

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

# When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

#### Multiple Sclerosis

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider fingolimod (Gilenya<sup>®</sup>, generics)<sup>‡</sup>, fingolimod (Tascenso ODT<sup>M</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, siponimod (Mayzent<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, ozanimod (Zeposia<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, or ponesimod (Ponvory<sup>M</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, for the treatment of relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis to be **eligible for coverage.**\*\*

#### Patient Selection Criteria

Coverage eligibility for fingolimod (Gilenya, generics), fingolimod (Tascenso ODT), siponimod (Mayzent), ozanimod (Zeposia), or ponesimod (Ponvory) will be considered when the following criteria are met for the requested drug:

- Requested drug is Gilenya, Mayzent, Zeposia, or Ponvory:
  - Patient has a relapsing form of multiple sclerosis (including clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, active secondary progressive disease); AND
  - If the request is for brand Gilenya 0.5 mg capsules, patient has tried and failed (e.g., intolerance or inadequate response) GENERIC fingolimod unless there is clinical evidence or patient history that suggests GENERIC fingolimod will be ineffective or cause an adverse reaction to the patient

(Note: This specific patient selection criterion is an additional Company requirement for coverage eligibility and will be denied as not medically necessary\*\* if not met).

- Requested drug is Tascenso ODT 0.25 mg tablet:
  - Patient has a relapsing form of multiple sclerosis (including clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, active secondary progressive disease); AND
  - Patient is between 10-18 years of age; AND
  - Patient weighs less than or equal to 40 kg; AND

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

- Patient meets ONE of the following:
  - Patient has tried and failed (e.g., intolerance or inadequate response) Gilenya 0.25 mg capsules unless there is clinical evidence or patient history that suggests Gilenya 0.25 mg capsules will be ineffective or cause an adverse reaction to the patient; OR
  - Patient is unable to swallow tablets or capsules

(Note: These specific patient selection criteria are additional Company requirements for coverage eligibility and will be denied as not medically necessary\*\* if not met)

- Requested drug is Tascenso ODT 0.5 mg tablet:
  - Patient has a diagnosis of a relapsing form of multiple sclerosis (including clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, active secondary progressive disease); AND
  - Patient meets ONE of the following:
    - Patient has tried and failed (e.g., intolerance or inadequate response) generic fingolimod 0.5 mg capsules unless there is clinical evidence or patient history that suggests generic fingolimod 0.5 mg capsules will be ineffective or cause an adverse reaction to the patient; OR
    - Patient is unable to swallow tablets or capsules

(Note: These specific patient selection criteria are additional Company requirements for coverage eligibility and will be denied as not medically necessary\*\* if not met)

## **Ulcerative Colitis**

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider ozanimod (Zeposia) or etrasimod (Velsipity<sup>M</sup>)<sup>‡</sup> for the treatment of ulcerative colitis to be **eligible for coverage.**\*\*

## Patient Selection Criteria

Coverage eligibility for ozanimod (Zeposia) or etrasimod (Velsipity) will be considered when the following criteria are met for the requested drug:

- Requested drug is Zeposia:
  - Patient has a diagnosis of moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis; AND
  - Patient is greater than or equal to 18 years of age; AND
  - Patient has failed treatment with conventional therapies such as corticosteroids, azathioprine, or 6-mercaptopurine unless there is clinical evidence or patient history that suggests the use of these products will be ineffective or cause an adverse reaction to the patient; AND

(Note: This specific patient selection criterion is an additional Company requirement for coverage eligibility and will be denied as not medically necessary\*\* if not met)

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

Patient has failed treatment with TWO of the following after at least TWO months of therapy with EACH product: a preferred adalimumab product (Humira<sup>®</sup>, Simlandi<sup>®</sup>, adalimumab-adaz)<sup>‡</sup>, ustekinumab (Stelara<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, upadacitinib (Rinvoq<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, guselkumab (Tremfya<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, or risankizumab-rzza (Skyrizi<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup> unless there is clinical evidence or patient history that suggests the use of these products will be ineffective or cause an adverse reaction to the patient; AND

(Note: This specific patient selection criterion is an additional Company requirement for coverage eligibility and will be denied as not medically necessary\*\* if not met)

- Requested drug is NOT being used in combination with biologic DMARDs for the treatment of ulcerative colitis, such as adalimumab (Humira, Simlandi, adalimumabadaz) or ustekinumab (Stelara).
- Requested drug is Velsipity:
  - Patient has a diagnosis of moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis; AND
  - Patient is greater than or equal to 18 years of age; AND
  - Patient has failed treatment with conventional therapies such as corticosteroids, azathioprine, or 6-mercaptopurine unless there is clinical evidence or patient history that suggests the use of these products will be ineffective or cause an adverse reaction to the patient; AND

(Note: This specific patient selection criterion is an additional Company requirement for coverage eligibility and will be denied as not medically necessary\*\* if not met)

Patient has failed treatment with TWO of the following after at least TWO months of therapy with EACH product: a preferred adalimumab product (i.e. Humira, Simlandi, adalimumab-adaz), ustekinumab (Stelara), upadacitinib (Rinvoq), guselkumab (Tremfya), risankizumab-rzza (Skyrizi), golimumab (Simponi<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, or tofacitinib (Xeljanz/ Xeljanz XR<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup> unless there is clinical evidence or patient history that suggests the use of these products will be ineffective or cause an adverse reaction to the patient; AND

(Note: This specific patient selection criterion is an additional Company requirement for coverage eligibility and will be denied as not medically necessary\*\* if not met)

- Patient has failed treatment with ozanimod (Zeposia) after at least ONE month of therapy unless there is clinical evidence or patient history that suggests the use of these products will be ineffective or cause an adverse reaction to the patient; AND (Note: This specific patient selection criterion is an additional Company requirement for coverage eligibility and will be denied as not medically necessary\*\* if not met)
- Requested drug is NOT being used in combination with biologic DMARDs for the treatment of ulcerative colitis, such as adalimumab (Humira, Simlandi, adalimumab-adaz) or ustekinumab (Stelara).

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

# When Services Are Considered Not Medically Necessary

Based on review of available data, the Company considers the use of ozanimod (Zeposia) or etrasimod (Velsipity) for the treatment of ulcerative colitis when the patient has not failed the pre-requisite medications listed in the patient selection criteria to be **not medically necessary.**\*\*

Based on review of available data, the Company considers the use of Gilenya 0.5 mg capsules when the patient has not tried and failed the GENERIC fingolimod to be **not medically necessary.**\*\*

Based on review of available data, the Company considers the use of fingolimod (Tascenso ODT) when the patient has not tried and failed the equivalent fingolimod capsules or is not unable to swallow tablets or capsules to be **not medically necessary.**\*\*

# When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers the use of fingolimod (Gilenya), fingolimod (Tascenso ODT), siponimod (Mayzent), ozanimod (Zeposia), ponesimod (Ponvory), or etrasimod (Velsipity) when patient selection criteria are not met (except those criteria denoted above as **not medically necessary**\*\*) to be **investigational**.\*

# **Background/Overview**

Gilenva, Tascenso ODT, Mayzent, Zeposia, and Ponvory are sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptor modulators indicated for the treatment of relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis including clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing remitting disease, and active secondary progressive disease in adults. Zeposia is also indicated for the treatment of moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis in adults, along with Velsipity. These drugs work by binding to S1P receptors to prevent lymphocytes from leaving lymph nodes and reduce the number of lymphocytes in the peripheral blood. Zeposia and Velsipity are the only drugs with this mechanism indicated for ulcerative colitis. All of the S1P receptor modulators have various advantages and disadvantages. Gilenya and its generic are indicated in patients as young as 10 years of age and bind to S1P receptors 1, 3, 4, and 5. Dose titration is not required for Gilenya, but all patients must be monitored for at least 6 hours after receiving their first dose to assess for bradycardia. Tascenso ODT contains the same active ingredient and indications as Gilenya but is administered in an orally disintegrating tablet which may be useful in patients who cannot swallow tablets or capsules. Mayzent binds to S1P receptors 1 and 5 and is indicated in adults. It does not require first-dose monitoring but does require genetic testing for the CYP2C9 variants prior to treatment. Zeposia binds to S1P receptors 1 and 5 and does not require first-dose monitoring or genetic testing. Similar to Zeposia, assessments are required prior to initiating Velsipity, including a complete blood count, an electrocardiogram, liver function tests,

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

and an ophthalmic exam. Mayzent, Zeposia, and Ponvory all require titration to the target dose. Unlike Zeposia, Velsipity does not require dose titration with initiation. Ponvory and Mayzent have shorter half lives than the others to allow for more rapid discontinuation if needed. The target doses of each drug are listed in the table below.

Drug	Target Dose
Gilenya	Weight >40 kg: 0.5 mg orally once daily
Tascenso ODT	Weight <40 kg: 0.25 mg orally once daily
Mayzent	<i>CYP2C9*1/*3 or *2/*3</i> genotype: 1 mg orally once daily
	Other CYP2C9 genotypes: 2 mg orally once daily
Zeposia	0.92 mg orally once daily (both indications)
Ponvory	20 mg orally once daily
Velsipity	2 mg orally once daily

## Multiple Sclerosis

Multiple sclerosis is believed to have an immunologic mechanism that is characterized by demyelination in the brain and spinal cord. This is often expressed by symptoms such as visual and oculomotor abnormalities, weakness, urinary dysfunction, and mild cognitive impairment. In the most common forms of MS, patients experience remissions and exacerbations. Treatment includes corticosteroids for acute exacerbations and immunomodulatory (disease modifying) drugs to prevent exacerbations. Disease modifying drugs include oral products such as fingolimod (Gilenya, Tascenso ODT, generics), siponimod (Mayzent), ozanimod (Zeposia), ponesimod (Ponvory), dimethyl fumarate (Tecfidera, generics<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, diroximel fumarate (Vumerity<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, teriflunomide (Aubagio<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, and cladribine (Mavenclad<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>; subcutaneous and intramuscular injectable products such as glatiramer acetate (Copaxone<sup>®</sup>, generics)<sup>‡</sup>, ofatumumab (Kesimpta<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, interferon beta-1a (Avonex<sup>®</sup>, Rebif<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, interferon beta-1b (Extavia<sup>®</sup>, Betaseron<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, natalizumab (Tysabri<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, and alemtuzumab (Lemtrada<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>.

## **Ulcerative Colitis**

Ulcerative colitis is a chronic, episodic, inflammatory disease of the large intestine and rectum characterized by bloody diarrhea. This disease usually begins in the rectal area and may eventually extend through the entire large intestine. Repeated episodes of inflammation lead to thickening of the wall of the intestine and rectum with scar tissue. Death of colon tissue or sepsis may occur with severe disease. The goals of treatment are to control the acute attacks, prevent recurrent attacks and promote healing of the colon. Hospitalization is often required for severe attacks. Typically, first line treatments such as corticosteroids, 6-mercaptopurine and azathioprine are used to treat this condition. Treatment options after the more traditional medications include adalimumab (Humira, biosimilars), ustekinumab (Stelara), tofacitinib (Xeljanz/XR), golimumab (Simponi<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>, infliximab (Remicade<sup>®‡</sup>, biosimilars), and vedolizumab (Entyvio<sup>®</sup>)<sup>‡</sup>.

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

# FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

## U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Gilenya was approved in 2010 for the treatment of relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis, to include clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, and secondary progressive disease.

Mayzent was approved in 2019 for the treatment of relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis, to include clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, and active secondary progressive disease, in adults.

Zeposia was approved in early 2020 for the treatment of relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis, to include clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, and active secondary progressive disease, in adults. In June of 2021, Zeposia was approved for the treatment of moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis in adults.

Ponvory was approved in 2021 for the treatment of relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis, to include clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, and active secondary progressive disease, in adults.

Tascenso ODT was approved in 2022 for the treatment of relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis, to include clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, and active secondary progressive disease, in patients 10 years of age and older.

Velsipity was approved in 2023 for the treatment of moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis in adults.

# **Rationale/Source**

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

## <u>Gilenya</u>

The efficacy of Gilenya was demonstrated in 2 studies in adults (Study 1 and Study 2) and 1 study in pediatric patients (Study 4) with multiple sclerosis. Both adult studies evaluated once-daily doses of Gilenya 0.5 mg and 1.25 mg in patients with relapsing-remitting MS and included patients who had experienced at least 2 clinical relapses during the 2 years prior to randomization or at least 1 clinical relapse during the year prior to randomization and had an Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score from 0-5.5.

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

Study 1 was a 2-year randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in patients with RRMS who had not received any interferon-beta or glatiramer acetate for at least the previous 3 months and had not received any natalizumab for at least the previous 6 months. Neurological evaluations were performed at screening, Month 6, Month 12, and Month 24. The primary endpoint was the annualized relapse rate (ARR). Patients were randomized to receive Gilenya 0.5 mg (n = 425) or placebo (n = 418) for up to 24 months. The ARR was found to be significantly lower (p<0.001) in patients treated with Gilenya (0.18) than those treated with placebo (0.4).

Study 2 was a 1-year randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, active-controlled study in patients with RRMS who had not received any natalizumab in the previous 6 months. Prior therapy with interferon-beta or glatiramer acetate up to the time of randomization was permitted. Neurologic evaluations were performed at screening, every 3 months, and at the time of suspected relapses. The primary endpoint was the ARR. Patients were randomized to receive Gilenya 0.5 mg (n = 431), Gilenya 1.25 mg (n = 426), or interferon beta-1a 30 mcg once weekly (n = 435) for up to 12 months. The ARR was significantly lower (p < 0.001) in patients treated with Gilenya 0.5 mg (0.16) than those treated with interferon beta-1a (0.33). The Gilenya 1.25 mg dose resulted in no additional benefit over the Gilenya 0.5 mg dose.

Study 4 evaluated the efficacy of once-daily oral doses of Gilenya 0.25 or Gilenya 0.5 mg in pediatric patients 10 to less than 18 years of age with RRMS. This study was a 215-patient, double-blind, randomized, clinical trial that compared Gilenya to intramuscular interferon beta-1a. Prior therapy with interferon-beta, dimethyl fumarate, or glatiramer acetate up to the time of randomization was permitted. The study included patients who had experienced at least 1 clinical relapse during the year prior or 2 relapses during the 2 years prior to screening, or evidence of 1 or more gadolinium-enhancing (GdE) lesions on MRI within 6 months prior to randomization and had an EDSS score from 0 to 5.5. Neurological evaluations were scheduled at screening, every 3 months, and at the time of suspected relapses. The primary endpoint was the ARR. At baseline, the median age was 16 years, median disease duration since first symptom was 1.5 years and median EDSS score was 1.5. The Gilenya group included 107 patients and 107 were randomized to interferon beta-1a. The ARR was significantly lower (p < 0.001) in patients treated with Gilenya (0.122) than those who received interferon beta-1a (0.675).

## Tascenso ODT

The efficacy of Tascenso ODT is based on the relative bioavailability of Tascenso ODT orally disintegrating tablets compared to fingolimod capsules in healthy adults.

## Mayzent

The efficacy of Mayzent was demonstrated in a randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebocontrolled, time-to-event study in patients with secondary progressive multiple sclerosis who had evidence of disability progression in the prior 2 years, no evidence of relapse in 3 months prior to study enrollment, and an EDSS score of 3.0-6.5 at study entry. Patients were randomized to receive

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

either once daily Mayzent 2 mg (n = 1105) or placebo (n = 546), beginning with a dose titration. Evaluations were performed at screening, every 3 months during the study, and at the time of a suspected relapse. The primary endpoint was the time to 3-month confirmed disability progression (CDP), defined as at least a 1-point increase from baseline in EDSS sustained for 3 months. Study duration was variable for individual patients (median duration was 21 months, range 1 day-37 months). Mayzent (26% CDP) was superior to placebo (32% CDP) in reducing the risk of CDP, based on a time-to-event analysis (hazard ratio 0.79, p < 0.0134).

## <u>Zeposia</u>

The efficacy of Zeposia in multiple sclerosis was demonstrated in 2 randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, parallel-group, active comparator-controlled clinical trials of similar design in patients with relapsing forms of MS (SUNBEAM and RADIANCE). Patients in SUNBEAM were treated until the last enrolled patient completed 1 year of treatment. Patients in RADIANCE were treated for 24 months. Both studies included patients who had experienced at least 1 relapse within the prior year, or 1 relapse within the prior 2 years with evidence of at least one GdE lesion in the prior year, and had an EDSS score from 0 to 5 at baseline. Patients with primary progressive MS were excluded. In both studies, patients were randomized to receive either Zeposia 0.92 mg by mouth once daily beginning with a dose titration or interferon beta-1a, 30 mcg given intramuscularly once weekly. The primary endpoint of both studies was the ARR over the treatment period.

In SUNBEAM, a total of 895 patients were randomized to receive Zeposia (n = 447) or interferon beta-1a (n = 448). At baseline, the mean number of relapses in the prior year was 1.3 and 48% of patients had one or more T1 GdE lesions on their baseline MRI scan. Zeposia demonstrated a 48% reduction in annualized relapse rate compared to interferon beta-1a (p < 0.0001) with an ARR of 0.181 in the Zeposia group and 0.35 in the interferon beta-1a group.

In RADIANCE, 874 patients were randomized to receive Zeposia (n = 433) or interferon beta-1a (n = 441). At baseline, the mean number of relapses in the prior year was 1.3 and 43% of patients had one or more T1 GdE lesions on their baseline MRI scan. In this study, Zeposia demonstrated a 38% reduction in the ARR compared to interferon beta-1a (p < 0.0001) with an ARR of 0.172 in the Zeposia group and 0.276 in the interferon beta-1a group.

The efficacy and safety of Zeposia in ulcerative colitis were evaluated in two multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical studies [UC Study 1 (induction) and UC Study 2 (maintenance)] in adult patients with moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis.

In UC Study 1, a total of 645 patients were randomized 2:1 to either Zeposia 0.92 mg given orally once daily or placebo for 10 weeks, beginning with a dosage titration (see package insert for more details). The trial included adult patients with moderately to severely active UC (ulcerative colitis) who had an inadequate response or were intolerant to any of the following: oral aminosalicylates, corticosteroids, immunomodulators (e.g., 6-mercaptopurine and azathioprine), or a biologic (e.g.,

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

TNF blocker and/or vedolizumab). Patients were required to be on stable doses of oral aminosalicylates and/or corticosteroids (prednisone daily dose up to 20 mg equivalent or budesonide extended-release tablets) prior to enrollment. Seventy-one percent of patients were receiving mesalamine, 13% sulfasalazine, and 33% oral corticosteroids. A total of 30% of patients had previously failed or were intolerant to TNF blockers. Of these patients, 63% received at least two biologics including TNF blockers. The disease activity was assessed by the Mayo score (0 to 12) which consists of four subscores (0 to 3 for each subscore): stool frequency, rectal bleeding, findings on centrally-read endoscopy, and physician global assessment. An endoscopy subscore of 2 was defined by marked erythema, lack of vascular pattern, friability, and erosions; an endoscopy subscore of 3 was defined by spontaneous bleeding and ulceration. Enrolled patients had Mayo scores between 6 to 12; at baseline, patients had a median Mayo score of 9, with 86% of patients having moderate disease (Mayo score 6-10), and 14% having severe disease (Mayo score 11-12). Concomitant immunomodulators or biologic therapies were not permitted. The primary endpoint was clinical remission at Week 10, defined using a 3-component Mayo score without the physician global assessment: rectal bleeding subscore = 0, stool frequency subscore = 0 or 1 (and a decrease of  $\geq 1$  point from the baseline stool frequency subscore), and endoscopy subscore = 0 or 1 (an endoscopy subscore of 0 defined as normal or inactive disease, and an endoscopy subscore of 1 defined as presence of erythema, decreased vascular pattern and no friability). The secondary endpoints were clinical response, endoscopic improvement, and endoscopic-histologic mucosal improvement. Clinical response (reduction from baseline in the 3-component Mayo score of  $\geq 2$ points and > 35%, and a reduction from baseline in the rectal bleeding subscore of > 1 point or an absolute rectal bleeding subscore of 0 or 1), endoscopic improvement (Mayo endoscopy subscore of 0 or 1), and endoscopic-histologic mucosal improvement [combined endoscopic improvement and histologic improvement of colonic tissue (no neutrophils in the epithelial crypts or lamina propria and no increase in eosinophils, no crypt destruction, and no erosions, ulcerations, or granulation tissue, i.e., Geboes < 2.0]. A significantly greater proportion of patients treated with Zeposia achieved clinical remission, clinical response, endoscopic improvement, and endoscopic-histologic mucosal improvement compared to placebo at Week 10. Clinical remission was achieved in 18% of Zeposia treated patients vs. 6% of those in the placebo group.

In UC Study 2, a total of 457 patients who received Zeposia in either UC Study 1 or in an open-label arm and achieved clinical response at Week 10 were re-randomized 1:1 and were treated with either Zeposia 0.92 mg (n = 230) or placebo (n = 227) for 42 weeks (UC Study 2), for a total of 52 weeks of treatment. Patients were permitted to be on stable doses of oral aminosalicylates. Corticosteroid tapering was required upon entering this study for patients who were receiving corticosteroids during the induction period. Concomitant oral immunomodulators or biologic therapies were not permitted. At study entry, 35% of patients were in clinical remission; 29% of patients were on corticosteroids; and 31% of patients had an inadequate response, loss of response, or intolerance to TNF blockers. The primary endpoint was the proportion of patients in clinical remission at Week 52. The secondary endpoints at Week 52 were the proportion of patients with clinical response, endoscopic improvement, endoscopic-histologic mucosal improvement, corticosteroid-free clinical remission,

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

and maintenance of clinical remission at Week 52 among patients who achieved clinical remission at Week 10 in UC Study 1. Clinical remission was achieved in 37% of Zeposia treated patients vs. 19% of those in the placebo group.

## <u>Ponvory</u>

The efficacy of Ponvory was demonstrated in a randomized, double-blind, parallel group, activecontrolled superiority study in 1133 patients with relapsing forms of MS. Patients were treated for 108 weeks. This study included patients who had an EDSS score of 0 to 5.5 at baseline, had experienced at least one relapse within the year prior, or two relapses within the prior two years, or who had at least one GdE lesion on a brain MRI within the prior 6 months or at baseline. Patients with primary progressive MS were excluded. Patients were randomized 1:1 to receive either once daily Ponvory, beginning with a 14- day dose titration or teriflunomide (Aubagio) 14 mg. The primary endpoint was the ARR over the study period. In the Ponvory group, the ARR was statistically significantly lower than in the Aubagio group (0.202 vs 0.290, p = 0.0003).

## **Velsipity**

The efficacy of Velsipity was evaluated in two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 3 studies: ELEVATE UC 52 and ELEVATE UC 12. Both trials included adults with moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis and an inadequate response, loss of response, or intolerance to at least one of the following treatment options: oral 5-ASAs, corticosteroids, thiopurines, JAK inhibitors, or biologic therapies (e.g. TNF blocker, anti-integrin, anti-IL12/23). Primary endpoints included the proportion of patients achieving complete remission at Week 12 and at week 52 (UC ELEVATE 52 only). In ELEVATE UC 52, which enrolled 408 patients, clinical remission was 27.0% for patients in the Velsipity group compared to 7.0% for patients in the placebo group at Week 12 (P < 0.0001) and was 32.0% compared to 7.0% at Week 52 (P < 0.0001). In ELEVATE UC 12, which enrolled 333 patients, clinical remission was achieved among 26.0% of patients in the Velsipity group compared to 15.0% of patients receiving placebo at Week 12 (P < 0.05). All key secondary endpoints were also met in both studies, including endoscopic improvement and mucosal healing.

## **References**

- 1. Zeposia [package insert]. Celgene Corporation. Summit, NJ. Updated May 2021.
- 2. Zeposia Drug Evaluation. Express Scripts. Updated April 2020
- 3. Ponvory [package insert]. Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Titusville, NJ. Updated April 2021.
- 4. Gilenya [package insert]. Novartis. East Hanover, NJ. Updated July 2021.
- 5. Mayzent [package insert]. Novartis. East Hanover, NJ. Updated July 2021.
- 6. Tascenso ODT [package insert]. Cycle Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Cambridge, United Kingdom. Updated January 2023.
- 7. Velsipity [package insert]. Pfizer Labs. New York, New York. Updated November 2023.
- 8. Velsipity (etrasimod) New Drug Review. IPD Analytics. November 2023.

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

# **Policy History**

Original Effectiv	ve Date: 03/08/2021
Current Effectiv	e Date: 04/01/2025
02/04/2021	Medical Policy Committee review
02/10/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New policy.
07/01/2021	Medical Policy Committee review
07/14/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added a new FDA indication and criteria for moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. Updated all sections of the policy to reflect changes
10/07/2021	Medical Policy Committee review
10/13/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Updated policy to include the
10,10,2021	other S1P receptor modulators, Gilenya and Ponvory, with relevant criteria and background information. Changed title from "ozanimod (Zeposia <sup>®</sup> )" to "Sphingosine 1 Receptor (S1P) Modulators (Gilenya <sup>®</sup> , Mayzent <sup>®</sup> , Zeposia <sup>®</sup> , Ponvory <sup>™</sup> )"
03/02/2023	Medical Policy Committee review
03/08/2023	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added new drug, Tascenso ODT to policy with relevant criteria and background information. Also updated criteria and background to reflect availability of generic fingolimod and require trial of generic prior to approval of brand Gilenya. Updated title to include Tascenso ODT.
03/07/2024	Medical Policy Committee review
03/13/2024	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added new drug, Velsipity to policy with relevant criteria and background information. Updated title to include Velsipity.
03/06/2025	Medical Policy Committee review
03/12/2025	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Updated try and fail criteria for Velsipity and Zeposia to reflect biosimilar availability of Humira and to add Tremfya and Skyrizi as try/fail options. Zeposia's try/fail criterion update also included Rinvoq as an option.
NT ( C 1 1 1 1	

Next Scheduled Review Date: 03/2026

\*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or

Policy # 00733 Original Effective Date: 03/08/2021 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
  - 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
  - 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
  - 3. Reference to federal regulations.

\*\*Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity") - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

**NOTICE:** If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

**NOTICE:** Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

**NOTICE:** Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.