2003; 29(8): 837-41. PMID 14636761
- 66. Brown-Etris M, Milne CT, Hodde JP. An extracellular matrix graft (Oasis ® wound matrix) for treating full-thickness pressure ulcers: A randomized clinical trial. J Tissue Viability. Feb 2019; 28(1): 21-26. PMID 30509850
- 67. Gurtner GC, Garcia AD, Bakewell K, et al. A retrospective matched-cohort study of 3994 lower extremity wounds of multiple etiologies across 644 institutions comparing a bioactive human skin allograft, TheraSkin, plus standard of care, to standard of care alone. Int Wound J. Feb 2020; 17(1): 55-64. PMID 31729833
- 68. Lazic T, Falanga V. Bioengineered skin constructs and their use in wound healing. Plast Reconstr Surg. Jan 2011; 127 Suppl 1: 75S-90S. PMID 21200276
- 69. Saffle JR. Closure of the excised burn wound: temporary skin substitutes. Clin Plast Surg. Oct 2009; 36(4): 627-41. PMID 19793557
- 70. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Diabetic Foot Problems: Prevention and Management [NG19]. 2023; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng19.
- 71. Peirce SC, Carolan-Rees G. ReCell ® Spray-On Skin System for Treating Skin Loss, Scarring and Depigmentation after Burn Injury: A NICE Medical Technology Guidance. Appl Health Econ Health Policy. Apr 2019; 17(2): 131-141. PMID 30635844
- 72. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Porcine Skin and Gradient Pressure Dressings (270.5). n.d.; https://www.cms.gov/medicarecoverage-database/details/ncd-

details.aspx?NCDId=139&ncdver=1&bc=AgAAQAAAAAAA.

73. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Fact Sheet: CMS finalizes Medicare Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System and Ambulatory Surgical Center Payment System

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

changes for 2019 https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/cms-finalizes-medicare-hospital-outpatient-prospective-payment-system-and-ambulatory-surgical-center.

- 74. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. 2022. Fact Sheet. Calendar Year (CY) 2023 Medicare Physician Fee Schedule Final Rule. https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/factsheets/calendar-year-cy-2023-medicare-physician-fee-schedule-final-rule.
- 75. ONTARIO HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT SERIES Skin Substitutes for Adults With Diabetic Foot Ulcers and Venous Leg Ulcers: A Health Technology Assessment https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8210978/pdf/ohtas-21-1.pdf
- 76. A prospective, randomized, controlled, multicentre clinical trial examining healing rates, safety and cost to closure of an acellular reticular allogenic human dermis versus standard of care in the treatment of chronic diabetic foot ulcers. Charles M Zelen et al. International Wound Journal ISSN1742-4801.<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7949710/pdf/IWJ-14-307.pdf</u>
- 77. PDF File generated from TMP3900.tif (fda.gov)
- 78. SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS DATA@ P000036b.doc (fda.gov)
- 79. Integra[®] Omnigraft[™] Dermal Regeneration Matrix Smart Solutions for Serious Wounds. Patient Guide to Healing Diabetic Foot Ulcers@ <u>P900033S042c.pdf (fda.gov)</u>
- 80. <u>file:///C:/Users/e41724/Downloads/Clinical_and_Cost_Efficacy_of_Advanced_Wound_Care_.</u> pdf
- 81. National Library of Medicine https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7949710/pdf/IWJ-14-307.pdf
- 82. ONT Health Technol Assess Ser 2021; 21(7): 1-165. Skin Substitutes for Adults With Diabetic Foot Ulcers and Venous Leg Ulcers: A Health Technology Assessment https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8210978/pdf/ohtas-21-1.pdf

Policy History

Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017

Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

09/07/2017 Medical Policy Committee review

09/20/2017 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New policy.

05/03/2018 Medical Policy Committee review

05/16/2018 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. DermACELL and FlexHD Pliable added to medically necessary statement on breast reconstructive surgery. Integra Flowable Wound Matrix added to medically necessary statement on use of

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



00572 Policy # Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024 Integra Dermal Regeneration Template for diabetic lower-extremity ulcers. Several products added to investigational list. 01/01/2019 Coding update Medical Policy Committee review 05/02/2019 05/15/2019 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. FlexiGraft removed from investigational statement. This note was added "This MP is not applicable to injection laryngoplasty for the treatment of vocal fold paralysis or paresis." Medical Policy Committee review 05/07/2020 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage. 05/13/2020 05/06/2021 Medical Policy Committee review Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New investigational indications 05/12/2021 added. 01/07/2022 Coding Update Medical Policy Committee review 02/03/2022 02/09/2022 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. MatriStem Surgical Matrix rebranded to Gentrix Surgical Matrix. Coding update 03/20/2022 6/08/2022 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. AxoGuard Nerve Protector (AxoGen) removed from investigation list. 09/20/2022 Coding Update 09/28/2022 Coding Update Coding Update 12/21/2022 01/05/2023 Medical Policy Committee review 01/11/2023 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Time frames added for eligible products. 03/20/2023 Coding update Medical Policy Committee review 07/06/2023 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added ReCell as investigational. 07/12/2023 Removed PriMatrix and PriMatrix Dermal Repair Scaffold from investigational list and made PriMatrix eligible for diabetic foot ulcers with criteria. Coding update 09/20/2023 Added InnovaBurn^{®‡}, InnovaMatrix^{®‡}, InnovaMatrix^{®‡} XL. Miro3D, Resolve Matrix^{M^{\pm} ‡}, and Wound Matrix^{M^{\pm} ‡</sub> to the list of all other skin and soft tissue substitutes} 09/27/2023 that are investigational and not listed in the eligible for coverage section. ©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017			
Current Effectiv	ve Date: 06/10/2024		
03/27/2024	Coding update		
05/02/2024	Medical Policy Committee review		
05/08/2024	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. mVASC and TheraSkin added		
	to eligible for coverage statement for diabetic lower-extremity ulcers. Several products		
	added to investigational list. Coding update.		
10/01/2024	Coding update. Micromatrix flex and Mirotract wound matrix sheet was added to the		
	list of investigational products.		
01/01/2025	Coding update. RECELL System was added to the skin and soft tissue substitutes list		
	as investigational.		
02/20/2025	Coding update		
03/25/2025	Coding update		
Next Scheduled Review Date: 05/2025			

Coding

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology $(CPT^{\circledast})^{\ddagger}$, copyright 2023 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
СРТ	15011, 15012, 15013, 15014, 15015, 15016, 15017. 15018, 15271, 15272, 15273, 15274, 15275, 15276, 15277, 15278, 15777 Delete codes effective 03/01/2025: 64910, 64912, 64999
HCPCS	A2002, A2004, A2005, A2006, A2007, A2008, A2009, A2010, A2011, A2012, A2013, A2014, A2015, A2016, A2017, A2018, A2019, A2020, A2021, A2022, A2023, A2024, A2025, A2026, A2027, A2028, A2029, A4100, A6460, A6461, C1832, C9354, C9356, C9358, C9360, C9363, C9364, C9399, Q4100, Q4101, Q4102, Q4103, Q4104, Q4105, Q4106, Q4107, Q4108, Q4110, Q4111, Q4112, Q4113, Q4114, Q4115, Q4116, Q4117, Q4118, Q4121, Q4122, Q4123, Q4124, Q4125, Q4126, Q4127, Q4128, Q4130, Q4134, Q4135, Q4136, Q4141, Q4142, Q4143, Q4146, Q4147, Q4149, Q4152, Q4158, Q4161, Q4164, Q4165, Q4166, Q4167, Q4169, Q4175, Q4179, Q4182, Q4193, Q4195, Q4196, Q4197, Q4200, Q4202, Q4203, Q4220, Q4222, Q4226, Q4238, Q4255 Add codes effective 04/01/2025: A2030, A2031, A2032, A2033, A2034
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related Diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

- 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
- 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
- 3. Reference to federal regulations.

**Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity") - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00572 Original Effective Date: 12/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 06/10/2024

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.